Dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) and dimethylsulfide (DMS) cycling across contrasting biological hotspots of the New Zealand Subtropical Front
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Abstract

The oceanic frontal region above the Chatham Rise east of New Zealand was investigated during the late austral summer season in February and March 2012. Despite its potential importance as a source of marine-originating and climate-relevant compounds, such as dimethylsulfide (DMS) and its algal precursor dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP), little is known of the processes fuelling the reservoirs of these sulfur (S) compounds in the water masses bordering the Subtropical Front (STF). This study focused on two opposing short-term fates of DMSP-S following its uptake by microbial organisms: either its conversion into DMS, or its assimilation into bacterial biomass. Sampling took place in three phytoplankton blooms (B1, B2 and B3) with B1 and B3 occurring in relatively nitrate-rich, dinoflagellate-dominated Subantarctic waters, and B2 occurring in nitrate-poor Subtropical waters dominated by coccolithophores. Concentrations of total DMSP (DMSP\textsubscript{t}) and DMS were high across the region, up to 160 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1} and 14.5 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. Pools of DMSP\textsubscript{t} showed a strong association with overall phytoplankton biomass proxied by chlorophyll \textit{a} (\textit{r} = 0.83) likely because of the persistent dominance of dinoflagellates and coccolithophores, both DMSP-rich taxa. Heterotrophic microbes displayed low S assimilation from DMSP (less than 5%) likely because their S requirements were fulfilled by high DMSP availability. Rates of bacterial protein synthesis were significantly correlated with concentrations of dissolved DMSP (DMSP\textsubscript{d}, \textit{r} = 0.86) as well as with the microbial conversion efficiency of DMSP\textsubscript{d} into DMS (DMS yield, \textit{r} = 0.84). Estimates of the potential contribution of microbially-mediated rates of DMS production (0.1 - 27 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1} d\textsuperscript{-1}) to the near-surface concentrations of DMS suggest that bacteria alone could not have sustained DMS pools at most stations, indicating an important role for phytoplankton-mediated DMS production. The findings from this study provide crucial information on the distribution and cycling of DMS and DMSP in a critically under-sampled area of the global ocean, and they highlight the importance of oceanic fronts as hotspots of the production of marine biogenic S compounds.
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In oceanic waters, the gas dimethylsulfide (DMS) is the predominant biogenic compound contributing to the flux of sulfur (S) from the hydrosphere to the atmosphere (Bates et al., 1992; Simó, 2001) with 17.6 to 34.4 Tg of S estimated to be transferred annually (Lana et al., 2011). DMS has gained notoriety over several decades of research on the grounds of its potential role linking ocean biology and the climate (Andreae et al., 1985; Charlson et al., 1987; Lovelock et al., 1972), a role that is still under debate (Quinn et al., 2017; Quinn and Bates, 2011). Produced through the enzymatic cleavage of its marine algae-derived precursor, dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP), DMS ventilates to the marine atmospheric boundary layer (Liss et al., 1997) where it is oxidized, mainly by the hydroxyl radical OH (Andreae and Crutzen, 1997). DMS oxidation products may influence the atmospheric radiative budget via their role in aerosol properties and cloud condensation as well as their contribution to a persistent stratospheric aerosol layer, or Junge layer (Gondwe et al., 2003; Marandino et al., 2013). The significance of DMS-derived particles in affecting the Earth’s cloudiness and albedo is largely determined by the relative importance of atmospheric DMS oxidation products compared to other airborne particles originating from, for example, sea salts, dust and anthropogenic pollutants (Quinn and Bates, 2011). As such, areas without significant dust or anthropogenic particle inputs may offer productive grounds for new particle formation emanating from DMS.

Because DMS is of biogenic origin, factors controlling the distribution and productivity of marine plankton play a large role in shaping DMS dynamics and standing stocks. Oceanic frontal and convergence zones are regions of intense mesoscale turbulence displaying enhanced levels of chlorophyll-a (Belkin et al., 2009) detectable from space (Weeks and Shillington, 1996). The heightened biological activity in these regions (Llido et al., 2005) is thought to lead to intensified carbon drawdown on seasonal timescales (Metzl et al., 1999) as well as high concentrations of DMS (Holligan et al., 1987; Matrai et al., 1996). These productive regions sometimes form unique biogeographic habitats of their own such as the Subtropical Convergence province proposed by Longhurst (2007). Nearly circirling the entire globe in a meridional band between 35-45°S, the Subtropical Convergence, or hereafter termed the Subtropical Front (STF), spreads for the most part across remote regions of the planet where anthropogenic sources of atmospheric
compounds exert subordinate influence on local aerosol patterns compared to natural sources. Modeling-based evidence suggests that cloud condensation nuclei seasonality is driven mainly by DMS oxidation in this part of the ocean (Gondwe et al., 2003; Kloster et al., 2006; Vallina et al., 2006). Episodic phytoplankton bloom events in the STF occur mostly in austral spring-summer, with varying lifetimes of 8 to 60 days (Llido et al., 2005). Upon reaching the Islands of New Zealand (NZ), the STF runs North along the eastern continental shelf break over the Chatham Rise, a relatively shallow (250-350 m) and productive seamount (Bradford-Grieve et al., 1997; Sutton, 2001).

While waters over Chatham Rise are recognized as biological hotspots (Rowden et al., 2005) supporting large phytoplankton blooms visible from space (Sadeghi et al., 2012), as well as accumulations of zooplankton and pelagic fish (Tracey et al., 2004), little is known of their productivity in terms of climate-relevant gases such as DMS. The latest DMS climatological exercise by Lana et al. (2011) shows that for the New Zealand Coastal (NEWZ) province only 6 data points are available (together averaging less than 3 nmol DMS L$^{-1}$), with the temporal extent limited to the month of October. The biological cycling of DMS in this region thus remains surprisingly under documented and mainly restricted to the continental shelf of New Zealand’s North Island (Walker et al., 2000). The bordering ocean provinces comprised of the Subantarctic Water Ring (SANT) and the South Subtropical Convergence (SSTC) have higher data coverage with greater temporal resolution, displaying monthly averages of ca. 5 nmol DMS L$^{-1}$ (December) and ca. 10 nmol DMS L$^{-1}$ (January), respectively. These results suggest that greater variation in DMS concentration might be expected in the NEWZ province, a proposition confirmed by a recent study showing DMS concentrations in surface waters over Chatham Rise spanning an order of magnitude (from ca. 4 to 40 nmol L$^{-1}$, see Walker et al., 2016). It is thus paramount to better constrain the factors that affect DMS concentrations in surface waters above topographic plateaus and in oceanic convergence zones in view of the potential for phytoplankton blooms in these biologically active systems.

Phytoplankton bloom dynamics, particularly their speciation and their growth phases, from onset to senescence, are thought to play major roles in shaping the distribution of DMS firstly through the variable biosynthesis of DMSP by different members of the phytoplankton community (Keller, 1989; Matrai and Keller, 1994). DMSP production is
a widespread process in phytoplankton but its magnitude varies substantially among taxa, from non-detectable among certain cyanobacteria and diatoms, to considerable amounts (up to 400 mmol DMSP L$^{-1}$ of cell volume) within groups such as dinoflagellates and prymnesiophytes (Keller, 1989). Furthermore, physicochemical conditions encountered by algal populations in their environment, such as nutrient repletion or depletion, doses of solar radiation, oxidative stresses, and modifications in salinity or temperature may also impact the production of DMSP, as algal cells up- or down-regulate their production to cope with these external pressures (Simó, 2001; Stefels et al., 2007; Sunda et al., 2002).

DMSP is released into the aqueous environment largely because of cell disruption following aging, grazing or viral attack (Dacey and Wakeham, 1986; Turner et al., 1988) and, to a lesser extent, by healthy algae via active exudation (Laroche et al., 1999). Some non-DMSP producing algal species are thought to take up available dissolved DMSP directly from the medium and assimilate sulfur from DMSP through a process yet to be identified (Vila-Costa et al., 2006a).

Beyond its role as the precursor of DMS, DMSP also holds global biogeochemical significance as a prominent source of reduced S and carbon (C) for marine heterotrophic microorganisms (Kiene et al., 2000; Simó and Dachs, 2002). Depending on bacterial requirements for either S or C and the relative contribution of DMSP to the overall oceanic S pool (Kiene et al. 2000; Levasseur et al 1996; Pinhassi et al. 2005), at least two very different and competing outcomes are involved from the bacterial catabolism of DMSP: one producing DMS, the potential climatic relevant gas, the other producing methanethiol (MeSH), an important microbial substrate (Kiene and Linn, 2000b).

Another potential fate for DMSP is its transformation into dissolved non-volatile degradation products (DNVS), including sulfate $(\text{SO}_4^{2-})$, however less is known of the molecular pathways involved in this process (Kiene and Linn, 2000b; Reisch et al., 2011). The relative importance of these competing pathways varies widely in nature and the yield of DMS from DMSP$_d$ (moles of DMS produced from moles of DMSP consumed) may vary from 2 to 100%. The factors controlling them, however, are still poorly understood (Kiene et al., 2000; Simó and Pedrós-Alió, 1999). Bacterial production of DMS is not the sole pathway bolstering reservoirs of DMS in marine waters: certain species of autotrophic phytoplankton can also directly cleave DMSP into DMS. Although
the particular enzymatic reactions that govern DMSP breakdown are not fully characterized (Todd et al., 2007), most reactions are attributed to DMSP lyases (Alcolombri et al., 2015; Schafer et al., 2010; Stefels et al., 2007). What controls the contribution of either process (autotrophic or heterotrophic DMSP to DMS conversion) in fuelling DMS stocks remains unclear but appears to vary extensively (Lizotte et al., 2012). While there are multiple sources of DMS, there are also multiple sinks, including bacterial consumption, sunlight oxidation and finally a small fraction (< 10%) of the produced DMS may ventilate to the marine boundary layer (Malin, 1997) where its oxidation products, namely sulfate aerosol particles, can potentially influence the Earth’s radiation budget directly through solar backscattering and indirectly by seeding brighter and longer-lived clouds (Albrecht, 1989; Ångström, 1962; Charlson et al., 1987; Twomey, 1977).

Gaining insight into how marine microorganisms influence the Earth’s atmosphere and climate are topics of prime interest for the international scientific community and at the core of investigations implemented by the Surface Ocean Aerosol Production (SOAP) programme (Law et al. this issue). Under the auspices of SOAP, this study specifically explored two competing bacterial DMSP catabolic processes: (1) DMSP cleavage (Visscher et al., 1991; Yoch et al., 1997), a non S-assimilating pathway allowing bacteria to utilize the carbon contained in DMSP in the form of acrylate while the sulfur moiety is released as DMS (Kiene et al., 2000; Yoch, 2002); (2) DMSP demethylation/demethiolation (Taylor and Gilchrist, 1991; Taylor and Visscher, 1996), a S-assimilatory pathway leading to MeSH production, a portion of which is incorporated directly into methionine, and subsequently into proteins by marine bacteria (Kiene et al., 1999). The later pathway is thus linked to sulfur assimilation but also yields a methyl group that can be used as a carbon source (Kiene and Linn, 2000a; Yoch, 2002).

The present study was carried out during austral summer within three autotrophic blooms, each exhibiting varying phytoplankton assemblages and developmental stages, and sourced within the upper surface mixed layers of a section of the Subtropical Front over Chatham Rise east of New Zealand. To our knowledge, the results presented here are the first rate measurements made in the highly productive ocean region east of New Zealand,
and provide much needed information on the concentrations and cycling of DMS and DMSP in connection to the “microbial maze” (Malin, 1997) in frontal zones.

3 Methodological approach

3.1 Oceanographic setting

Large-scale remote sensing through MODIS (Aqua and Terra) and underway instrumentation for Chl α, pCO₂, λ660 backscatter, and DMS were employed to detect biologically productive areas near Mernoo Gap and the eastern end of Chatham Rise (see Table 1 as well as Bell et al. (2015) and Law et al. (this issue) for further details on voyage track, location map and biogeochemical characteristics of the sampling area).

Briefly, areas located between 43-45°S east of New Zealand were evaluated for relevant bloom bio-indicators, and hotspots were marked by a drifting Spar Buoy for further subsampling. Three distinct blooms were identified and each was followed during relatively short (<10 days) Lagrangian-type surveys. Nomenclature used by Bell et al., (2015) and Law et al. (this issue) to describe these three sampling clusters, i.e. bloom 1, bloom 2, and bloom 3 (hereafter referred to as clusters B1, B2 and B3) are also used in this paper to simplify cross-referencing and data comparisons. The SOAP blooms were coherent discrete areas of elevated ocean colour identified in satellite images characterised by a maximum of 1 mg/m³ chl α or higher. Sampling took place near the center of these blooms but also at stations on the periphery and outside the blooms (Table 1), as defined by the distance from the bloom centre and clear demarcation in surface biogeochemical variables (see Law et al., this issue).”

Solar radiation dose (SRD in W m⁻²) was calculated using Eq. (1):

\[
SRD = \frac{I_0}{k \cdot MLD} \cdot \left(1 - e^{-k \cdot MLD}\right) \quad (1)
\]

where \(I_0\) represents the daily-averaged irradiance of the 24 hours prior to sampling (in W m⁻²) measured using an Empsey Precision Spectral Pyrometer (285-2800 nm), \(k\) (in m⁻¹) are estimates of vertical diffuse attenuation coefficients based on Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) offset between two depths (2 m and 10 m), MLD is the mixed
layer depth defined as the point at which a 0.2°C difference from the sea surface temperature occurred and was calculated according to Kara et al. (2000).

Ambient NO$_3^-$ concentrations were measured using colorimetric detection by segmented autoanalyser as described by (Law et al., 2011). Total chlorophyll $a$ (Chl $a$: Whatman glass fibre GF/F filtered) concentrations were determined using 90% acetone extraction by the fluorometric technique with a Turner Design fluorometer after Strickland and Parsons (1972). Bacterial samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen (Lebaron et al., 1998) and thawed immediately before counting by flow cytometry shortly after the cruise following the methods described in Safi et al. (2007). Coccolithophore abundance in near surface waters was determined using optical microscopy as described in Chang and Northcote (2016). Dinoflagellate abundance was determined for surface waters (not for near surface waters) and is not shown here.

3.2 Microbial DMSP catabolism incubations

Surface seawater samples were collected from a rigid-hulled inflatable boat away from the ship, between 7h00 and 9h00 (NZST) in the morning, with a novel apparatus dubbed “the sipper”. The latter consists of a floating tubing array with peristaltic pump allowing the sampling of the undisturbed first 1.6 m of the upper mixed layer waters (Walker et al., 2016). Near surface water was collected in a 2-L HDPE bottle and subsampling of variables (except for in situ DMS, see further details below) took place on the ship typically within 1-2h of collection. As with most sampling procedures, potential bottle/handling effects associated with the sipper-collection method cannot be completely ruled out. When oceanographic conditions did not permit the deployment of the sipper (higher swell and wind speeds > 10 m s$^{-1}$), surface seawater samples were collected directly from the ship with Niskin bottles mounted to a CTD rosette (water depth corresponding to ca. 2 to 10 m on days of high wind speeds). Comparative studies completed on surface seawater collected from both the sipper and the Niskin bottles showed no significant differences in biological variables such as concentrations of DMS (Walker et al., 2016). Water samples were passed gently through a 210 μm Nitex mesh by gravity to remove large zooplankton.
Following water collection, several types of incubation experiments were conducted onboard the ship to investigate microbial DMSP uptake and metabolism. Using the $^{35}$S-DMSP$_{4}$ radiotracer approach we monitored and quantified several microbial pathways of the degradation of DMSP$_{4}$ including the DMSP$_{4}$ loss rate constant ($k_{\text{DMSP}_4}$, a measure of the scavenging rate by bacteria of the substrate DMSP$_{4}$) following protocols described by Kiene and Linn (2000b) and modifications by Slezak et al. (2007). In brief, water samples were transferred into duplicate 71-mL dark HDPE Nalgene bottles and tracer amounts ($< 5 \text{ pmol} \text{ L}^{-1}$) of $^{35}$S-DMSP$_{4}$ were added to obtain a signal of ca. 1000 dpm mL$^{-1}$. Total initial activity was first determined after gentle mixing of the bottles and subsampling of 1mL into a 10-mL scintillation vials containing 5 mL Ecolume$^{\text{TM}}$ liquid scintillation cocktail. The bottles were then incubated for 3 h at in situ temperature during which time 1mL subsamples were taken after 0, 30, 60, and 180 min and transferred into 10-mL scintillation vials containing 5 mL Ecolume$^{\text{TM}}$ in order to measure the loss of $^{35}$S-DMSP$_{4}$ over time (the disappearance of $^{35}$S-DMSP$_{4}$ representing the consumption of this pool). The $k_{\text{DMSP}_4}$ was then calculated as the slope of the natural log of the fraction of remaining $^{35}$S-DMSP$_{4}$ versus time. Blank abiotic controls were performed at the very beginning of the incubation experiments as well as a second time at mid-cruise using 0.2 µm-filtered seawater treated with $^{35}$S-DMSP$_{4}$. Loss rates in the filtered controls were below 0.4 % of those in live samples indicating that extracellular enzyme activity was not important in DMSP$_{4}$ loss.

Determination of the DMSP$_{4}$-to-DMS conversion efficiency (DMS yield as measured by the recovery of $^{35}$S-DMSP$_{4}$ as $^{35}$S-volatiles) was conducted via parallel 24-h incubations. Tracer amounts ($< 5 \text{ pmol} \text{ L}^{-1}$) of $^{35}$S-DMSP$_{4}$ were added to duplicate 71-mL dark HDPE Nalgene bottles containing seawater samples in which unlabeled DMS was added at a final concentration of 100 nmol L$^{-1}$ to allow the determination of the gross $^{35}$S-DMS production. Initial total activity was monitored as described previously. The bottles were incubated at in situ temperature for ca. 24-h, until > 90 % of the $^{35}$S-DMSP$_{4}$ was consumed (Slezak et al., 2007). Upon termination of the incubation, 5 mL of sample was transferred into a 100-mL serum vial amended with; 0.1 mL sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), and 200 nmol L$^{-1}$ unlabeled DMSP$_{4}$ to prevent further uptake and degradation of $^{35}$S-DMSP$_{4}$ and 0.05 mL Ellman’s reagent (to complex thiols such as methanethiol).
Following the transfer of the samples into the serum vials, the bottles were quickly sealed with a rubber stopper fitted with a well-cup holding a type A/E glass fiber filter soaked with 0.2 mL stabilized H₂O₂ (3 %). The vials were set to trap the volatile ³⁵S on an orbital shaker and stirred at 100 rpm for ca. 6 hours (Kiene and Linn, 2000b). After trapping was complete, the filter wicks were removed and placed in Ecolume™ scintillation fluid for counting. ³⁵S activity on the filters was considered to be ³⁵S-DMS because the Ellman’s Reagent makes other sulfur gases (e.g. methanethiol) non-volatile. After the volatiles were trapped, a new stopper with H₂O₂-soaked filter was placed in the vial. Each vial was then injected with 0.2 mL NaOH (5N) through the stopper using a BD precision guide needle to quantitatively cleave remaining ³⁵S-DMSPₕ into ³⁵S-DMS (a pool known as the unconsumed ³⁵S-DMSPₕ). The ³⁵S-DMS was trapped as described above. The DMS yield was calculated from the fraction of added ³⁵S recovered as ³⁵S-DMS in the live incubation divided by the fraction of ³⁵S-DMSP consumed during the incubation.

To estimate the incorporation of ³⁵S-DMSPₕ into macromolecules (sulfur assimilation efficiency), duplicate 5-mL subsamples were also taken from the previous 24-h incubation bottles and gently filtered by manual pumping through a 0.2 µm Nylon filter and then rinsed with trichloroacetic acid (TCA) as described in (Kiene and Linn, 2000b). The filters were placed in 10-mL scintillation vials containing 5 mL Ecolume™ and the radioactivity remaining on TCA-rinsed filters was later quantified by liquid scintillation counting. Finally, each ³⁵S pool measurement was expressed as a fraction of the initial amount of added ³⁵S-DMSPₕ as previously described. The measurement of the above variables allowed us to estimate DMSPₕ loss rate constants (k₀,MSPₕ), rates of gross DMS production from DMSPₕ by multiplying values of k₀,MSPₕ with in situ DMSPₕ concentration and DMS yield. The microbial transformation rates of DMSPₕ measured during these incubations are considered to stem mostly from bacterial processes however phytoplankton-related processes cannot be totally excluded as low DMSP-producing phytoplankton and picophytoplankton have been shown to assimilate DMSPₕ-sulfur (Malmstrom et al., 2005; Ruiz-González et al., 2011; Vila-Costa et al., 2006b).
Bacterial biomass production rates were measured by the incorporation of $^3$H-leucine into TCA-insoluble. Samples were incubated in the dark for 4 h in sterile test tubes, at ambient water temperatures and processed using standard protocols (Simon and Azam, 1989). The average CV of $^3$H-leucine incorporation rates for triplicate samples was ca. 10%. Rates of bacterial biomass production ($\mu$g of C L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) were estimated by using a ratio of cellular carbon to protein in bacterial cells of 0.86 (Simon and Azam, 1989). Analysis of all radioactive samples ($^{35}$S and $^3$H) was conducted in NIWA-Hamilton (NZ) on a Packard Tricarb liquid scintillation counter immediately following the end of the cruise.

It has been suggested that light history and differential doses of solar radiation may impact the growth and activity of bacteria (Herndl et al., 1993) and potentially the fate of dissolved DMSP in seawater (Ruiz-González et al., 2012a; Slezak et al., 2001, 2007; Toole et al., 2006). To evaluate this, we exposed near surface communities to different light histories for 6 hours prior to $^{35}$S-DMSP$_4$ enriched bioassays: ambient variable light (using quartz bottles in deck board incubators) or acclimation to darkness (using dark HDPE Nalgene bottles). Rates were thus obtained during post-exposure dark incubations (as explained above) conducted after 6 h pre-incubations at ambient light or in the dark. Because the communities were sourced in near-surface waters during daylight hours, the incubations conducted in quartz bottles are thought to be representative of the natural and variable light experienced by these biological communities at the surface of the ocean. On the whole, the light conditions (dark and ambient) at which the cells were pre-acclimated for 6 h had no significant effect on the $^{35}$S-DMSP$_4$ metabolic rates measured.

This result contrasts with findings from earlier studies (such as Galí et al., 2011; Ruiz-González et al., 2012a; Slezak et al., 2001, 2007; Toole et al., 2006) and could be related to a number of variables such as the timing and depth of sampling, the type of bacterial assemblages present and their previous light-history, as well as the different temporal and spatial scales at which exposure to solar radiation varies (Ruiz-González et al., 2013). Because of these wide-ranging and intricate light-bacteria interactions, natural solar radiation is believed to play a significant, yet challenging to predict, role in modulating bacterial dynamics and biogeochemical functions (Ruiz-González et al., 2013). In the current study, the sulfur-related metabolic activities of the marine biota sourced in the
morning (between ca. 7h00 and 9h00; Table 1) from the highly irradiated near surface waters may have persisted in the dark within the time period of experimental pre-exposure (6 h), however the lack of information on the phylogeny of bacterial groups present, for example, hampers a more detailed discussion. We therefore present rate measurements made in dark-incubated samples that had been pre-exposed to ambient light conditions for 6 h.

3.3 Concentrations of S-compounds

Duplicate samples of in situ dissolved DMSP (DMSP<sub>d</sub>) and total DMSP (DMSP<sub>t</sub> = DMSP<sub>p</sub> + DMSP<sub>d</sub>) were collected on board the ship using the non-perturbing Small-Volume gravity Drip Filtration (SVDF) procedure (Kiene and Slezak, 2006). For DMSP<sub>d</sub> samples, ca. 25 mL of seawater were gravity filtered onto GF/F and the first 3.5 mL of samples were kept in 5-mL falcon tubes amended with 50 µL 50% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and maintained in the dark at 4°C. For DMSP<sub>t</sub>, 3.5 mL of unfiltered water sample were transferred directly into 5-mL falcon tubes and treated the same way as DMSP<sub>d</sub> samples. Subsequent analysis took place at Laval University (Canada) through alkali treatment to cleave DMSP into DMS, purging, cryotrapping and sulfur-specific gas chromatography (GC, see Lizotte et al. (2012)). Duplicate in situ DMS samples were collected directly from the sipper or the niskin bottles by overflowing two volumes of seawater in 150 mL crimp-top glass bottles and were analysed onboard the ship within less than 5 h of collection following methods described in detail by Walker et al. (2016). Briefly, calibrated volumes (5 mL) of seawater samples were purged with zero-grade nitrogen (99.9 % pure) and gas-phase DMS was cryogenically concentrated on 60/80 Tenax TA in a stainless steel trap maintained at −20°C via a cold finger connected to a cryo-cooling unit, then thermally desorbed at 100 °C for analysis by GC coupled with sulfur chemiluminescent detection. DMS samples were also collected in 23-mL serum vials at T0 and T6 during 6-h incubation experiments conducted in quartz bottles on the deck of the ship (at in-situ light and temperature conditions) and processed as described above.

3.4 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out using the Systat statistical software for Windows version 12.0, and Microsoft Office Excel for Mac 2011. Normality in data distribution was determined using Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests, following which Model II linear
regressions and Spearman Rank Correlation coefficients were used to evaluate the relationships between variables (Legendre and Legendre, 1998; Sokal and Rohlf, 1995). Paired Student t-tests provided hypothesis assessments of the difference between treatments.

Considering the various environmental conditions encountered during the SOAP voyage, our dataset relied on the use of two different seawater collection approaches: the sipper method (Walker et al., 2016) and the more standard use of Niskin bottles mounted on a CTD rosette when periods of higher wind speeds and greater sea state prevented the deployment of the sipper sampling equipment. Using a Wilcoxon signed-ranks test for paired samples with non-parametric distributions, Walker et al. (2016) showed that no significant differences ($p = 1, \alpha = 0.5$) were detected between samples of DMS collected via the sipper method and those collected using Niskin bottles. This result, along with the presence of well-mixed surface waters (MLD ranging from 14 to 40 m, Table 1) justified the pooling of measurements made in the surface waters resulting from the two approaches presented in the current study.

4 Results

4.1 Environmental setting and biogeochemical background

Broad scale use of ocean colour images coupled to a suite of underway sensors allowed the successful location of three distinct blooms with varying signatures of phytoplankton speciation and biogeochemical backgrounds (see Fig. 1, as well as (Bell et al., 2015) and Law et al. (this issue) for further details on location of blooms and map of the cruise track). A few general characteristics of the surface waters within sampled blooms are presented in Table 1 to provide and overview of the oceanographic context for the stations specifically sampled in this study (see Law et al. (this issue) for more detailed description of the study area).

A first cluster of three stations was sampled between February 15th and 19th inside (sta. 1-2) and north of (sta. 3) B1 (Fig. 1). Located in a region exhibiting Subantarctic-type waters, B1 was characterized by the dominance of dinoflagellates (ca. 53% of total C biomass) with Gymnodinium spp being responsible for an overall average of 30% of the
total dinoflagellate C biomass (Table 1). Stations 1, 2 and 3 sampled in B1 displayed an
average temperature of 14.2°C, surface concentrations of nitrate (NO$_3^{-}$) ranging between
3.25 and 6.36 µmol L$^{-1}$ (mean 5.16 µmol L$^{-1}$), and concentrations of chl $a$ varying from
0.91 to 1.41 µg L$^{-1}$ (mean 1.1 µg L$^{-1}$). Bacterial abundance ranged from 0.43 to 1.06 x10$^9$
cells L$^{-1}$.

The cruise track then extended further east near the Chatham Islands to capture a
coccolithophore-dominated bloom (ca. 41% of total C biomass) located in Subtropical
waters. In this area, a second cluster of three stations was sampled between February 22$^{nd}$
and 26$^{th}$ with stations 4 and 5 inside B2 and station 6 located south of B2. Temperatures
in surface waters were slightly warmer (mean 15.8°C) than stations in B1. Stations 4 to 6
exhibited low stocks of NO$_3^{-}$ ranging from 0.04 to 1.32 µmol L$^{-1}$ (mean 0.5 µmol L$^{-1}$)
while near-surface concentrations of chl $a$ varied between 0.53 and 1.53 µg L$^{-1}$ (mean
0.91 µg L$^{-1}$). Bacterial abundance varied between 0.59 and 1.19 x10$^9$ cells L$^{-1}$ throughout
the B2 sampling stations.

After sampling B2, the cruise path returned to the west near the first cluster of stations
sampled within Subantarctic-dominated waters. This third cluster, referred to as B3
(stations 7-9), was sampled during February 28$^{th}$ and March 5$^{th}$. Stations in B3 were
characterized by an initial mixed phytoplankton population consisting of
coccolithophores, small flagellates and dinoflagellates (B3A, Table 1) that progressively
favoured coccolithophore biomass towards the end of the sampling period (B3B). Surface
temperatures were the lowest measured during the study with a cluster average of 13.6°C.
Surface water concentrations of NO$_3^{-}$ at stations 7 to 9 ranged from 2.21 to 5.28 µmol L$^{-1}$
(mean of 3.63 µmol L$^{-1}$) and concentrations of chl $a$ varied between 0.39 to 0.97 µg L$^{-1}$
(mean 0.59 µg L$^{-1}$). Bacterial abundances were 0.34 and 0.51 x10$^9$ cells L$^{-1}$ at stations 8
and 9, respectively (no data is available for sta. 7, Table 1).

A transition towards deeper mixed layer depths from cluster B1 to B2 to B3 was apparent
during the sampling period; with cluster average MLD’s of 15 ± 1 m, 28 ± 9 m, 37 ± 5 m,
respectively (Table 1). Trends in daily-averaged irradiance generally exhibited a decrease
between clusters with averages ranging from 263 ± 14 (W m$^{-2}$) in B1, to 251 ± 30 (W m$^{-2}$)
Dose (SRD) were very similar to those of daily-averaged irradiance showing a decreasing trend from the first cluster towards the last cluster sampled.

4.2 Reservoirs of sulfur compounds across sampling clusters

In situ sea surface reservoirs of DMSP\textsubscript{i} displayed a 5-fold span across the study region (Fig. 2a). Highest DMSP\textsubscript{i} concentrations were observed in B1, with values ranging from 118 to 160 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1} (Fig. 2a). It is also within B1 that highest DMSP\textsubscript{i}: chl \text{a} ratios occurred, with a range of 89 to 141 nmol µg\textsuperscript{-1} (Table 1). Stations sampled within B2 exhibited intermediate DMSP\textsubscript{i} pools varying from 45 to 97 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1} and ratios of DMSP\textsubscript{i}: chl \text{a} that ranged from 51 to 90 nmol µg\textsuperscript{-1} (Table 1). Surface water DMSP\textsubscript{i} concentrations within B3 were generally lower; being below 37 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1} (sta. 7-8) but DMSP\textsubscript{i} concentration reached 92 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1} in the last station (sta. 9). Despite marked differences in concentrations of DMSP\textsubscript{i} between stations 7-8 and station 9, ratios of DMSP\textsubscript{i}: chl \text{a} were similar within this third cluster (range of 61 to 91 nmol µg\textsuperscript{-1}, Table 1) owing to the high chl \text{a} concentration measured at station 9.

Patterns of DMSP\textsubscript{d} were broadly similar to those observed for DMSP\textsubscript{i} albeit higher variability was evident from the 18-fold difference measured between highest and lowest concentrations (Fig. 2b). Surface seawater within sampling cluster B1 had very high concentrations of DMSP\textsubscript{d} varying between 14 and 32 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1}. Stations sampled in B2 presented DMSP\textsubscript{d} concentrations ranging between 3 and 18 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1}. DMSP\textsubscript{d} concentrations were below 3 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1} at stations 7-8 while DMSP\textsubscript{d} was 10 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1} at station 9.

Concentrations of near-surface DMS also showed high variability with a 14-fold spread within the stations sampled (Fig. 2c). Some of the highest values of DMS were measured in sampling cluster B1 with concentrations varying between 4.9 and 14.5 nmol DMS L\textsuperscript{-1}. Stations 4 to 6, within the most easterly of the sampling clusters (B2) had DMS concentrations ranging from 1 to 6.9 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1}, while stations 7-9 in B3 had a range of DMS concentrations from 4.8 to 10.5 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1}.
4.3 Microbial uptake and transformation of sulfur compounds

The $^{35}$S-DMSP$_d$ loss rate constant ($k_{DMSPd}$; Fig. 3a) varied between 0.4 and 3.4 d$^{-1}$, with the exception of a higher value of 19.9 d$^{-1}$ measured in the B2 cluster at station 5. The sulfur assimilatory metabolism of $^{35}$S-DMSP$_d$, expressed as the percentage of $^{35}$S-DMSP$_d$ incorporated into macromolecules (Fig. 3b), ranged from 1 to 4.2% across all stations. Rates of bacterial carbon production, measured as the incorporation of $^3$H-Leucine into macromolecules, showed 5-fold variability throughout the three sampling clusters, ranging from 0.27 to 1.46 nmol C L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$.

Yields of DMS from dissolved DMSP, determined as the fraction of consumed DMSP$_d$ converted into DMS, ranged from 4 to 17% (Fig. 4a), with lowest and highest yields found within the same cluster (B3) at stations 8 and 9, respectively. The average DMS yield in clusters B1 and B2 were very similar at 12.1% and 12.7%, respectively. The production of DMS from DMSP$_d$, determined as the product of DMS yields and DMSP$_d$ consumption rates, varied by more than two orders of magnitude across the sampling area (Fig. 4b). Lowest DMS production rates from DMSP$_d$ were measured in the third sampling cluster (B3) where values remained below 0.7 nmol L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$. A wide-ranging set of gross DMS production from DMSP$_d$ was estimated within B2 with 0.25 to 27 nmol L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$. Variability of DMS production from DMSP$_d$ within cluster B1 was lower, with rates varying between 3.2 and 6.2 nmol L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$.

5 Discussion

5.1 Bloom dynamics in the Subtropical Front

The Subtropical convergence region under study was characterized by overall high standing stocks of both autotrophic biomass (proxied by phytoplankton C and chl $a$) and biogenic sulfur compounds (Table 1; Fig. 2a-c). The frontal zone over Chatham Rise is known for its high productivity (Bradford-Grieve et al., 1997; Sutton, 2001), fostering extensive phytoplankton blooms visible from space (Sadeghi et al., 2012). Plankton bloom dynamics are known to play a crucial role in influencing reservoirs and driving fluxes of biogenic DMS and DMS (Simó, 2001; Stefels et al., 2007). As evidenced by the patterns in nutrients and chl $a$, the cruise track crossed paths with blooms in various developmental stages in contrasting water masses. Overall quasi-depletion of silicate...
standing stocks was evident from the \(< 0.6 \mu \text{mol L}^{-1}\) values detected in all stations investigated in the study region (except for sta. 6 with \(1.2 \mu \text{mol silicate L}^{-1}\)). Nitrate concentrations found in B1 and B3 averaged \(5.2 \pm 1.7 \mu \text{mol L}^{-1}\) and \(3.6 \pm 1.5 \mu \text{mol L}^{-1}\), respectively. These nutrient signatures are a common feature of Subantarctic waters to the South of the STF displaying depletion of silicates relative to nitrate (Sarmiento et al., 2004). Concentrations of chl \(a\) in B1 (mean \(1.1 \pm 0.3 \mu \text{g L}^{-1}\)) were found to be higher than a threshold concentration of \(0.7 \mu \text{g L}^{-1}\) used as a criterion to distinguish regions of local biomass enrichment at the Subtropical Convergence (Llido et al. 2005). These results coupled to the high regional phytoplankton-associated C biomass (61 \(\mu \text{g L}^{-1}\)) and the low regional \(p\text{CO}_2\) minimum (260 \(\mu \text{atm}\)) measured in this cluster (Table 1) suggests that B1 was productive and fuelled by ample nitrate reservoirs at the time of sampling. After being away for 7 days, the cruise track returned to the Subantarctic-type waters near B1 on February 28th to sample the B3 cluster stations. At that time, the physicochemical and biological signatures in B3 (sta. 7-9) differed slightly from those of B1 and displayed higher regional \(p\text{CO}_2\) minimum (305 \(\mu \text{atm}\)), two-fold lower mean phytoplankton C biomass (28 \(\mu \text{g L}^{-1}\)), and lower chl \(a\) concentrations at stations 7 and 8 (ca. 0.4 \(\mu \text{g L}^{-1}\)), but comparable at station 9 (1 \(\mu \text{g L}^{-1}\)). Overall these results suggest that phytoplankton biomass was lower in response to lower nutrient reservoirs and possibly greater grazing pressure in B3, although specific information on zooplankton activity is not available.

The second cluster of stations (B2) was geographically distant from the two others (B1 and B3, Fig.1b) and had characteristics of slightly warmer Subtropical waters (Table 1). Regionally, this study area displayed the highest \(p\text{CO}_2\) but had similar mean phytoplankton-associated C biomass (32 \(\mu \text{g L}^{-1}\)) to B3. Regional maximum chl \(a\) (max of 1.5 \(\mu \text{g L}^{-1}\)) and nitrate levels (cluster average of \(0.5 \pm 0.7 \mu \text{mol L}^{-1}\)) were the lowest among the blooms investigated. These low nutrient features are thought to be typical of Subtropical waters North of the Subtropical front which are also known to display stronger vertical stratification (Llido et al., 2005). Small-celled phytoplankton (<5\(\mu \text{m}\)) are known to typically develop blooms that exhibit low chl \(a\) concentrations (<2 \(\mu \text{g L}^{-1}\)) (Holligan et al., 1993)). Such is the case for the common and globally dominant bloom-forming coccolithophore \textit{Emiliania huxleyi} (Paasche, 2001) that typically has low
intracellular levels of chl $a$ (<0.4 pg chl $a$ per cell, (Daniels et al., 2014)), and which dominated the community (Law et al. this issue) during this study.

### 5.2 Relating bloom dynamics with concentrations of reduced S-compounds

Despite differences in phytoplankton dominance within blooms (Table 1), pools of DMSP, measured in this study showed a strong association with overall phytoplankton biomass as suggested by the positive correlation observed between DMSP, and chl $a$ ($r_s = 0.83$, $p < 0.01$, $n = 9$, Table 2). A type II linear regression model suggests that 59% of the variance in pools of DMSP, can be explained by the variability in stocks of chl $a$ (Fig. 5a) while the strength of the relationship between DMSP, and chl $a$ is also strong ($r^2 = 0.57$, data not shown). Establishing a strong relationship between DMSP and phytoplankton biomass has historically met with limited success (Bürgermeister et al., 1990; Townsend and Keller, 1996; Turner et al., 1988). The main reason for this being that concentrations of DMSP are generally related to the presence of specific DMSP-rich phytoplankton species rather than to overall phytoplankton biomass, which is often dominated by large DMSP-poor diatoms (Lizotte et al., 2012; Stefels et al., 2007). In this study, concentrations of DMSP co-varied significantly with phytoplankton biomass because of the persistent dominance of dinoflagellates and coccolithophores, both DMSP-rich taxa, within the three blooms investigated.

Unlike the strong correlation found with DMSP, no significant relationships were detected between DMS and phytoplankton biomass (chl $a$) in our study, as reported in Bell et al. (2015). The lack of strong relationship between DMS and chl $a$ is likely due to many biological and physical processes involved in its production and overturning (Dacey et al., 1998; Van Duyl et al., 1998; Kettle et al., 1999; Kwint and Kramer, 1996; Leck et al., 1990; Scarratt et al., 2002; Simó and Pedrós-Alió, 1999; Stefels et al., 1995; Steinke et al., 2000; Turner et al., 1988). Several studies have established links between environmental forcings, such as the surface mixed layer depth and the irradiance regime, and their role in driving surface DMS concentrations (Lana et al., 2012; Lizotte et al., 2012; Miles et al., 2009, 2012; Vallina and Simó, 2007). The associations between DMS and mixed layer depth (MLD) as well as between DMS and daily-averaged irradiance...
were not found to be statistically significant within the limited dataset available in this study ($p = 0.86$ and $p = 0.54$, respectively). Solar radiation dose (SRD) standardized over mixed MLD was not found to improve the significance of the association between DMS and irradiance regime. Because the spectral attenuation of solar radiation in oceanic waters varies rapidly with depth and in association with the constituents within seawater (Doron et al., 2007), it cannot be excluded that differences in sampling depth (sipper versus niskin) may have obscured links between DMS and light. Heterogeneity in sampling times (Table 1) could also have resulted in differences in light history experienced by the DMS-producing communities. Nonetheless, DMS reservoirs and those of its precursor DMSP were found to be abundant in the three blooming clusters as discussed in the next section.

5.3 High concentrations of S-compounds in Subtropical Frontal surface waters

In this study, concentrations of DMSP reached 110 to 160 nmol L$^{-1}$ in the first cluster, in association with a bloom characterized by elevated concentrations of DMS (regionally up to 20 nmol L$^{-1}$) and dominated by dinoflagellates, a diverse phytoplankton group known for its prolific DMSP-producers (Belviso et al., 1990; Keller, 1989; Turner et al., 1988). Few comparative DMSP data sets are available for waters near New Zealand, however the current DMSP concentrations are two to three times higher than the highest DMSP value (52 nmol L$^{-1}$) reported for three open-water transects conducted between 49-76$^\circ$S latitude within the New Zealand sector of the Southern Ocean during austral spring (Kiene et al., 2007). Species of Gymnodinium spp., the dominant dinoflagellate taxon in B1, have been found to contain potentially high cytosolic DMSP (up to 244 pg DMSP/cell; Keller, 1989) that could have significantly contributed to the elevated reservoirs of DMSP observed in these Subantarctic-type waters. A previous study conducted in waters of the Subtropical Convergence Zone (40-45$^\circ$S) South of Australia had demonstrated a link between relatively high concentrations of DMSP (up to ca. 55 nmol L$^{-1}$) and dinoflagellate biomass as well as with low microzooplankton grazing rates (Jones et al., 1998). Gaps in the specific information concerning dinoflagellate abundance in our sampling stations (Table 1) prevented any attempt at relating this DMSP-rich group with overall in situ DMSP concentrations.
The second bloom investigated was dominated by coccolithophores and had DMSP concentrations ranging from 45 to 96 nmol L\(^{-1}\) at stations 4 to 6. *Emiliania huxleyi*, a species exhibiting high intracellular DMSP (Franklin et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2014) and the dominant coccolithophore in this study (Law et al, this volume), has been shown to represent a major component of extensive coccolithophore blooms in New Zealand's coastal waters (Chang and Northcote, 2016; Rhodes et al., 1994). Maximal coccolithophore cell densities (up to 21.1 x10\(^6\) cells L\(^{-1}\)) reached in the second bloom are 4 to 5-fold higher than maximal cell densities reached in coccolithophore blooms in the North Atlantic during summer: maximum of ca. 5.5 x10\(^6\) cells L\(^{-1}\) (Matrai and Keller, 1993) and maximum of 4.0 x10\(^6\) cells L\(^{-1}\) (Malin et al., 1993) and associated with very high levels of DMSP\(_t\) (> 400 nmol L\(^{-1}\)). While the DMSP\(_t\) concentrations were high in B2, even higher concentrations might have been expected given the high coccolithophore cell abundances. Variations in cell-specific DMSP quotas, nutrient and physiological statuses of the phytoplankton communities, as well as grazing pressure (Stefels et al., 2007) could explain these differences. *Emiliania huxleyi* is found to dominate phytoplankton community composition in both bloom and non-bloom conditions in this STF region (Chang and Northcote, 2016), suggesting that these relatively high summer DMSP features could extend over a larger region which encircles the entire Southern Ocean during austral summer in a band dubbed the “Great Calcite Belt” (Balch et al., 2011).

The third and last bloom sampled (B3) was characterized by a mixed phytoplankton population with high abundances of both dinoflagellates and coccolithophores. Although no data for coccolithophore abundance was available at station 9, samples collected in surface waters the day before (March 4\(^{th}\)) displayed coccolithophore abundance of 20.3 x 10\(^6\) cells L\(^{-1}\) suggesting a transition towards a coccolithophore-dominated assemblage at the end of the sampling period. Concentrations of DMSP\(_t\) (29-37 nmol L\(^{-1}\)) were lower at stations 7-8 and increased to 93 nmol L\(^{-1}\) at station 9, likely reflecting this phytoplankton community shift. Pools of particulate DMSP (DMSP\(_p\) = DMSP\(_t\) – DMSP\(_d\)) ranged from 26 to 83 nmol L\(^{-1}\) in cluster B3 and were similar to measurements of DMSP\(_p\) (ca. 28 to 40 nmol L\(^{-1}\)) made in waters surrounding an iron enrichment patch during the SAGE experiment conducted in Subantarctic waters south-east of New Zealand during
the months of March and April (Archer et al., 2011). These results suggest that relatively high concentrations of DMSP may persist in the STF zone well into the autumnal season, which begins in mid-March in the Southern Hemisphere.

Cluster averages of DMS concentrations in this study were higher than historical data represented in the latest DMS climatologies for the New Zealand (NEWZ) province (< 3 nmol L\(^{-1}\), n = 6, Lana et al. (2011)). Clusters B1, B2 and B3 displayed average (n = 3 for each cluster) near-surface concentrations of 9.5 ± 4.8, 3.6 ± 3.0, and 7.0 ± 3.1 nmol DMS L\(^{-1}\), respectively (Fig. 2c). These results underscore the fact that coverage in the previous climatological data likely did not capture all the productive hydrographic and seasonal features of this region. While many studies have reported on chl \(a\) enhancement across frontal regions of the oceans, only a few studies have described regional increases in DMS associated with frontal waters (Holligan et al., 1987; Matrai et al., 1996), and these studies have provided only limited information on DMSP. Results from the current study thus provide much needed information on the distribution of DMS but also DMSP in a critically under-sampled area of the global ocean as well as highlight the importance of oceanic fronts as hotspots for biogenic sulfur compounds.

Finally, an important portion of the total sea surface pools of DMSP was found as dissolved material in this study, with 5 to 21% of DMSP\(_d\) prevailing as DMSP\(_d\) across the three distinct clusters of the study region (Fig. 2b). Overall \textit{in situ} DMSP\(_d\) concentrations ranged from 2 to 32 nmol L\(^{-1}\), with highest concentrations being one order of magnitude higher than the maximum DMSP\(_d\) concentration of 2.8 nmol L\(^{-1}\) found using the same SVDF procedure by Kiene and Slezak (2006) over wide ranging ocean water types. By examining the linear relationship between concentrations of DMSP\(_p\) (DMSP\(_p\) determined as DMSP\(_p\) - DMSP\(_d\)) and those of DMSP\(_d\) (Fig. 5b) we are able to show that the slope (0.21) of the Model II regression analysis is very similar to the slope (0.20) obtained by Kiene and Slezak (2006) for SVDF DMSP\(_d\) samples from the Sargasso Sea. Although it is impossible to entirely circumvent bottle, filtration and/or processing effects that could lead to overestimation of DMSP\(_d\) concentrations, despite careful handling, it is nonetheless noteworthy that, despite large contrasts in trophic status, our results show a tendency for DMSP\(_d\) to build up in surface waters in proportion to its particulate
counterpart, constituting up to 21% of the total DMSP pool in our study. The fuelling of dissolved DMSP reservoirs in the water column has biogeochemical importance considering this compound supplies heterotrophic micro-organisms with C and S as is discussed in the next section.

5.4 Cycling of S-compounds through heterotrophic bacterioplankton
5.4.1 Wide-ranging microbial DMSP_d rate constants

To our knowledge, this study provides the first DMSP process rate measurements across a frontal zone, within three quasi co-occurring but distinct phytoplankton blooms. Except for station 5, which will be discussed below, DMSP_d loss rate constants (k_{DMSP_d}) varied between 0.4 and 3.4 d^{−1}, suggesting wide-ranging turnover times of DMSP_d reservoirs, between ca. 7 hour to 2.5 days (Fig. 3a). Assuming steady state conditions, these turnover times imply that between ca. 2 to 14% of the DMSP stock was renewed hourly by autolysis, exudation viral attack and grazing (Stefels et al., 2007). These results are comparable with similar ranges of k_{DMSP_d} measurements conducted in various oceanic environments (Table 3). Our highest value of k_{DMSP_d} (19.9 d^{−1}) was recorded at station 5, within B2. High k_{DMSP_d} values are not commonly reported in the literature except for the 22.1 d^{−1} observed by Royer et al. (2010) in the NE Pacific which was similar to our highest rate. These very rapid turnover times (ca. 1 hour at sta. 5) could reflect transient periods of increased bacterial abundance or production. In situ rates of leucine incorporation by bacteria were not particularly high at station 5 (0.62 compared to an overall range of 0.27 to 1.46 nmol L^{−1} d^{−1}) nor was the abundance of heterotrophic bacterial cells (0.85 at sta. 5, range of 0.34 to 1.19 x 10^9 cells L^{−1}) and the concentration of DMSP_d (9 compared to a global range of 2 to 32 nmol L^{−1}). Furthermore, in our study no overall significant trends were detected between DMSP_d loss rate constants (k_{DMSP_d}) and numbers of bacteria or rates of leucine incorporation. It has been suggested that loss rate constants of DMSP_d, rather than being directly related to stocks of bacteria could be more related to bacterial community composition, and particularly with certain members of Alphaproteobacteria, Gammaproteobacteria, and cyanobacteria, that could all potentially represent significant contributors to DMSP metabolism (Malmstrom et al., 2004a, 2004b, 2005; Royer et al., 2010; Vila-Costa et al., 2007; Vila et al., 2004). On the whole, microbial DMSP_d rate constants were variable within the study region (50-fold variation)
5.4.2 Fulfilled bacterial sulfur requirements in a sulfur-rich environment

The assimilatory metabolism of sulfur from DMSP is a key control on the amount of this compound diverted away from DMS. Assimilation efficiency of sulfur from $^{35}$S-DMSP$_d$ into bacterial macromolecules was low ($< 5\%$) throughout the study region (Fig. 3b). Values reported in this study are below a relatively narrow range of DMSP-S assimilation efficiency values reported in various studies (see Table 3). Taking into account the DMSP-S incorporation efficiency, the potential contribution of DMSP-S to bacterial sulfur biomass production was estimated from bacterial C production and lower and upper limits of bacterial C:S molar ratios (32 to 248 from (Cuhel and Taylor, 1981; Fagerbakke et al., 1996). For all the reported C:S values, calculated DMSP-S incorporation exceeded 100% of bacterial sulfur biomass production estimates (data not shown) suggesting that DMSP availability was in excess of bacterial sulfur requirements. These results agree with several studies (Kiene and Linn, 2000b; Simó et al., 2009; Vila-Costa et al., 2007, 2014) suggesting that DMSP acts as a major source of S for heterotrophic bacterioplankton. A possible caveat of these estimates is the fact that DMSP-S assimilation includes that which might be taken up by cyanobacteria and phytoplankton (Malmstrom et al., 2005; Vila-Costa et al., 2006a), which likely don’t contribute to leucine incorporation. This would lead to overestimation of the contribution of DMSP to bacterial S production. Overall, and assuming that heterotrophic bacteria dominate the uptake of DMSP, the S assimilation efficiencies ($< 5\%$) measured in this study point towards a rapid saturation of S requirements by the microbial assemblages in DMSP-rich waters of the Subtropical Front.

5.4.3 Microbial DMS yield and gross production of DMS from DMSP$_d$

Microbial DMS yields, the conversion efficiency of DMSP$_d$ into DMS, varied from 4 to 17% with an overall average of 11% across the entire study region, irrespective of water mass provenance and bloom association (Fig. 4a). Our results add to the mounting evidence that, as a whole, the span in endogenous proportions of DMSP$_d$ consumed by bacteria and cleaved into DMS is similar across various oceanic environments (see Table
A significant and positive relationship was found between rates of bacterial leucine incorporation and DMS yields in this study ($r_s = 0.84, p < 0.01, n = 8$). This relationship suggests that as carbon incorporation for protein synthesis was heightened in the microbial communities, the proportional use of DMSP as a carbon source also increased, leading to higher DMSP$_d$-to-DMS conversion efficiencies (Table 2). Furthermore, prokaryotic protein synthesis, estimated by the bacterial incorporation of leucine (Kirchman et al., 1985), appeared to be significantly associated with the supply of DMSP$_d$ in this study ($r_s = 0.86, p < 0.01, n = 8$, Table 2). The fate of S in DMSP-metabolizing bacterial communities is complex and most likely affected by numerous factors, at least one of which is the S requirement relative to the availability of organic S. Findings from this study are consistent with the hypothesis that organic S in excess of bacterial requirements biases DMSP metabolism against demethylation (Kiene et al., 2000; Levasseur et al., 1996; Pinhassi et al., 2005). These observations agree with results from Lizotte et al. (2009) who observed an increase in DMS yields following the addition of non-limiting concentrations of DMSP$_d$ and increases in microbial incorporation of leucine during an Ocean Iron Fertilization experiment in the Subarctic Pacific. Furthermore, at a physiological level, factors including bacterial carbon requirements and concentrations of DMSP degradation products can also exert an impact on the fate of DMSP (Kiene et al., 2000). Since the radioisotope technique used to examine the microbial cycling of DMSP$_d$ traces only the S moiety, significant respiration of C-DMSP can occur (Vila-Costa et al., 2010). As such, the combination of rather typical DMSP$_d$ turnover times (overall average of < 1 day) and low DMSP-S assimilation efficiencies (< 5%) could be an indication of the availability of C-rich compounds, including DMSP, to the bacterial assemblages in this study.

Regardless of the positive associations between bacterial carbon production and the supply of DMSP$_d$, as well as DMSP$_d$ conversion efficiency into DMS, yields of DMS never exceeded 17%. Altogether, our results reinforce the concept that DMSP-to-DMS conversion is not the main fate of microbial DMSP$_d$ turnover in natural environments (see reviews by Simó (2001) and Stefels et al. (2007)), never exceeding 31% of consumed DMSP$_d$ in most $^{35}$S-DMSP tracer studies (see compilation in Table 3). However, even
modest variance in DMSP\(_d\)-to-DMS conversion efficiencies can result in considerable variations in the production rate of DMS in sea surface waters. In this study, gross DMS production from DMSP\(_d\) ranged from near detection limits to a high of 27 nmol of DMS per liter per day (Fig. 4b). This high rate reflects the very high DMSP\(_d\) scavenging by the bacteria measured on this particular day coupled to high DMSP\(_d\)-to-DMS conversion efficiency at station 5 (Fig. 3a, Fig. 4a). The fact that concentrations of DMS remained low (ca. 3 nmol L\(^{-1}\)) suggests that potential sinks, particularly bacterial DMS consumption, but not excluding DMS photo-oxidation and ventilation (Table 1) may have kept this pool in check. Omitting this very high rate measured on February 24th, DMS production from DMSP\(_d\) contributed on average 2.3 nmol L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) of DMS to near surface reservoirs (ranging from 0.07 to 6.2 nmol DMS L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)) of the study region. These values are comparable to DMS production rates from DMSP\(_d\) previously reported (Table 3). It is noteworthy that although production rates of DMS from DMSP\(_d\) were low in B3, concentrations of DMS remained high despite slightly higher wind speeds during this period of sampling (see Bell et al. (2015)), which should have enhanced ventilation of DMS to the atmosphere. This suggests that sinks for DMS were somehow alleviated, for example through: (1) a decrease in photo-oxidation of DMS related to a reduction in irradiance fields and a deepening of the mixed layer (see Table 1); (2) a reduction in bacterial consumption of DMS, for which unfortunately no specific information is available but that could be associated with a decrease in bacterial abundance (Table 1).

Alternatively, but not excluding these potential sinks, other sources of DMS (non-bacterial) are likely to have contributed to the concentrations of DMS. Assuming steady-state conditions, the comparison between our microbially-mediated DMS production rates and the concentrations of DMS in near-surface waters suggest that bacteria alone could not have sustained the DMS pool at most stations, and particularly in B3. Average calculated DMS turnover times due to production from DMSP\(_d\) were similar between B1 (2.3 days) and B2 (2.4 days) but increased to an average 36.5 days in B3. Considering that DMS sinks commonly proceed on time scales of hours to a few days (Simo et al., 2000; Stefels et al., 2007), the lengthier bacterial DMS turnover times in B3 point towards the importance of community-associated DMS production in fuelling DMS in surface waters. Community DMS production may have included indirect processes such as (1) a decrease in photo-oxidation of DMS related to a reduction in irradiance fields and a deepening of the mixed layer (see Table 1); (2) a reduction in bacterial consumption of DMS, for which unfortunately no specific information is available but that could be associated with a decrease in bacterial abundance (Table 1).
as zooplankton grazing, viral lysis, and senescence, as well as direct algal DMSP-lyase activity associated with the presence of certain species of dinoflagellates and coccolithophores (Niki et al., 2000; Wolfe and Steinke, 1996), ubiquitous in Subantarctic waters in early March and potential algal oxidative stress associated to light or nutrient availability (Stefels et al., 2007; Sunda et al., 2002).

Another indication of the relative importance of phytoplankton-mediated DMS production in B3 stations can be found in the comparison of standing stocks of DMS relative to DMSP, which averaged 0.07 and 0.05 mol:mol in B1 and B2, respectively, and increased to a mean of 0.15 mol:mol in B3. This higher average DMS:DMSP molar ratio suggests stronger DMSP to DMS conversion efficiency in this particular sampling cluster. Further, albeit limited, information on net community-associated DMS production is provided by net changes in DMS concentrations (Fig. 6) calculated as the difference between concentrations at the beginning and at the end of the 6-h pre-acclimation incubations under in-situ light conditions. These net changes include all sources and sinks of DMS (except for ventilation). Net changes in DMS concentrations over the 6-h period showed overall accumulation of DMS in the incubation experiments (maximum of 10.8 nmol L$^{-1}$ at sta. 9 in B3). An exception to the accumulation trend was seen at station 8 where a net consumption of DMS (-1.1 nmol L$^{-1}$) took place over the 6-h incubation at station 8. Coarse calculations that assume steady-state conditions suggest that transposing these net changes over a daily period amounts to a mean net community production of DMS from DMSP$_{i}$ of 15.2 nmol L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ (n = 6) throughout the stations where data was available. This rough estimate is almost 3 times as high as the gross microbial production of DMS from DMSP$_{d}$ (average of 5.3 nmol L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$, n = 6) in the same stations (sta. 3, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9). The microbial DMS production rates from DMSP$_{d}$ in this study are also considerably lower than several of the community net production rates required to support microlayer DMS (range of -1445 to 5529 nmol L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) reported by Walker et al. (2016). Altogether our findings support the view that indirect and direct processes of phytoplankton-mediated DMS production were important contributors to standing stocks of DMS in the near-surface waters of the STF during austral summer.

6 Conclusions
Our study provides information on both concentrations and cycling of dimethylated sulfur compounds within waters of the New Zealand biogeochemical province (NEWZ) and more specifically in an oceanic frontal region. The three distinct phytoplankton blooms sampled were shown to be hotspots for concentrations of DMS (max of 14.5 nmol L\(^{-1}\)) and DMSP\(_t\) (max of 160 nmol L\(^{-1}\)). Regardless of physico-chemical and biological differences in bloom dynamics across the Subantarctic and Subtropical waters investigated, pools of DMSP\(_t\) varied in concert with stocks of chl \(a\), likely because of the dominance of DMSP-rich phytoplankton groups such as dinoflagellates and coccolithophores. The significant relationship between chl \(a\) and DMSP\(_t\) \((r_s = 0.83, p < 0.01)\) across blooms suggests that autotrophic biomass may be a reasonable predictor of DMSP for this region during austral summer. The high availability of reduced sulfur fully satisfied sulfur requirements of the micro-organisms leading to overall low microbial sulfur assimilation efficiencies from DMSP\(_d\) (< 5 %). Microbial yields of DMS varied 4-fold over the Subtropical Front (4-17 %) and were significantly correlated with bacterial protein synthesis rates, lending support to the idea that supplies of DMSP\(_d\) were non-limiting. Microbially-mediated DMS production from DMSP\(_d\) generally ranged between 0.1 to 6.2 nmol DMS L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\), but was as high as 27 nmol DMS L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) at station 5. The comparison between standing stocks of DMS and microbially-mediated DMS production rates suggest that bacteria alone could not have sustained DMS concentrations in near-surface waters at most stations in this study. These results point towards phytoplankton-associated production of DMS as an important co-driver of DMS pools in the surface waters on either side of the STF. While the STF was already a known region of high biological activity, results from the current study reinforce the hypothesis that the STF also supports high DMSP-to-DMS conversions largely related to its abundant biogenic sulfur compounds. These findings could have important implications for global sulfur budgets and climate considering that the STF covers several hundred kilometers in a ring encircling a part of the globe with little anthropogenic influence, and where productive plankton blooms may persist over several months.
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11 Figures
Figure 1. (a) Map of the general sampling area over the Chatham Rise East of New Zealand’s South Island; and (b) close-up of the partitioning of the 9 stations in clusters B1, B2 and B3 sampled during the SOAP voyage in February and March 2012.
Figure 2. Concentrations of (a) total DMSP (DMSP$_t$); (b) dissolved DMSP (DMSP$_d$); and (c) DMS measured at nine stations during the SOAP voyage in February and March 2012. Values are means of experimental duplicates and error bars represent the absolute deviations of data points from their mean. DMS data from stations 3, 5 and 6 represent single samples, while values from stations 7 and 8 come from matching T0 DMS values (from incubation experiments). The three sampling clusters are noted as B1, B2, and B3.
Figure 3. (a) Microbial DMSP\textsubscript{d} loss rate constant (k\textsubscript{DMSP\textsubscript{d}} in d\textsuperscript{-1}); (b) Microbial assimilation efficiency of DMSP-S into macromolecules (%); (c) Microbial \textsuperscript{3}H-Leucine incorporation (nmol L\textsuperscript{-1} d\textsuperscript{-1}) at nine stations during the SOAP voyage in February and March 2012. The three sampling clusters are noted as B1, B2, and B3. Stacks and error bars indicate mean and standard deviation of triplicate samples. n/a = not available.
Figure 4. (a) Microbial DMS yields (%); (b) Gross DMS production from DMSP$_d$ (nmol L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) at nine stations during the SOAP voyage in February and March 2012. The three distinct sampling clusters are noted as B1, B2, and B3. Stacks and error bars indicate mean and standard deviation of triplicate samples.
Figure 5. Model II regressions between (a) concentrations of chl $a$ and DMSP$\_t$; (b) concentrations of DMSP$\_d$ and DMSP$\_t$. 

\[ y = 90.29x + 10.88 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.59 \]

\[ y = 0.21x - 4.22 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.77 \]
Figure 6. Net changes in DMS concentrations calculated as the difference between T0 and T6 values during 6-h incubation experiments conducted in quartz bottles (at in situ light and temperature conditions) on the deck of the ship during the SOAP voyage in February and March 2012. Stacks and error bars indicate mean and standard deviation of triplicate samples. n/a = not available.
Table 1. Broad biogeochemical characteristics of the stations sampled within three blooms during the SOAP voyage in February and March 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bloom</th>
<th>Bloom 1</th>
<th>Bloom 2</th>
<th>Bloom 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regional pH (µatm)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Δ13C (µatm)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Chl a (µg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional DMS (nmol L⁻¹)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional mean phytoplankton C biomass (µg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster B1</th>
<th>Cluster B2</th>
<th>Cluster B3A</th>
<th>Cluster B3B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day of Year</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date in 2012</td>
<td>15 February</td>
<td>17 February</td>
<td>19 February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling time (NZST)</td>
<td>8h05</td>
<td>8h02</td>
<td>7h30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed layer depth (m)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily averaged irradiance (W m⁻²)</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Radiation Dose (W m⁻²)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicate (µmol L⁻¹)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate (NO₃-) (µmol L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>5.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll a (µg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria (*10⁹ cells L⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coccolithophores (*10⁶ cells L⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>5.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSP/Chl a ratio (nmol µg⁻¹)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regional data represents maxima/minima or averages in the surface waters within blooms and encompass more stations than the 9 presented specifically in this study (see Law et al., this issue).

SAW (Subantarctic Water), STW (Subtropical Water). Data that is not available = n/a. *Prevailing high windspeeds (>10 m s⁻¹) and heavy seas prevented the sampling of near surface samples at these stations. 

¶ Values from matching CTD data at 2 m. 

§ No coccolithophore data is available for this date, however samples taken on March 4th showed coccolithophore abundance of 20.3 *10⁶ cells L⁻¹.
Table 2. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients ($r_s$) for various variables measured during SOAP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>$r_s$ coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chl $a$</td>
<td>DMSP$_t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSP$_p$</td>
<td>DMSP$_d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine incorporation</td>
<td>DMSP$_d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine incorporation</td>
<td>DMS yield</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***$p < 0.001$ and **$p < 0.01$, $n = 9$ for all variables except for leucine incorporation where $n = 8$. 

**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Area of study</th>
<th>Time of year</th>
<th>Particularities</th>
<th>Sampling depth</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Endogenous DMSP turnover time (d)</th>
<th>DMSP loss rate constant kDMSP (d⁻¹)</th>
<th>DMSP turnover rate* (µmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Sulphur assimilation efficiency** (%)</th>
<th>DMSP yield (%)</th>
<th>DMSP production from DMSP* (µmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kane &amp; Linn 2006a</td>
<td>Northern Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>September 1997 (Late summer)</td>
<td>Coastal and oceanic waters</td>
<td>3 - 40</td>
<td>22 - 30</td>
<td>0.8 - 1.0</td>
<td>0.05 - 0.6 (range of means)</td>
<td>0.3 - 1.29</td>
<td>5 - 48</td>
<td>0.2 - 5.9 (range of means)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kane &amp; Linn 2006b</td>
<td>Subarctic northern Gulf of Mexico; Northern Sargasso Sea, temperate North Atlantic</td>
<td>Sept. 1997 to Jan. 1999 (6 seasons)</td>
<td>Coastal and oceanic waters</td>
<td>0 - 15</td>
<td>3 - 26</td>
<td>1 - 4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zibrowius et al. 2002</td>
<td>Northern North Sea</td>
<td>June 1989 (Summer)</td>
<td>Lagrangian SPN tracer study of a K. insulsus bloom</td>
<td>2 - 58</td>
<td>8.5 - 11.5</td>
<td>0.8 - 3.6 (in patch)</td>
<td>10 - 0.5 (out patch)</td>
<td>28 ± 8 (in patch)</td>
<td>20 ± 5 (out patch)</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.3 (in patch)</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.8 (out patch)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pihlboe et al. 2005</td>
<td>Coastal Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>June 2001 (Summer)</td>
<td>Microcosms experiment (only controls shown)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3 - 6</td>
<td>5 - 15.1</td>
<td>0.1 - 0.2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metzl et al. 2006</td>
<td>Subantarctic N. Pacific</td>
<td>July 2002 (Summer)</td>
<td>BIRLC stations, outside an iron-enriched patch</td>
<td>1 - 14</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>2.8 - 19</td>
<td>1.3 - 6.2</td>
<td>0.2 - 0.6</td>
<td>4.8 - 72</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kane et al. 2007</td>
<td>New Zealand sector of Southeastern Pacific</td>
<td>November 2005 - December 2006 (Spring/Summer)</td>
<td>Presence of ice along transects</td>
<td>2 - 4</td>
<td>1.8 - 8.7</td>
<td>&lt; 4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 - 12.5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metzl et al. 2008</td>
<td>Northwest Atlantic</td>
<td>April-May 2005</td>
<td>Subantarctic N. Pacific</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.6 - 3.4</td>
<td>0.7 - 3.9</td>
<td>1.7 - 11</td>
<td>0.1 - 0.6</td>
<td>5 - 28</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>8 - 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vila-Cardona et al. 2008</td>
<td>Coastal Mediterranean Sea (Blanes Bay)</td>
<td>January 2001 to June 2004</td>
<td>Seasonal survey, shallow water column (24m)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12.8 - 24.6</td>
<td>5 - 2</td>
<td>0.8 - 4.3</td>
<td>0.2 - 1.3</td>
<td>2 - 24</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>3 - 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sato et al. 2009</td>
<td>Coastal Mediterranean Sea (Blanes Bay)</td>
<td>January 2001 to March 2004</td>
<td>Seasonal survey, shallow water column (24m)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>11 - 25.2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>2 - 24</td>
<td>1 - 46</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licte et al. 2009</td>
<td>Subantarctic N. Pacific</td>
<td>July-August 2004 (Summer)</td>
<td>BIRLC stations, outside an iron-enriched patch</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.3 - 11.9</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>18 - 25</td>
<td>7 - 13</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royer et al. 2010</td>
<td>Subantarctic N. Pacific</td>
<td>May-June 2007 (Early spring)</td>
<td>Along a normal iron gradient from coastal to open waters</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.1 - 11</td>
<td>1.3 - 3.6</td>
<td>2.1 - 22.1</td>
<td>0.1 - 0.3</td>
<td>0.6 (mean 0.01 - 3.1</td>
<td>10 - 20</td>
<td>3 - 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luce et al. 2011</td>
<td>Canadian Arctic Archipelago</td>
<td>October - November 2007 (Late fall)</td>
<td>20 Stations from Northern Baffin Bay to the Baffin Bay through the Northwest Passage</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td>11.1 - 18.1</td>
<td>0.1 - 0.8</td>
<td>0.2 - 3.4</td>
<td>0.3 - 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 - 5.8</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>4 - 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lice et al. 2012</td>
<td>Northwest Atlantic</td>
<td>May-July 2003</td>
<td>Seasonal survey of 7 biogeographic provinces</td>
<td>8 - 15</td>
<td>2 - 26</td>
<td>0.5 - 9</td>
<td>0.7 - 1.4</td>
<td>0.2 - 1.4</td>
<td>0.3 - 24.3</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>3 - 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motar-Guéhé et al. 2012</td>
<td>Canadian Arctic Archipelago</td>
<td>September-October 2008</td>
<td>Northern Baffin Bay/Lancaster Sound</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.3 - 3.8</td>
<td>n/a - 2.1</td>
<td>0.7 - 2.6</td>
<td>0.2 - 1.4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>11 - 18</td>
<td>12 - 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vila-Cardona et al. 2014</td>
<td>Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study (BATS) stations</td>
<td>September 2007 (Fall)</td>
<td>Short-term enrichment studies (organic substrates enrichment)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>2.6 - 28.5</td>
<td>3 - 30</td>
<td>1 - 05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Subantarctic Zone</td>
<td>February-March 2012 (Late summer)</td>
<td>Found inside (Subantarctic and Subtropical water masses)</td>
<td>1.6 - 10</td>
<td>13.5 - 15.7</td>
<td>1.7 - 11.9</td>
<td>0.8 - 10.9</td>
<td>0.1 - 1.6</td>
<td>1.4 - 104</td>
<td>1 - 4</td>
<td>4 - 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Also called the microbial DMSP consumption rate. ** Measured from the incorporation of 35S into TCN-alkylated particles. Expressions n/a and ref to data that is not available and not detectable, respectively.

The compilation is non-exhaustive and does not include certain stressor experiments for simplicity (see additional studies including Slezak et al. 2007; Ruiz-Gonzalez et al. 2011; 2012a).