Effect of Caribbean Water Incursion into the Gulf of Mexico derived from Absolute Dynamic Topography, Satellite Data, and Remotely - sensed Chlorophyll-a
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Key points:
Twenty-five years of satellite observations of absolute dynamic topography confirm the patterns of Caribbean water intrusion in the Gulf of Mexico.

Larger volumes of oligotrophic waters from Caribbean Sea are entering the western Gulf of Mexico and lowering the surface and near surface Chl-a concentration.
**Abstract**

The dynamics of the Loop Current (LC) and the detached Loop Current eddies (LCEs) dominate the surface circulation of the Gulf of Mexico (GoM) and transport Caribbean water (CW) into the gulf. In this work, 25-years (1993-2017) of daily satellite data are used to investigate the variability of these physical processes and their effect on chlorophyll-a (Chl-a) concentrations from 1998-2017 including temporal changes, mean differences, and regional concentration tendencies. Physical variables analyzed are absolute dynamic topography (ADT) and oceanic currents. From the ADT and oceanic current monthly climatologies, it is shown that there is an annual intrusion of the CW with an inward incursion that starts in spring, peaks in the summer, reaches to 28˚N and 90.45˚W, and then retreats in winter to 26.5 ˚N and 88.3 ˚W, approximately. Minimum surface Chl-a concentrations (<0.08 mg m⁻³) are found during the summer-autumn period inside the region of maximum incursion of the CW; the opposite is observed during the winter period when Chl-a concentrations were at a maximum, e.g., >0.14 mg m⁻³. The three-year running averages of the ADT 40-cm isoline reproduce qualitatively the climatological pattern of 25 years showing that before 2002 the CW was less intrusive. This suggests that from 2003 onward, larger volumes of oligotrophic waters from Caribbean Sea have invaded the western GoM and reduced mean surface Chl-a concentrations. A direct comparison between the 1998-2002 and 2009-2014 periods indicates that in the latter time interval, Chl-a concentration above waters deeper than 250 m has decreased significantly.

**1. Introduction**

The effects of global warming on the circulation of the world's oceans and its concomitant consequences on the oceans' biological productivity are some of the most important scientific and economic issues of our times. Forecasting of the effects of global warming on the oceans' resources...
depends on having a clear understanding of the manner in which physical processes (e.g., solar radiation, winds, circulation and vertical mixing) affect primary production. This understanding is aided by the availability of remote sensing observations, unparalleled in their spatial and temporal coverage of the earth's surface. Since 1990, satellite data of absolute dynamic heights (ADT), Chlorophyll-a (Chl-a) concentration, and derived products (eddy kinetic energy (EKE), geostrophic and Ekman currents) have been available to study the Gulf of Mexico (GoM), an important socio-economic region for fisheries, petroleum, natural gas, and tourism. We have availed ourselves of a 25-year time series of satellite data to study the relationship between the physical dynamics of the GoM and its effect on primary production in the context of a global warming scenario. Unlike previous studies, this work entails the analysis of the Loop Current (LC) and the LC eddies (LCEs) path footprint, and of the dominant features of the surface circulation that transport Caribbean Water (CW) into the GoM (Nowlin and McLellan, 1967; Morrison et al., 1983). The LC in the eastern GoM is part of the North Atlantic Ocean Subtropical Gyre, an essential contributor to the inter-hemispheric Meridional Overturning Cell (Schmitz and McCartney, 1993; Candela et al., 2003; Schmitz et al., 2005). This current carries warm waters from the gulf to the North Atlantic through the Florida Straits via the Gulf Stream (Hurlburt and Thompson, 1980), thereby also being an important contributor to the upper ocean heat budget of GoM (Liu et al., 2012). Based on a detailed analysis in the central and western GoM by Portela et al. (2018), within the Gulf are seven water masses in order of increasing mean density: remnants of the Caribbean Surface Water (CSWr: also referred to as CW), North Atlantic Subtropical Underwater (NASUW), Gulf Common Water (GCW), Tropical Atlantic Central Water (TACW), the nucleus of the (TACWn), Atlantic Intermediate Water (AAIW) and North Atlantic Depth Water (NADW). Here, we are principally concerned with surface effects.
CW enters the GoM via the LC with specific biological (i.e., low Chl-a) and physical characteristics (warmer by ~0.6 units and less saline waters by ~0.5 units). The current penetrates into the gulf, reaching 28˚N, near the Mississippi Delta. As it extends to the north, it forms a loop (Austin, 1955) that turns southeast to ultimately exit into the Atlantic Ocean.

Knowledge of how the thrust of the LC affects the intrusion of CW is based on hydrographic data (Leipper, 1970; Niiler 1976; Behringer et al., 1977; Molinari et al., 1977; Huh et al., 1981; Paluszkiewicz et al., 1983), remote sensing observations (Vukovich et al. 1979; Vukovich, 1988; Leben and Born, 1993; Leben, 2005), and, in the last twenty years, by numerical modeling (Hurlburt and Thompson, 1980; Candela et al., 2003; Oey et al., 2005; Sturges and Lugo-Fernandez, 2005; Counillon and Bertino, 2009; Cardona and Bracco, 2016; Wei et al., 2016). More recently, novel developments based on artificial neural networks and empirical orthogonal function analysis have also been applied to predict LC variation (Zeng et al., 2015), effecting reliable forecasts for up to 5 to 6 weeks. Knowledge of how the primary forcing mechanism affects the loop current is important to the circulation of the GoM both as a direct and indirect generator of surface-layer eddies and as a source of lower-layer flows (Hamilton et al., 2016). Based on satellite altimetry observations and the dynamic height gradient from 1993 to 2009, Lindo-Atichati et al. (2013) observed northward seasonal penetration of the LC, peaking in summer. LC extension and anticyclonic eddy separation are the result of the momentum imbalance (Pichevin and Nof, 1997) and form the shape of future LCEs. Chang and Oey (2010) using a numerical model, proposed that the wind stress could be the primary forcing that releases LCEs. In a second paper, supported by satellite observations, they proposed that the LC intrusion and the shedding of the LCEs followed a biannual cycle (Chang and Oey, 2013). A reanalysis of archived data also detected statistically significant LCEs separation seasonality (Hall and Leben, 2016). Recently,
Candela et al. (2019) analyzed four years of water current data and reported a seasonal cycle in the transport through the Yucatan channel with the annual cycle as the main harmonic peak in July. Interacting seasonal and stochastic processes could trigger the separation of the LCEs (Fratantoni et al., 1998; Zavala-Hidalgo et al., 2003; Zavala-Hidalgo et al., 2006) as well as forming Caribbean eddies and other topographic features (Garcia-Jove et al., 2016). In this context, the LC system has some similarities with the North Brazil Current retroflection (Pichevin et al., 1999; Goni and Johns, 2001; Zharkov and Nof, 2010), the Agulhas retroflection (de Ruijter et al., 1999; Baker-Yeboah et al., 2010) and with the Gulf Stream, where large meanders pinch off as warm rings (Brown et al., 1983; Richardson, 1983; Savidge and Bane, 1999).

Despite extensive research, after more than a half-century we are still struggling to completely understand LC variability, the processes controlling the loop current extension, and the mechanism of detachment of anticyclones from the loop. Because positive time trends have been reported in temperature, winds, sea level and the greater number of detached eddies separated from the LC, it can be expected that these phenomena would affect primary productivity and, indirectly, surface Chl-a concentration (Polovina, et al., 2008; Laffoley and Baxter, 2016). In this work, 25-years (1993-2017) of daily ADT data combined with monthly radiance data from 1998-2017 are used to investigate the variability of the transport of Caribbean surface water into the gulf and its effect on Chl-a concentration. We examined temporal changes, mean differences, and regional concentration tendencies.

2. Data and Methods
Three independent data sets were used to provide evidence of temporal variability in the extension of CW into the GoM. We used ADT and surface velocity fields (geostrophy and Ekman) from the
GEKCO (Geostrophic Ekman Current Observatory, Sudre et al., 2013) product from 1993 - 2017 with a resolution of 0.25˚x0.25˚, in conjunction with Chl-a ocean color data derived from the reprocessing R2014.0 product suite from Aqua MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) and from SeaWIFS (Sea-Viewing Wide Field of view Sensor), using the OCx Algorithm with a spatial resolution of 9X9 km (https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi/l3). The 2003-2017 monthly Chl-a ocean color product was derived from Aqua MODIS and the 1998-2002 monthly Chl-a ocean color product was derived from SeaWIFS.

Climatology was created from maps of ADT that result from the elevation of the sea surface height referenced to the geoid using the product from DUACS (Data Unification and Altimeter Combination System) available on the AVISO (Archiving, Validation and Interpretation of Satellite Oceanographic data) website https://www.aviso.altimetry.fr/en/data. The ADT climatology was constructed using the 25 years of daily satellite maps, from 1993 to 2017, averaging all the Januaries, Februaries … and Decembers. We considered LCEs in any stage of formation, detaching and reattaching to the LC as evidence of the incursion of the CW. After the ADT climatology was obtained, the predominant boundary contour of CW was extracted from each climatological month. It was observed that the 40 ±2.2 cm ADT contour was well matched to the climatological maxima of its respective EKE. For this reason, the ADT 40 cm contour is taken as the main ADT reference that tracks the Caribbean Water Front (CWF).

Specifically, monthly CWF positions were obtained from short-term running averages of daily satellite observations in three-year periods. Each running average was moved rearward by one year, e.g. 1993-1995, 1994-1996 … 2014-2016, 2015-2017. For each three-year period, a set of 12 monthly maps was obtained resulting in a total of 23 sets of monthly CWF maps: 10 sets from 1993 to 2002 and 13 sets from 2003 to 2017. We used the 40 cm contour of each set of three-
year averages because this was the contour with the highest EKE observed in the 25-year data set.

To retrieve the CWF contours, we first determined the initial latitudinal position of the CWF to be at 80.7°W with the respective corresponding longitudinal positions between Cuba and Florida. The CWF contour lines that run from east to west and finish close to the tip of the Yucatan peninsula were separated by 0.2 ± 0.1 degrees. However, some ADT contour "islands" appeared next to the CWF with a typical distance of > 0.3 degrees from the CWF contour. Additionally, a spectral analysis was done using a daily time series of 25 years of ADT data to build a spatially averaged region influenced by the LC between 91.25°W, 23.125°N and 83.5°W, 28.12°N.

When ADT island distances were > 0.3 degrees from the front, we used a Matlab code procedure to eliminate them from the CWF contours. Once the CWF’s contours were retrieved, the next step was to visually corroborate the quality and coherence of each CWF contour over the monthly field maps of ADT, sea surface currents, and Chl-\(a\) distribution. In this way, inconsistencies were detected and corrected. The Matlab code procedure satisfactorily corrected 91.3% of the contours. The remaining sets were corrected by hand via visual analysis.

Main mesoscale instabilities were obtained from calculations of the climatological monthly EKE maps of geostrophic and Ekman currents obtained from 25 years of daily satellite observations of GEKCO using following equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u &= u' + U; \\
    v &= v' + V;
\end{align*}
\]

\[
EKE = \frac{1}{2} (u'^2 + v'^2)
\]

Where \((u, v)\) is the total current \((u=u_E+u_g\) and \(v=v_E+v_g\); \((u_E, v_E)\) is the Ekman and \((u_g, v_g)\) is the geostrophic current, \(U\) and \(V\) are the means of the oceanic currents and \((u', v')\) are the anomalies.
of the current. To find the relationship between ADT and EKE patterns, the 40 cm ADT isoline was overlaid on the monthly EKE maps. This made the EKE means representative of the energy of the mesoscale eddy field (Jouanno et al., 2012).

For consistency between the different satellite datasets, all monthly climatological spatial fields were standardized at 0.25˚x0.25˚ spatial resolution by bilinear interpolation.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Tracking the Intrusion of Caribbean Water

The LC enters the gulf through the Yucatan Channel and exits through the Straits of Florida, penetrating northward into the GoM until instabilities form in the current and a ring-like LCEs pinch off. There are two ways of tracking the LC: 1) tracking the thermal signal (not possible in summer due to weak thermal contrast in the GoM), and 2) tracking the sea surface height trough the satellite altimetry. In 2005, Leben, using the 17 cm contour in the daily sea surface topography maps (this contour closely follows the edge of the high-velocity core of the LCEs and LC), tracked the LC thermal fronts in the sea surface temperature images during good thermal contrast. In a different way, Lindo-Atichati et al. (2013) calculated the maximum horizontal gradient of the sea surface height (SSH) to track only the contours of the LCF. In this work, we used the ADT to track both the LC and the LCEs formed by the influence of the CW. Monthly mean surface oceanic currents from GEKCO overplotted on the ADT data are shown in Fig. 1. Maximum satellite surface current velocities in the Caribbean Sea and the GoM, as well as in the Yucatan current on the continental coast, were > 50 cm s⁻¹, coinciding with in situ estimates of ~ 60 cm s⁻¹ (Badan et al., 2005). The monthly GoM total current fields show the variability of the primary forcing that
The ADT reference corresponds to regions of maximum gradients of ADT and maximum EKE. Fig. 1 shows that (mostly) in autumn (October, November and December) and winter (January, February and March), the CW retracts to its most southeasterly location. In contrast, in spring (April, May, June) and summer (July, August, September), CW penetration moves towards the northwest. In fact, the extension begins in May and reaches maximum penetration in August, showing an annual pattern. This movement is similar to that observed by Chang and Oey (2013). They found that in summer, the maximum LC intrusion was forced by the trade winds. Their and our observations are also consistent with the work of Candela et al. (2019) who reported that water transport into the GoM in July through the Yucatan channel was at a maximum.

It is accepted that the LCEs occur in a geographical control zone that is based on momentum imbalance (Pichevin and Nof, 1997; Nof, 2005) rather than instability. Also, we should not abandon the idea that the formation of instabilities such as meanders and cyclonic eddies are due to high EKE produced by upstream conditions that influence the circulation within the GoM (Oey et al., 2003) and produce changes in the fluxes in the Yucatan Channel (Candela et al., 2002), transport variations in the LC (Maul and Vukovich, 1993), variations in the deep outflow (Bunge et al., 2002), and cyclonic eddies in Campeche Bank and Tortugas (Fratantoni et al., 1998; Zavala-Hidalgo et al., 2003). The areas of large EKE are related to the intrusion and retreat of CW (Garcia-Jove et al., 2016) via baroclinic and barotropic instabilities (e.g. Jouanno et al., 2009).

Fig. 2 shows that the 40 cm isoline encloses the maximum EKE area of the LC-LCEs during each climatological month, demonstrating that its distribution is mainly centered in the LC region; consequently, the maximum EKE borders the CW front just where the abrupt horizontal gradients
of ADT exist and changes of current speed occur. It is clear that the 40 cm isoline of ADT matches
very well both the maximum EKE values and the maximum ADT gradient and is a good tracker
of the contours of LC-LCEs. Lindo-Atichati et al. (2013) proposed a methodology using the SSH
maximum horizontal gradient, which is the addition of sea height anomaly and mean dynamic
topography, to obtain the contours of LCF and the LCEs. In our analysis, we chose the 40 cm
isoline as a general reference to track both LCF and LCEs, and CW transport.

The enhanced monthly EKE signals respond in the same way as the LCF, repeating the
mean monthly pattern as well as the total currents; the CW intrusion starts in spring and peaks in
summer to retract in autumn and winter, and there are no relevant mesoscale EKE’s structures in
the western GoM. These results confirm an annual pattern of CW intrusion in summer months and
retraction in winter.

3.2 West and Northward Caribbean water extension

The monthly intrusions of the CWF were tracked by taking as a reference the northernmost
latitudes and westernmost longitudes of the 40 cm ADT isoline representing 1993-2017 monthly
average values of the ADT (not spatially averaged). The climatological position of the CWF for
each month of the year is shown in Figure 3. These results confirm the annual intrusion of the CW
as follows: 1) Analysis of the maximum north and westward penetration of the front over 25 years
shows that from January to February, it is retracted southeast to ~ 26.55°N and ~ 88.32°W (Fig. 3a
and 3b, respectively), and intrudes to 28°N, 90.45°W in August; 2) an ADT spectral analysis
derived from 25 years of daily data from the CWF region shows a strong annual signal that
originates from the back and forth of the ADT signal (Fig. 3c). In this work, the ADT signal also
includes the seasonal steric effect. Based on Hall and Leben (2016), a steric signal appears as an
annual sine wave with 5.8 cm amplitude. When the estimated seasonal steric influence is removed, the high energy peak diminishes by 74%.

In winter, the "tongue" of the CWF moves slowly to the north without westward advance; in spring it lengthens and travels slightly towards the west. From January to March, the northward CWF position shifts slowly, tracing a gently sloping line, that starts at 26.5˚N, reaches its maximum northern position of 28˚N in August, and then decreases in December to 26.28˚N (maximum travel of the CWF was 1.72˚ or 191 km). In summer, the CWF intrudes further into the interior of the GoM both in the north and west: its maximum northern and westward advance occurs in August to 28˚N and 90.45˚W, but then the CWF retracts in the last month of summer.

Regarding CWF’s westerly movement (Fig. 3b), the CWF traveled little from January to April; in May however, it extended quickly and in July, August, and September reached approximately 90.2˚W, and peaked in October at 90.76˚W (maximum range was 2.56˚ or 253 km, calculated at 27.5˚N latitude). In December, the CWF retracted abruptly to 88.24˚W.

Another aspect of the CWF is the rate of intrusion and retraction. From March to August, the CWF moves to the north with a penetration speed on the order of ~ 1.02 km day\(^{-1}\), covering a distance of 153 km or 1.37˚. On the other hand, the rate of retraction from August to November is ~ 1.86 km day\(^{-1}\), equivalent to 168 km (1.51˚). The entire process of northerly intrusion occurred in three stages: first, from January to April, the front moved slowly northward, increasing its speed while maintaining its westward position. Between May and July the front moved northwest; then was quasi-stationary in July and August, near 90.45˚W; finally, in September, it moved from 90.13˚W to 90.76˚W, equivalent to 63 km at a rate of 2.1 km day\(^{-1}\). The retraction to the west occurred relatively quickly as the front retracted 193 km towards the east in a single month (October) at the rate of 6.3 km day\(^{-1}\), and in November traveled 41 km at a rate of 1.4 km day\(^{-1}\),
also towards the east.

Fig. 4 shows the calculated climatological areas of standard deviation (STD) of the CWF contours > 15 cm (dotted line) and CWF contours > 40 cm (heavy black line). From these areas we calculated ratios between the two (15cm/40cm). The STD contour of 15 cm was selected because this value was three times greater than the annual steric signal reported by Hall and Leben (2016). Ratio values were 1.37 in January, increased to 1.45 in February, and to 1.60 in March, then peaked in April (1.63) to decrease in May (1.47) and June (1.46), reaching a low value in August (1.27). The monthly ratio then increased in September to 1.55, decreased slightly in October (1.53), reached a maximum value in November to 1.65, and settled to 1.55 in December. A plot of these monthly ratios clearly shows a strong biannual signal peaking in April and November (not pictured). Chang and Oey (2012, 2013) proposed that the LC intrusion and the shedding of the LCE followed a biannual cycle. This biannual cycle can also be related to the annual lowest and highest values of the ratio.

3.3 Monthly Spatial Variability of the Caribbean Water Front

It was found that where penetration-retraction of the CWF occurs, STD variability varies from 15 to 35 cm, extending west to 90.8°W in winter and 93.5°W in summer (Fig. 4). West of the CWF, in the deep zone of the GoM, the observed variability was close to 10 cm distributed in the band of latitude between 23°N and 28.5°N. The regions of maximum variability (STD > 15 cm) occur in the CWF zone and extend outside the irregular area of reference (isoline of the 40 cm ADT). The effect of CWF penetration and regions of anticyclonic circulation was determined from the area of the variability of ADT, with maximum values close to ~35 cm in the central region of the CWF, at 86.67°W and 25.6°N. The percentage of the area of influence of STD > 15 cm in relation
to the area of the gulf \( (1.56 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2) \) is presented in Fig. 5, where a gradual monthly increase is observed from January to October, followed by a decrease in November and December. In January, the direct influence of the CWF on the gulf by area was 12.4%, rising to 21.5% for October, to subsequently decrease in December to 15.4%. We suppose that the greater percentage area of the STD may be attributed to a greater influence of Caribbean Sea water.

### 3.4 Changes in the Caribbean Water Incursion from 2003 to the Present

Using the 40 cm reference, a 3-year running average of the ADT data was calculated to extract the minimum number of years that would produce a similar pattern over a quarter century of the CWF. The results indicate a difference in CWF path and westward penetration before and after 2002. It is observed that before 2002 the CWF was less intrusive in the west (Fig. 6), after 2002 it extended towards the west in both summer and autumn (Fig. 7). It is important to note that the intrusion of the CWF is due to the influence of LCEs that have a strong presence in the western GoM. This fact is supported by a statistical analysis of the lifetimes of the LCEs during two time periods (1993-2002 and 2003-2015) ([http://www.horizonmarine.com/loop-current-eddies.html](http://www.horizonmarine.com/loop-current-eddies.html)). The data shows that the LCEs in the 1993-2002 period had a mean life of 6.8 months while the average life in 2003-2015 was 11.7 months. To prove that there is significant difference between these periods, a student-\( t \) test was applied with the result that the difference between them is significant \( (t = -3.098, p = 0.005) \). The LCE mean life difference is clear evidence that the incoming volume of water from Caribbean Sea (with oligotrophic features, Aguirre–Gómez and Salmerón-García, 2015) has reached farther in the western GoM after 2002. These observations also agree with the results of Lindo-Atichati et al. (2013), confirming that, on average, the LC northward intrusion starts to increase in 2002. These authors also report an increase in number/year of LC rings over the same period that also coincided with a significant increase in sea height residuals \( (2.78 \pm 0.26 \text{ cm}) \).
cm/decade from 1993–2009). This supports the finding that from 2003 onward, larger volumes of oligotrophic waters from Caribbean Sea have invaded the western GoM.

### 3.5 Chlorophyll-a Satellite Imagery, Climatology, and Changes in the Last Decade

Another product that tracks the effect of CW inside the western GoM is the Chl-a satellite imagery, being an index of primary productivity (Boyer et al., 2009). Physical processes that affect the distribution and abundance of Chl-a include estuarine influxes, depth of the nutricline, wind stress, thermal stratification and eddy advection. However, over deep waters of the GoM, it is the wind stress and the thermal stratification that principally affect the Chl-a concentration (Martínez-López and Zavala-Hidalgo, 2009; Müller-Karger et al., 2015, Damien et al., 2018). It was found that the oligotrophic CW contrasts seasonally with the gulf waters and allows the observation of two levels of Chl-a (high and low, Müller-Karger et al., 1989). Here, the temporal relationship between the CWF and Chl-a concentration was constructed from SeaWifs and MODIS monthly climatological images (Fig. 8). The highest concentrations of Chl-a in the interior of the GoM are observed during autumn and winter months when high concentrations are triggered by vertical mixing (Pasqueron de Fommervault et al., 2017; Damien et al., 2018) when values were > 0.14 mg m$^{-3}$ in agreement with Dandonneau et al. (2004), whereas in spring-summer they decreased to 0.08 - 0.09 mg m$^{-3}$.

During spring-summer, when the maximum CW penetration occurs, our data confirms that the "footprint" of the CWF water (delineated by the 40 cm isoline of ADT) is in general oligotrophic indicating that Caribbean water has indeed entered the GoM. During this period, the Chl-a surface concentration remains low as the increase in surface temperature strengthens stratification.

Additionally, the winds from the southeast are weak, thereby reducing the mixing of nutrients to the surface. In contrast, during the autumn-winter months, the northerly winds are stronger, increasing vertical mixing, deepening the mixed layer, and carrying cold, nutrient-rich subsurface
water into the euphotic layer (Müller-Karger et al., 1991; Müller-Karger et al., 2015; Pasqueron de Fommervault et al., 2017).

In seeking relationships between the spatial-seasonal distribution of the Chl-a concentration and the incursion signaled by the ADT-generated data, three spatial-temporal periods were selected, each was averaged pixel by pixel, and the three were labeled: "early" (1998-2002), "middle" (2003-2008), and "contemporary" (2009-2014) epochs. The 5-year averages of the "early" and "contemporary" periods of two separate areas were compared: 1) an area located in the western GoM at 95.5˚W, 22.12˚N and 91.5˚W, 25.87˚N, and 2) a smaller area located in the center of the LC at 86˚W, 22.12˚N and 84.75˚W, 23.37˚N (Fig. 9). The differences in the means were tested for significance with a 2-tailed z test at the 95% confidence level (Fowler et al., 2013). The results are shown in Table 1 and may be summarized as follows:

A. Temporal differences: 1) Western GoM differences between early and contemporary Chl-a concentrations are significantly different in all seasons; 2) Loop Current differences between Early and Contemporary Chl-a concentrations are significantly different during winter, spring, and autumn, but not in summer;

B. Spatial differences: 1) In winter, the Western GoM is significantly higher in Chl-a than the LC during both early and contemporary periods; 2) In the spring, the Western GoM is significantly higher than the LC during the early period, but not in contemporary period; 3) In summer, the LC is significantly higher than Western GoM during both early and contemporary periods; 4) In autumn, the Western GoM is significantly higher than LC during the "early" period but not significantly different from the LC in the contemporary period.

C. Seasonal Differences. In the Western GoM and the LC in both the early and contemporary
periods, Chl-a decreases from winter to spring and from spring to summer, and increases from autumn to winter, but autumn concentrations do not exceed winter (See also Fig.9). All differences are significant.

Examination of Table 1 indicates that at both areas, the winter season is most productive, followed by autumn, with the lowest Chl-a concentrations occurring in summer (see also Fig. 9). There is also a time-dependent trend, with contemporary values that are, in general, lower than the values in the early and middle epochs. Both areas exhibit identical climatic trends over time and during each season, indicating that these effects are applicable outside of the continental shelf. The early spring epoch is more eutrophic than the middle and contemporary epochs, indicating a decline in nutrient concentrations over time. This effect is also evident in the LC core, where Chl-a concentrations decreased with time and signals the entrance to the gulf of more oligotrophic water during the middle and contemporary epochs. Perhaps the most notable seasonal scenario occurs in the summer to early October period, when the CWF "tongue" extends in the interior of the GoM. Although the concentration of Chl-a in the Western GoM declines gradually with time to from ~ 0.09 to ~ 0.08 mg m$^{-3}$, the interesting fact is that the area of oligotrophic water expands and become larger in the contemporary period. On the other hand, in the LC core, the Chl-a concentrations in the three epochs do not significantly differ, suggesting that the water entering the GoM is from a single source, namely, the Caribbean Sea. In general, the extensive penetration of the LC within the GoM, as well as the increase in the life periods and sizes of LCEs coincide with the intrusion of nutrient-poor CW.

Two points summarize the result of the seasonal analysis of the three epochs: First, the extent of the CW intrusion confirms the north-west migration of eddies during each epoch, second, the Chl-a concentration declines over time.
The second point was confirmed by calculating the average Chl-a concentrations outside the continental shelf over two time periods, considering only the concentrations above waters deeper than 250 m. Using data from 1998 to 2002 (SeaWIFS), and from 2009 to 2014 (MODIS) we conducted a student-t test for difference in the means (Fig. 10). The latter period was significantly lower with $t = 4.75$ and $p<0.001$ ($n_1 = 1,825; n_2 = 2,190$). This analysis confirms that the Chl-a concentration of the GoM decreases over time and appears to disagree with the results of Müller-Karger et al. (2015) who did not indicate a time trend in Chl-a concentration in the GoM. As the data were taken with different sensors and to eliminate the uncertainty that this difference is not caused by a systematic difference between the SeaWIFS and MODIS data sets used in our analysis, we calculated least square regressions to the SeaWIFS and MODIS time series at four stations corresponding to the northwest, northeast, southwest and southeast regions of Müller-Karger et al. (2015) (Fig. 11). For each data set, inner slopes as well as overall slopes were calculated. For all four stations, the SeaWIFS (1998-2002) and the MODIS (2003-2017) data series merged exactly and all stations show negative trends; equivalently, the combined time series (1998-2017) also show a negative tendency, supporting the conclusion that the Chl-a concentrations over the deep GoM has decreased over time.

The difference between our results and those obtained by Müller-Karger et al. (2015) may be attributed to the different way in which the two groups treated the data. Müller-Karger et al. (2015) divided the GoM into 4 quadrants with depths of over 1000 m: Region 1-North East (RO1), Region 2 (RO2-Northwest), Region 3 (RO3-Southeast), and Region 4 (RO4 Southwest) and calculated the spatial average in each quadrant to build four-time series, from 1993 to 2012. In their words, "Time series of anomalies of wind speed, SST, SSHA and Chl-a concentration were obtained by subtracting the monthly mean (climatology) from the monthly field for that variable". 
Time series of wind speed, sea surface temperature (SST), sea-surface height (SSH), and Chl-a data obtained at these stations from satellite products was analyzed statistically, and plotted. Other variables plotted by Müller-Karger et al. (2015) were mixed layer depth (MLD) as calculated from a hydrodynamic model, and net primary production (NPP) calculated from MODIS data using the vertically generalized productivity model (VGPM) of Behrenfeld and Falkowski (1997).

On the other hand, we calculated the average of the Chl-a concentration pixel by pixel in waters over 250 m depth, for two time periods (1998-2002 and 2009-2014), and subtracted the respective monthly (climatological) means to find the difference (Fig. 10). From 2009 onward, the difference indicated a small reduction of Chl-a in the first optical depth (1-20 or 40 meters of depth) that is increasing with time. A student-t test was used to conclude that the reduction was significant. We also treated the data exactly as did and Müller-Karger et al. (2015) obtained slightly negative slopes Müller-Karger et al. (2015) over the entire 1998 to 2013 period.

We suggest that Müller-Karger et al. (2015) did not detect the small negative trend in their Chl-a plots because their calculated slopes indicated no time-dependent change. We surmise that they were also influenced by the lack of slope in the modeled MLD plot, despite clear, positive, trends for SST, SSHA, and wind force. Actually, although close to zero, the slopes, as indicated in Müller-Karger et al. (2015) were not zero, but -0.03 for RO1, -0.01 for RO2, and simply given in as -0.0 for RO3 and 0.0 for RO4 (see their Table 1). Müller-Karger et al. (2015) also ignored the fact that the time-Chl-a correlation coefficients (R) for all four regions was negative.

To confirm our findings, we chose 4 stations, each one centrally located in each quadrant (Müller-Karger et al., 2015), and conducted regression analyses of the logarithmic transform of the SeaWiFs and MODIS Chl-a concentrations. All four regions showed a negative slope, a negative R, and the negative slopes in the southern gulf (RO3 and RO4) were significantly different
The observed small, but persistent decline in Chl-a from 1993 to 2017 may be attributed to the AMOC’s over-all effect of warming the surface water and thereby promoting stratification. However, we wish to make clear that our conclusion about the recent time-dependent lowering of the Chl-a pertains only to the near surface, and may not indicate a decrease in the primary productivity integrated over the entire water column. In the GoM, the chlorophyll maximum as measured by fluorescence occurs at about 75 m, e.g., below one optical depth, and is greater in summer than in winter (Pasqueron de Fommervault et al., 2017), indicating that the relationship between water column productivity and near surface Chl-a concentration in the GoM requires further study. Our own results and conclusions are based on SeaWifs and AquaMODIS chlorophyll data, which in Type One water, correlate very well with chlorophyll measured with standard laboratory methods (Mati Kahru, personal communication). In our work we can only say that according to these satellite products, we find a time-dependent diminution of the Chl-a signal. This diminution has been widely observed by others although in other waters (Behrenfeld et al., 2006, Polovina et al., 2008; Irwin and Oliver, 2009, Laffoley and Baxter., 2016).

4. Summary and conclusions

The availability of a large spatial extension of satellite observations of ADT, sea surface currents, wind stress over a quarter of century and Chl-a over 20 years has enabled us to confirm the LC and CW dynamics observed in the 60’s and 70’s with more recent in situ observations. The verification of the CWF climatologies developed in this work is important as a reference baseline for further numerical modeling, and it impacts assessments of the gulf’s biogeochemistry, energy, heat transport, and Chl-a concentration. A recent committee of the National Academic of Sciences,
(2018) suggested three main study topics to advance the knowledge of the processes that characterize the GoM: 1) the LC system active area, 2) the variation of the inflows of the LC system, and 3) the dynamic interactions of the LC system in the west. Following these suggestions, we have confirmed that the maximum influence of the CW into the GoM (e.g., its maximum extension into the gulf or intrusion) has a temporal variability, being stronger in summer and weaker in the late fall and winter. This is supported by the fact that the generated monthly EKE maps have the maximum gradient at the periphery of the CWF and have a similar monthly pattern of extension and retraction as the CWF.

We noted that in the summer months the wind stress from the southeast is weak, thereby minimizing the flow of nutrients to the surface and causing Chl-a to be low, specifically for three reasons: 1) The increase in the surface temperature of the water column strengthens stratification 2) The intrusion of the CW to the western gulf’s surface thickens the surface layer, and 3) The eddy-driven anticyclonic circulation deepens the nutricline. This contrasts with the cold seasons, when the surface temperature of the water is lower and the northerly winds are stronger, favoring the flow of nutrients to the surface.

The three-year running averages of ADT 40 cm isoline reproduce qualitatively the climatological pattern of a quarter of a century showing that before 2002 the CWF was less intrusive and the LCEs sizes were smaller. In the 1993-2002 period, we calculated that the mean life cycle of the eddies was 6.8 months and that in the 2003-2015 period the mean life cycle was 11.7 months. This difference suggests that after 2003, larger volumes of oligotrophic waters from Caribbean Sea have invaded the western GoM and reduced mean surface Chl-a concentrations. This work shows that
• The intrusion of the CW by LC-LCEs extends further into the western GoM than was previously known.

• *Chl*-a concentrations respond to the dynamics inside the GoM and are influenced by the CWF and the LC anticyclonic and cyclonic eddies.

• Since 2002, near surface *Chl*-a concentrations over bathymetry deeper than 250 m have decreased, and GoM surface waters may be turning more oligotrophic than in the previous decade.

This work, based on 25 years of remotely sensed data, emphasizes the role of climatology in determining GoM circulation and its productivity and suggests that further climatologically-induced changes are probably imminent.
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Table 1. Average bold numbers for Chl-a concentrations (mg m⁻³) and differences (mg m⁻³; (%)) between Early and Contemporary averages at two geographical areas: 95.5°W, 22.12°N and 91.5°W, 25.87°N, (Western GoM) and 86°W, 22.12°N and 84.75°W, 23.37°N (LC-LCEs) during "early" (1998-2002), "middle" (2003-2008), and "contemporary" (2009-2014) epochs. Table 1 shows the compared averages in bold print. Standard deviations and number of pixels considered are shown in parenthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western GoM</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>0.180 (±0.047, n=4026)</td>
<td>0.167 (±0.048, n=4866)</td>
<td>0.173 (±0.0624, n=4828)</td>
<td>0.007 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop Current</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.149 (±0.052, n=536)</td>
<td>0.129 (±0.064, n=647)</td>
<td>0.117 (±0.062, n=645)</td>
<td>0.032 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western GoM</td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>0.114 (±0.033, n=3693)</td>
<td>0.087 (±0.049, n=4658)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop Current</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0948 (±0.074, n=526)</td>
<td>0.085 (±0.1287, n=642)</td>
<td>0.0835 (±0.116, n=648)</td>
<td>0.011 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western GoM</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>0.0887 (±0.024, n=3924)</td>
<td>0.080 (±0.022, n=4794)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop Current</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.109 (±0.217, n=535)</td>
<td>0.091 (±0.171, n=647)</td>
<td>0.0938 (±0.148, n=648)</td>
<td>0.015 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western GoM</td>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>0.151 (±0.052, n=3894)</td>
<td>0.137 (±0.044, n=4876)</td>
<td>0.127 (±0.043, n=4846)</td>
<td>0.024 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop Current</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.138 (±0.128, n=525)</td>
<td>0.1325 (±0.114, n=643)</td>
<td>0.122 (±0.103, n=648)</td>
<td>0.016 (12%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE CAPTIONS:

Fig. 1. Monthly means of absolute dynamic topography (ADT) and surface currents averaged over a quarter of a century (1993-2017).

Fig. 2. Climatological monthly maps of eddy kinetic energy (EKE) in GoM: red color contours correspond to the areas of maxima EKE. The heavy black line corresponds to the isoline of 40 cm 2.2 cm of the CWF (the contour of the CWF is significant at the 95% of level). The EKE was calculated using daily maps of satellite-derived currents from AVISO (GEKCO) for a quarter of a century (1993 – 2017).

Fig. 3. Geographical positions of the CWF tracked using the 40 cm ADT isoline representing 1993-2017 monthly average values: a) Northward and b) Westward, respectively; c) ADT spectral analysis in a region influenced by the CWF (91.25°W, 23.125°N and 83.5°W, 28.12°N).

Fig. 4. The ADT quarter-century CWF (1993-2017) monthly climatology and its standard deviation are shown in heavy and dotted lines, respectively. The heavy line corresponds to the 40 cm isoline of the CWF. The dotted line encloses values of the standard deviation >15 cm.

Fig. 5. Average monthly percentage surface areas of CW in the interior of the Gulf of Mexico determined from climatology of the STD contour > 15 cm; enclosed areas were calculated in relation to the GoM area (1.56x10^6 km^2).

Fig. 6. Monthly means of absolute dynamic topography (ADT) from 1993-2002 (color) and its respective CWF computed with the 40 cm isoline (heavy black line).

Fig. 7. Monthly means of absolute dynamic topography (ADT) from 2003-2017 (color) and respective CWF computed with the 40 cm isoline (heavy black line).

Fig. 8. Monthly climatologies of Chl-a (SeaWIFS, 1998-2002 and MODIS data source, 2003-2017). The heavy black line represents the contour of the 40 cm ADT data that represents the CWF (1998-2017). Chl-a values larger than 1 mg m^-3 are plotted in red.

Fig. 9. From top left to bottom right, average Chl-a values according to period: column 1, SeaWIFS 1998-2002, column 2, MODIS 2003-2008, and column 3, MODIS 2009-2014. From top to bottom figures correspond to the mean seasons. Average Chl-a concentration is computed inside the white and red squares (white corresponds to the western GoM and red corresponds to the LC area). Average values for each time period and season are in Table 1.

Fig. 10. Differences of Chl-a concentration (mg m^-3) between 2009-2014 average values of MODIS data minus 1998-2002 average SeaWIFS values. The broken line represents the 250 m isoloth. White contoured areas indicate no significant differences.

Fig. 11. Chl-a concentrations (mg m^-3) at four stations (a to d) in the GoM, daily time series derived from SeaWIFS from 1998 to 2002 (green) and MODIS from 2003 to 2017 (blue). Least square regressions for SeaWIFS (red line), MODIS (cyan line), and the overall linear regressions for each station (dashed black line).
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