Present-climate trends and variability in thermohaline properties of the northern Adriatic shelf
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Abstract: The paper documents seasonality, interannual to decadal variability and trends in temperature, salinity and density over a transect in the shallow northern Adriatic Sea (Mediterranean Sea) between 1979 and 2017. The amplitude of seasonality decreases with depth, and is much larger in temperature and density than in salinity. Time series of temperature and salinity are correlated in the surface but not in the bottom layer. Trends in temperature are large (up to 0.6°C over 10 years), significant through the area and not sensitive to the sampling interval and time series length. In contrast, trends in salinity are largely weak and insignificant and depend on the time series length. The warming of the area is stronger during spring and summer. Such large temperature trends and their spatial variability emphasize the importance of maintaining regular long-term observations for proper estimation of thermohaline trends and their variability. This is particularly important in regions which are key for driving thermohaline circulation such as the northern Adriatic, with a potential to affect biogeochemical and ecological properties of the whole Adriatic Sea.

1 Introduction

Albeit being shallow, with depths lower than 80 m and of limited dimensions (ca. 150 km x 250 km), the northern Adriatic shelf (Fig. 1) has been early recognized as an important area in the Mediterranean, as a number of important ocean processes occurs there. These include: (1) tides, which are strongly amplified due to their near-resonance with the Adriatic eigenoscillations and surpass 1 m at the northernmost part of the Adriatic (Janeković and Kuzmić, 2005; Vilibić et al., 2017), (2) storm surges, which are generated by a strong and persistent sirocco wind and can flood coastal cities in the northern Adriatic, like Venice (Trigo and Davies, 2002; Medugorac et al., 2018), (3) a substantial freshwater discharge (Raicich, 1996), that drives the surface branch of the Adriatic Sea thermohaline circulation, outflowing along the western coast and causing the counterflow along the eastern coastline that brings saline Levantine Intermediate Water (LIW) all the way to the northern Adriatic (Orlić et al., 1992; Artegiani et al., 1997), and (4) dense water formation (Bergamasco et al., 1999; Beg Paklar et al.,
2001; Mihanović et al., 2013), which occurs during the wintertime cold bora outbreaks at the shelf (Grisogono and Belušić, 2009), resulting in generation of the densest Mediterranean water mass, the North Adriatic Dense Water (NAdDW, Zore-Armanda, 1963). The NAdDW spreads over the deep Adriatic layers as a density current (Artegiani and Salusti, 1987) and ventilates the middle and southern Adriatic depressions (Vilibić, 2003; Bensi et al., 2013).

All of the quoted processes are, to certain extent, influenced by climate changes, thus a prerequisite for proper assessment of their variability is a long-term monitoring. Due to their quasi-resonant nature, the tides are sensitive to changes in mean sea level, i.e. a sea level rise of 2 m would increase the major diurnal tide $K_1$ by 25% and decrease the major semidiurnal tide $M_2$ by 10% in the northern Adriatic (Lionello et al., 2005). The Adriatic storm surges are sensitive to the intensity and frequency of cyclones, projected to decrease in their frequency but not in peak intensity in the future climate (Androulidakis et al., 2015; Lionello et al., 2017b). Also, a small change of synoptic patterns may change distribution of storm surge heights along the coastal northern Adriatic (Medugorac et al., 2018). A pronounced warming trend with maximum in the summer season and a substantial decrease in precipitation, especially in the warm season (Giorgi, 2006; Giorgi and Lionello, 2008; Planton et al., 2012; Branković et al., 2013; Lionello and Scarascia, 2018), are going to affect river discharges in the Adriatic. Yet, the Alpine region is projected to be less influenced by the precipitation decrease, having even an increase in its northern parts (Gao et al., 2006), as a dividing line between the Mediterranean drying regime and the continental wetting regime in precipitation projections is stretching along the northern Adriatic drainage areas (Zampieri et al., 2012). For that reason, freshwater influx to the Adriatic Sea through the northern Adriatic rivers will change with climate, but much in terms of seasonality than total runoff (Coppola et al., 2014). Last but not least, dense water formation in the Adriatic is found to have a decreasing trend in the present climate, at least as seen on the thermohaline circulation reproduced by ocean climate simulations (Somot et al., 2006) and long-term measurements along the Palagruža Sill transect (Vilibić et al., 2013).

The northern Adriatic Sea is one of rare Mediterranean regions where a tradition of continuous high-resolution (monthly or bimonthly) monitoring of oceanographic parameters has been preserved over a prescribed set of stations. Such an approach is sparse in the rest of the Mediterranean (Malanotte-Rizzoli et al., 2014). These measurements allow for long-term investigations of the northern Adriatic ecosystem variability and trends (Plavšić, 2004; Mozetič et al., 2010; Ivančić et al., 2010; Kraus and Supić, 2011; Marić et al., 2012; Giani et al., 2012; Djakovac et al., 2015; Ivesa et al., 2016; Dautović et al., 2017). Previous works also include analysis of variability and changes of the thermohaline properties (Supić et al., 2004) and their drivers, freshwater discharges and air-sea fluxes (Supić and Ivančić, 2002). The long-term trends in temperature and salinity over a centennial timescale have not been found significant in the eastern part of the northern Adriatic shelf (1921-2000, station RV001, Supić et al., 2004), although the deep southern Adriatic exhibits a centenarian warming (1911-2009, Lipitzer et al., 2014). Yet, the temperature and salinity trends in the last few decades have been found significant in different parts or the whole Mediterranean Sea (Shaltout and Omstedt, 2014; Grbec et al., 2018; Pastor et al., 2018; Bengil and Mavruk, 2019). This applies both to the surface, but also to the deep layers to which warming induced by climate change propagates more slowly (Bethoux et al., 1990; Tsimpis and Baker, 2000; Millot et al., 2006; Cusinato et al., 2018).
The Adriatic Sea in situ ocean observations have been also continuous at the Palagruža Sill transect in the middle Adriatic, since 1952. The Palagruža series have been exploited in a number of studies (i.e. Grbec et al., 2009; Vilibić et al., 2012, 2013; Mihanović et al., 2015), as this transect is located at the key region for water mass exchange between the deep and the shallow Adriatic and Mediterranean regions (Buljan and Zore-Armanda, 1976; Martin et al., 2009). The Palagruža Sill trends indicate a weakening of the Adriatic-Ionian thermohaline circulation (Vilibić et al., 2013), which is governed by the dense water formation and freshwater discharges in the northern Adriatic, as well as by the inflow of the LIW and the dominant circulation patterns in the Ionian. Yet, the northern Adriatic thermohaline measurements, in particular their variability and trends, have not been analyzed during the last two decades when accelerated changes in the Mediterranean climate have been found to occur (Lionello et al., 2017a). Our study bridges this research gap, analyzing thermohaline variability and trends during the 1979-2017 period when the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) is providing interim reanalysis (ERA-Interim). The emphasis is particularly given to the present-climate trends and their persistence. Section 2 presents the data and the methods. An analysis of seasonal variance, interannual variability and trends are given in Section 3. Finally, Section 4 discusses the results and highlights the major findings.

2 Data and methods

In situ temperature and salinity data were collected at six stations surveyed mostly monthly or bimonthly between 1979 and 2017: SJ108, SJ101, SJ103, SJ105, SJ107 and RV001 (Fig. 1, from west near Italy to east near Croatia). The samples were taken at 0, 5, 10, 20, 30 m and 2 m above the seabed. Temperature was measured by protected reversing thermometers (Richter and Wiese, Berlin, precision ±0.01 °C) and by reversing digital thermometers (SIS RTM 4002, precision ±0.003 °C) assembled to the Niskin bottles. Salinity was determined using Mohr and Knudsen's method with an accuracy of ±0.05 (data between 1966 and 1977) or by high precision laboratory salinometers with accuracy of ±0.01 (data from 1978 onwards). For months with two or more cruises, the averages were used in analyses. The number of samples in a month varied between 19 to 25 in November and 37 to 40 in July, with sampling more frequent during summer season and in March than during the rest of a year (Fig. 2).

All data were checked for quality (1) by using min–max thresholds (4-32°C for temperature, 10-40 for salinity) defined by long-term climatology of the northern Adriatic (Artegiani et al., 1997; Lipizer et al., 2014), (2) by ensuring that vertical stability of the water column has been preserved, and (3) by visual checking and comparison of each data to its neighbors in both space (measurements at neighboring depths and stations) and time. Potential density anomalies (PDAs) with a reference pressure of zero were estimated using TEOS-10 algorithms.

Seasonal changes of all variables were largely removed from each series by fitting annual (12 months) and semi-annual (6 months) cosine functions to data. The procedure was separately applied for each station, depth and variable. Due to the discontinuous nature of the monthly measurements \( X_t \) used in this study, the removal of the annual cycle from the time
series via a classical Fourier analysis or a low-pass filter could not be applied directly. The annual cycle \( S_t \) was thus obtained with a general harmonic regression model (Chatfield, 2004; Wilks, 2011) applied to the de-trended signal \( Y_i = X_i - T_i - \mu_i \) where \( T_i \) and \( \mu_i \) are respectively the linear trend and the mean estimated for the raw signal \( X_i \) and using cosine and sinus functions for two Fourier frequencies \( \left( f_1 = \frac{1}{12}, f_2 = \frac{1}{6} \right) \):

\[
S_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ a_i \cos(2\pi f_i t) + b_i \sin(2\pi f_i t) \right], \quad \text{where} \quad a_i = R_i \cos(d_i) \quad \text{and} \quad b_i = -R_i \sin(d_i) \quad \text{with} \quad R_i \quad \text{and} \quad d_i \quad \text{the amplitude and the phase of the signal respectively. The remaining signal} \quad Z_i = X_i - S_i \quad \text{is referred as residual series and used for the trend analysis. The residual series kept a part of the monthly signal, which in the case of strong seasonal oscillations (like in the northern Adriatic) is normally much lower than the annual cycle (see Section 3.1).}
\]

The trend significance was estimated by Mann-Kendall nonparametric test.

3 Results

3.1 Seasonal cycle, variance and residual averages

Seasonal changes in the surface layer are quite strong (Fig. 3), with surface temperature reaching minimum of 8-9°C in February and maximum of 25-26°C in August. Monthly max-min range in the surface temperature is slightly larger on the western (SJ101) than on the eastern (RV001) section of the transect, due to stronger haline-driven stratification that decreases the rate of vertical heat exchange between surface and bottom layers. For the same reason, the monthly range of bottom temperature is lower on the western side than on the eastern side of the transect. Surface salinity peaks during wintertime (37.3 at SJ101 in January and 38.0 at RV001 in February) when the freshening by rivers is restricted to the western coastline (Artegiani et al., 1997), and falls down to (32.3 at SJ101 in May and 36.3 at SJ101 and RV001 in July, respectively) following the spring maximum in river discharges (Raicich, 1996). Bottom salinity does not change much, having values around 38.0 throughout a year. PDA seasonal cycle is mostly affected by temperature changes on the eastern side of the transect (up to 70% of PDA surface change is due to temperature changes, when linear equation of state of seawater is applied), and by salinity changes on the western side of the transect (up to 55% of PDA surface change is due to salinity changes). The largest PDA values are measured in February, with near-bottom values between 29.4 and 29.5 kg/m³ along the transect. These numbers indicate generation of the NAdDW over most of the northern Adriatic, filling the northern Adriatic shelf before flowing to the southeast along the western shelf break (Artegiani and Salusti, 1987; Janeković et al., 2014).

On the eastern side of the transect, the seasonal changes have a much larger amplitude than the residual variations for both surface and bottom temperatures (Fig. 4). The same applies to PDA. However, salinity, particularly for the bottom layer,
is not as strongly dominated by the seasonal variations. The dominance of the seasonal signal in the temperature changes is emerging from percentages of annual and semi-annual cycle variance versus total variance (Fig. 5), which are above 90% at the surface and is not lower than 75% near the bottom. The variance of the salinity seasonal series surpass 30% at the surface of the eastern section of the transect, and 20% at the surface of the western section of the transect (station SJ108). This implies that transport of the Po River waters towards the eastern coastline has a larger seasonality, whilst station SJ108 is affected by the river plume uniformly throughout a year (Kourafalou, 1999). In the bottom layer, variance of salinity seasonal series is low (<5%), indicating a dominance of processes on interannual to decadal over seasonal timescale, as well as of transient changes occurring over a few months period. The PDA seasonal variance is affected by both temperature and salinity and is largest in the subsurface layer of the eastern side of the transect (80-90%), while varying between 60 and 70% at the bottom of the western side of the transect.

The averages of temperature estimated from the residual series (Fig. 6) exhibit a substantial decrease with depth. This is the consequence of (1) cold and dense waters generated during winter, residing at the bottom and increasing stratification of the water column through the rest of the year, and (2) a flooding of the northern Adriatic by waters of low salinity during most of a year. The flooding of the whole Rovinj-Po transect with low-salinity waters coming from the northern Adriatic rivers is reflected in the mean salinity distribution, with average salinity lower for about 4.0 at the surface than at the bottom off the river Po mouth (station SJ108), and for about 0.6 at the RV001 station. Further on, surface salinity is lower in the western side (33.7 in average at SJ108) than in the side section (37.3 in average at RV001) of the transect. The maximum in salinity is documented in near-bottom layers of stations SJ107 through SJ105, indicating the area where the largest advection of saline waters from the southeast is occurring. PDA distribution along the transect dominantly reflects the effects of salinity in the surface layer and of both temperature and salinity in the bottom layer, with the densest waters residing at the bottom of the central and western sections of the transect (stations SJ105 to SJ101).

3.2 Interannual variability

Hovmoller plots of residual temperatures, salinity and PDA series at RV001 and SJ101 stations (Fig. 7) show a strong interannual signal, both in surface and bottom layers. An overall increase in temperature at all stations can be visually detected, with prolonged cooler periods in the bottom layer at the beginning of the series (e.g. 1983-1987), and shorter periods of above-average temperatures more frequent in the second part of the series (e.g. 2000-2001, 2007, 2014). Regarding variations of the residual salinity series, they seem to be a combination of interannual (1-3 years) and quasi-decadal variability (5-10 years). Prolonged periods of higher salinity (e.g. 1987-1991, 2002-2008), interrupted with shorter periods of lower salinity (e.g. 1984-1986, 1991-1998, 2009-2015), all superimposed with interannual variability, can be noticed. Residual PDA interannual to decadal changes range between 27.2 kg/m$^3$ at station SJ103 and 29.7 kg/m$^3$ at station SJ105 near the bottom (30 m). By applying linear equation of state of seawater, it follows that ca. 80-90% and ca. 55% of residual PDA surface and bottom change are due to residual salinity changes, on average over the transect. Minimum densities are reached in periods 1993-
1995, 2000-2002 and 2007-2015, while highest residual PDA values are observed in period 2003-2005. The last period matches
the ending years of a strong cyclonic the Adriatic-Ionian Bimodal Oscillating System (BiOS) regime (1998-2006, Mihanović
et al., 2015, see more explanations and discussion in Section 4).

Temperature and salinity in the surface layer (0 m) are correlated at 95% at all stations but SJ108. An increase in
temperature is associated with a decrease in salinity and vice versa. Yet, temperature and salinity are not correlated at 95% in
the bottom layer (30 m), except at station RV001. Variability of residual temperatures is presumably dominantly a result of
the direct forcing from the atmosphere associated with the hemispheric patterns that are known to influence Central
Mediterranean temperatures and precipitation (like East Atlantic pattern, Ionita et al., 2015; Scorzini et al., 2018). The bottom
variability of residual salinity is likely largely due to advection of salt from the southeast through the Adriatic-Ionian
thermohaline circulation (Orlić et al., 2006).

3.3 Trends

Temperature, salinity and PDA trends estimated on residual annual averages, and presented in Fig. 8, reveal an
extensive and statistically significant heating of the entire water column over the whole transect. Trends range from 0.1 to
0.6°C over 10 years, reaching maximum value off the Po River mouth at the bottom of the SJ108 station. Positive salinity and
PDA trends at this station are also large in the surface layer, and weak and even opposite in the bottom layer, indicating a
weakening of stratification south of the Po River delta, and implying that this higher-than-average temperature bottom trends
might be due to an increase of heat transfer towards the bottom in the area. Temperature trends are lowest on the eastern section
of the transect (0.1-0.2°C over 10 years), where an inflow of waters from the middle Adriatic occurs (Franco et al., 1992; Orlić
et al., 1992; Artegiani et al., 1997).

Salinity annual trends are mostly insignificant over the transect. In addition to station SJ108, significant increase in
salinity is also documented at the surface of station SJ107, indicating a mild increase of salinity along the eastern part of the
section. The increase is presumably result of a combination of persistent salting of the middle and southern Adriatic (Vilibić
et al., 2013; Lipizer et al., 2014) advected towards the northern Adriatic and of effects of freshwater influx from the northern
Adriatic rivers. Interesting surface salinity trends are found off the Po River mouth, with trend at SJ101 negative, but
insignificant, and trend at SJ108 positive and significant (>0.6 over 10 years). As both stations are largely influenced by the
Po River plume (Kourafalou, 1999), which is characterized by a minimum of salinity in the surface layer (Fig. 3), these trends
indicate a change in the Po River dynamics over the examined period. I.e. the plume has been restricted more towards the east
and over station SJ101 during the recent decades, while it spread more to the south and over station SJ108 during the 1980s
and 1990s.

The bipolar surface structure in trends off the Po River mouth is also detectable from PDA, while trends over the rest
of the transect are both thermally- and haline-driven. For that reason, the PDA trends are mostly negative over the transect,
with rates from -0.3 kg/m³ to -0.1 kg/m³ over 10 years.
Trends in residual temperature, salinity and PDA differ between months. January temperature trends (Fig. 9) are positive, with maximum in bottom layers at the central part of the transect and at station SJ108 (> 0.4°C over 10 years). The salinity trend in January trend follows the temperature trend in the central section of the transect, indicating an increased rate of advection of the middle Adriatic waters to the northern Adriatic. Trends in summer months, in particular July, have more complex spatial structure due to baroclinicity. Temperature trends surpass 0.6°C over 10 years at the very surface and off the river Po delta, while they are insignificant and even opposite at the bottom of the central and eastern parts of the transect. Such a distribution indicates that vertical transfer of heat was reduced in the central and eastern sections of the transect, resulting in accumulation of the heat energy close to the surface. In contrast, temperature trends are largest at the bottom of SJ108 station, where larger heat transfer to the bottom is presumably allowed by increased surface salinity and therefore reduced vertical stratification. The salinity trend in July is positive in the western part of the section, peaking at the station SJ108 (0.86 over 10 years).

The trends estimated for a month (Fig. 10) reveal that trends are more intense and more significant during spring and summer months. Largest temperature trends on the eastern side of the transect (RV001) are documented between April and August: in the bottom layer from April through May and in the surface layer between July and August. The bottom trends go to near-zero values between July and November, revealing stability of weak vertical exchange of heat due to increased stratification and lowered mixing during late summer/autumn. These trends also reflect trends in air temperature which are larger during the summer and lower during the winter in the region (Shohami et al., 2011; Bartolini et al., 2012). A secondary maximum in temperature trends on the eastern side of the transect occurs in December. Temperature trends at SJ101 are similar to trends at RV001, but even higher during spring and summer (occasionally >0.8°C over 10 years), reflecting coherent seasonal changes over most of the transect. Yet, temperature trends at SJ108 are different, with a maximum restricted to depths higher than 10 m during spring and summer months.

Salinity trends present strong differences between the eastern and the western sides of the transect. Trends are insignificant on the eastern side of the transect, weakly negative in spring and positive in summer months (only in surface layers) and in December. In contrast, salinity trends are strongly negative at the surface of SJ101 during most of the year (Fig. 10, <-0.4 over 10 years), indicating positive trends in offshore expansion of the Po River plume. Simultaneously, salinity trends are strongly positive at the surface of SJ108 (occasionally >0.7 over 10 years).

PDA trends are negative at most of the transect in all months, except at surface layers of the SJ108 station. PDA decrease, although not significant, is evident in winter months (January-February), during which the NAdDW is generated in the northern Adriatic (Zore-Armanda, 1963; Arregiani et al., 1997; Mihanović et al., 2013). The 30-m February PDA trends range between -0.11 kg/m³ at SJ101 and -0.08 kg/m³ over 10 years at RV001. The period in which the NAdDW spreads to the middle and southern Adriatic, March-June, is characterized with even more negative PDA trends, driven by both temperature and salinity trends.

As the time series are relatively short, it is a question if the trends are sensitive to the choice of the sampling interval or have a persistence regardless of the sampling interval. For temperature, trends are persistent (Fig. 11), significant and change
a little over the whole transect, regardless of inclusion of recent data in the analysis (for the last 17 years). In contrast, salinity trends are substantially dependent on the data interval. For example, salinity trends are significantly positive along the eastern section of the transect for the data intervals between 1979 and 2000s. However, the trends became insignificant when series are extended series to the 2010s. Trends contrast even more for stations off the Po River mouth, where surface trends switch from negative to positive and then to negative with changing interval (1979 to early 2000s vs. 1979 to mid and late 2000s vs. 1979 to 2010s). Therefore, interannual to decadal variability of the Po River freshwater outflow may substantially alter the salinity trends in the northern Adriatic. That also refers to the PDA trends, sensitivity of which to the length of the examined time series is dominantly influenced by salinity changes in the surface layer. Yet, temperature effect keeps PDA trends more stable and mostly significantly negative in the bottom layer.

However, an uneven sampling through a year was conducted over the time interval, with more data gaps present in the first 11 years of monitoring (1979-1989). This particularly applies to autumn, when the maximum in temperature is present in near-bottom layers (30 m, Fig. 4). To test if these gaps might affect the annual trend computation, the gaps were mirrored to the last 11 years of measurements (2007-2017) before trend estimates. Precisely, the data gap present in 1979 at a certain station, depth and parameter is imposed to 2017 through omitting of the respective data, the gaps in 1980 are imposed to 2016, etc. Then, the annual trends are recomputed (not shown). The temperature trend shows a slight decrease, up to 10% at the most of the transect, and larger decrease (about 20 %) at 30 m of stations SJ105 and SJ108. Yet, overall distribution of temperature trends and of their significance over the transect remains the same. Salinity trends were not affected much by the mirroring, while the PDA trends resemble a small but not significant decrease over the transect driven by the decrease in temperature trends.

4 Discussion and conclusions

The study reveals several important conclusions coming from the presented analysis of multidecadal temperature, salinity and density dataset measured in the northern Adriatic between 1979 and 2017:

1. Albeit the northern Adriatic is quite shallow, its vertical stratification is persistent through most of the year (March-November) due to seasonal heating and freshening by a substantial river inflow.

2. Interannual to decadal changes in bottom temperature and salinity are not correlated, acting on different time scales and indicating different dominant mechanisms governing their variability, i.e. presumably surface heat fluxes and advection of water masses mostly drive temperature and salinity changes, respectively.

3. Temperature trends are strongly positive, significant and persistent in time all over the investigated region, slightly overestimated by a change in sampling strategy over seasons, larger near the surface and during spring and summer over the most of the investigated area and seasons.

4. Salinity trends are weak and mostly insignificant, changing with data interval used for the trend computation, whilst reflecting changes in the plume dynamics of the major Adriatic river, Po River.
5. From surface salinity trends at stations SJ101 and SJ108, it can be hypothesized that the transport of freshwater has been reduced towards the southeast along the coast during the investigated period, and increased (or remained the same) towards the west. The consequence may be an increase in eddy kinetic energy and in residence time of waters in the northernmost part of the Adriatic.

6. Wintertime PDA trends indicate that lighter dense waters have been generated in the northern Adriatic during recent decades, dominantly due to temperature changes.

Our observed temperature trends are slightly higher than the average sea surface temperature trends in the Mediterranean in the examined period, which are also found to peak in summer (Shaltout and Omstedt, 2014; Pastor et al., 2018). The largest trend derived from satellites (1982-2016, Pastor et al., 2018) is observed in June, with the rate of 0.43°C over 10 years over the whole Mediterranean and ca. 0.55°C over 10 years over the northern Adriatic. The surface temperature trends estimated in June and derived from in situ measurements at the Rovinj-Po transect (1979-2016) are 0.63-0.85°C over 10 years (exception is SJ108, which is behaving differently as it is strongly influenced by the Po River plume). The lowest satellite-derived trends in the northern Adriatic are determined for October and equal to approximately 0.06°C over 10 years, versus 0.15-0.30°C over 10 years observed at the northern Adriatic transect. Overall, both satellite-derived and in situ-derived sea surface temperatures have the maximum in summer (June-July), while being lowest in October and January-February.

Such large temperature trends in the northern Adriatic and in the examined period might be due to (1) much shallower thermocline in the northern Adriatic than in the rest of the Adriatic and Mediterranean (Franco et al., 1992; Artegiani et al., 1997; Lipizer et al., 2014), resulting in a larger accumulation of heat energy near the surface, and (2) a consequence of atmospheric teleconnection processes acting on larger spatial and temporal scale, like the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO, Knight et al., 2006), which has been found to be responsible for a half of the sea surface temperature trend in the Mediterranean (Marullo et al., 2011; Skliris et al., 2012; Macias et al., 2013). Regarding the rest of the Adriatic, the literature implies that sea surface temperature had a negative trend in the coastal eastern Adriatic between 1960 and 1975, while this trend was strongly positive between 1979 and 2015 (0.23-0.32°C over 10 years, Grbec et al., 2018). For that reason, in situ sea surface temperatures trends obtained over the middle Adriatic transversal transect between 1952 and 2010 (Vilibić et al., 2013) were found much lower, about 0.1°C over 10 years along the eastern section of the transect. Yet, these trends were much stronger (about 0.25°C over 10 years) along the western side of the middle Adriatic transect. Regardless of decadal and multidecadal oscillations, the temperature trends in the whole Mediterranean have been positive during the 1950-2015 period (Iona et al., 2018). The northern Adriatic trends follow these findings.

Differently, the Adriatic salinity fluctuations have been largely affected by the Adriatic-Ionian Bimodal Oscillating System (BiOS, Gačić et al., 2010), which is reflected in quasi-decadal (5-10 years) regime changes in circulation of the northern Ionian Sea and dominantly driven by the dense water formation in the Adriatic Sea (Gačić et al., 2010, 2014; Mihanović et al., 2015; Reale et al., 2017). The BiOS alters salinity in the Adriatic through advection of the highly saline and ultraoligotrophic LIW from the Levantine Basin, which is prevailing during the cyclonic BiOS regime, or advection of less saline and nutrient-richer Western Mediterranean waters, which is occurring with the anticyclonic BiOS regime. These alterations have been
documented to affect the middle and southern Adriatic (Gačić et al., 2010; Mihanović et al., 2015), yet not proven to affect the shallow northern Adriatic, which is still considered to be mostly affected by local processes, including a substantial freshwater load by rivers (Franco et al., 1992; Artegiani et al., 1997). Recent investigations on some chemical parameters (Dautović et al., 2017) and bivalve growth (Peharda et al., 2018) along the eastern coast of the northern Adriatic document a qualitative matching and significant correlations between these variables and the BiOS regimes. Our results are supportive to that hypothesis, as prolonged (5-10 years) periods of increased or lowered salinity can be found in the northern Adriatic, lagging after the BiOS reversals in the northern Ionian Sea for a few years. In order to properly quantify the effects of different local and remote drivers to the northern Adriatic thermohaline variability, further research is needed.

Still, salinity trends in the northern Adriatic are not significant over the investigated period, which differs from trends obtained in the middle Adriatic (Vilibić et al., 2013) or the whole Adriatic (Lipizer et al., 2014) over a longer time interval. Further, salinity increase in the Adriatic is found to be largest of all the Mediterranean basins in both present climate investigations and climate projections (Somot et al., 2006; Iona et al., 2018), whilst the whole Mediterranean is projected to salt (Vargas-Yanez et al., 2017). Whilst no significant trend in annual freshwater discharge has been documented in recent decades in the northern Adriatic (Zanchettin et al., 2008; Montanari, 2012), the difference in salinity trends between the middle and northern Adriatic reveals a change in the freshwater dynamics in the northern Adriatic. Conclusively, increased stratification in the northern Adriatic and intensified spreading of river waters over the shallow shelf due to increased thermally-driven stratification, might be one of the processes responsible for weakening of the Western Adriatic Current and the thermohaline circulation (Vilibić et al., 2013).

This study emphasizes the importance of continuous in situ monitoring of thermohaline and other ocean parameters over a prescribed set of stations and with satisfactory resolution for climate studies (e.g. She et al., 2016). Resolution of measurements used in our analysis, is monthly to bimonthly. These kind of observations are scarce in the Mediterranean but continuous at two transect in the Adriatic Sea. The maintenance of these observations is a key for proper assessment of climate changes, which might be rapid in coastal areas and might have wider consequences, such as weakening of thermohaline circulation, deoxygenation of deep regions, changing of the biogeochemical properties and fluxes and, at end, impacting the living organisms and fisheries of a region (Tintore et al., 2013).
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Figure 1. The northern Adriatic orography and bathymetry and location of stations.
Figure 2. Total number of samplings per month during the studied period.
Figure 3. Seasonal course of temperature, salinity and PDA at SJ101 (left) and RV001 (right).
Figure 4. Original series and residual component of the temperature, salinity and PDA series at surface (0 m, left) and bottom (30 m, right) of RV001.
Figure 5. Ratio between seasonal and total variance of temperature, salinity and PDA.
Figure 6. Mean temperature, salinity and PDA values, estimated from residual series, across the Rovinj-Po transect.
Figure 7. Hovmoller plots of temperature, salinity and PDA at SJ101 and RV001. Seasonal cycle has been removed.
Figure 8. Annual trends in residual temperature, salinity and PDA. Filled circles denote trends significant at 95%.
Figure 9. January and July trends in residual temperature, salinity and PDA. Filled circles denote trends significant at 95%.
Figure 10. Distribution of trends in a month in residual temperature, salinity and PDA at SJ108, SJ101, and RV001. Filled circles denote trends significant at 95%.
Figure 11. Sensitivity of trend estimates to the length of the series at SJ101 and RV001 stations. The trends are estimated between 1979 and the year indicated at the x-axis. Filled circles denote trends significant at 95%.