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Abstract

The long-term monitoring of basic hydrological parameters (temperature and salinity), collected as time series with adequate temporal resolution (i.e. with a sampling interval allowing the resolution of all important time scales) in key-places of the Mediterranean Sea (straits and channels, zones of dense water formation, deep parts of the basins), constitute a priority in the context of global changes. This led CIESM (The Mediterranean Science Commission) to support, since 2002, the HYDROCHANGES programme (www.ciesm.orgmarine/programs/hydrochanges.htm), a network of autonomous conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) sensors, deployed on mainly short and easily manageable sub-surface moorings. The HYDROCHANGES strategy is twofold and develops on different scales. To get information about long-term changes of hydrological characteristics, long time series are needed. But before these series are long enough they allow detecting links between them at shorter time scales that may provide extremely valuable information about the functioning of the Mediterranean Sea. Here we present the history of the programme and the current setup of the network (monitored sites, involved groups) and provide an overview of all the available time series, discussing some of the results obtained thanks to the programme.

1 Introduction

Due to its relatively small size and its geographical location, enclosed between continents, the Mediterranean Sea is very sensitive and responds relatively rapidly to atmospheric forcings and/or anthropogenic influences, compared to oceanic time scales. Demographic growth, climate change and overexploitation of marine resources are exerting exceptional pressure on the Mediterranean environment, its ecosystems, services and resources. Further, it is a region where major oceanic processes occur, though on smaller scales than those occurring in the world ocean, as for instance the dense water formation (DWF) and the thermohaline circulation, known as the conveyor
belt. For these reasons it has often been defined as an ideal test domain for climatic studies (e.g. Garrett et al., 1993). Significant long-term changes of the hydrological characteristics (potential temperature, $\theta$, and salinity, $S$) have been reported during the last decades (since the 1960s) in both the Western Mediterranean (WMED) and the Eastern Mediterranean (EMED), essentially for the waters formed inside the two basins and found at intermediate and deep levels (the Mediterranean Waters, or MWs), but also for the surface water of Atlantic origin (Atlantic Water, or AW).

1.1 Mediterranean Sea functioning and documented variability

The Mediterranean basin-wide thermohaline circulation cell is an open cell, driven by the net buoyancy flux toward the atmosphere that takes place over the sea: the thermohaline circulation starts in the Strait of Gibraltar with the inflow of AW and ends at the same site with an undercurrent of intermediate and deep MWs. Schematically, the AW enters the Mediterranean in the surface layer at Gibraltar, it follows then a counter-clockwise circuit in both basins, and is progressively transformed into MWs in the northern parts of the sea during wintertime. Sooner or later these intermediate and deep waters end up exiting the Mediterranean at depth through Gibraltar. The upper branch that carries the AW towards the interior of the sea is a superposition of interacting large-scale and mesoscale patterns, each of them showing their own variability (circulation schemes are given e.g. in Schroeder et al., 2012). In the lower branch the Levantine Intermediate Water (LIW), formed in the EMED, moves at intermediate depths, and is thus able to flow through the Sicily Channel into the WMED without major topographic constrictions. The deep cells of the two basins are separated by the topography of the channel and driven by DWF processes in the northern parts of the sea: the Eastern Mediterranean Deep Water (EMDW) is formed within the Adriatic or Aegean, while the Western Mediterranean Deep Water (WMDW) is formed in the Algero-Provencal subbasin. The naming of these water masses (and others that will be mentioned throughout the paper) follows the recommendations given by CIESM (2001). All acronyms used in the paper are given in Table 1.
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In the EMED dramatic changes in the thermohaline properties and circulation occurred during the late 1980s and early 1990s, when the location of the source of the Eastern Mediterranean Deep Water (EMDW) shifted abruptly from the Adriatic subbasin to the Aegean subbasin (see e.g. Roether et al., 1996, 2007; Klein et al., 1999; Lascaratos et al., 1999). This event, known as the Eastern Mediterranean Transient (EMT) induced a change in the thermohaline properties and in the circulation of the Ionian subbasin (Malanotte-Rizzoli et al., 1997, 1999; Pinardi et al., 1997) leading to dramatic changes in the EMDW characteristics. The competition between the two sources of deep waters (Adriatic Deep Water, AdDW, and Cretan Deep Water, CDW) is presently favouring again the Adriatic as the main source of EMDW, producing denser water than the Aegean. It is still controversial whether the EMT has been an unprecedented phenomenon or not, due to the scarcity of historical datasets, but Josey (2003) reported that similar strong heat flux anomalies occurred also during the 1970s. Recent studies (Rubino and Hainbucher, 2007; Roether et al., 2007; Cardin and Gačić, 2008; Cardin et al., 2011) indicate a noticeable salt/heat increase in the intermediate layer of the Southern Adriatic between 2003 and 2008, favouring the formation of AdDW (and consequently EMDW in the Ionian abyssal plain), saltier and warmer than before and during the EMT. On the long term, Tsimplis and Baker (2000) found statistically significant $\theta$ increasing trends in the deep Ionian and in the Levantine subbasins (0.002°C yr$^{-1}$ and 0.001°C yr$^{-1}$, respectively) at 3000 m from 1960 to 1991. At intermediate levels, Rohling and Bryden (1992) reported an increase in $S$ in the Levantine Intermediate Water (LIW) in the Ionian subbasin at a rate of 0.002 yr$^{-1}$ between 1909 and 1990 and of 0.005 yr$^{-1}$ between 1955 and 1990. Interestingly, in the eastern North-Atlantic the same order of magnitude of $S$ trends has been found in the Mediterranean outflow waters ($S$ increase of 0.0028 yr$^{-1}$ over the period 1955–1993) by Potter and Lozier (2004). It is still controversial whether this increase is caused by changes in the North Atlantic Central Water (NACW) or by actual changes in the source waters within the Mediterranean (Potter and Lozier, 2004).
In the WMED the steady increase of the surface layer temperature close to the Catalan coast has been documented through a 30-yr long time series of fortnightly observations: 0.04 °C yr⁻¹ at the surface and 0.025 °C yr⁻¹ at 80 m depth (Pascual et al., 1995; CIESM, 2002). Long-term θ and S increases have been documented for the Western Mediterranean Deep Water (WMDW) and for the LIW. In the deep layers these increases have been documented by various authors and for different periods: 0.0016 °C yr⁻¹/0.0008 yr⁻¹ (1960–1995, Krahmann and Schott, 1998), 0.0027 °C yr⁻¹/0.0019 yr⁻¹ (1969–1987, Leaman and Schott, 1991), 0.0035 °C yr⁻¹/0.0011 yr⁻¹ (1957–1997, Béthoux et al., 1998), respectively for θ and S, to cite a few. Even stronger increases have been found in the Tyrrhenian Deep Water (TDW) by Fuda et al. (2002) (0.016 °C yr⁻¹ and 0.008 yr⁻¹ during the 1990s, for θ and S respectively). In the Ligurian subbasin in the layer occupied by the LIW core (300–400 m), Béthoux and Gentili (1996) detected a θ increase of ~0.0068 °C yr⁻¹ and a S increase of ~0.0018 yr⁻¹ (1960–1992), the latter being in accordance with the estimates for the EMED by Rohling and Bryden (1992) mentioned above. More recently, Millot et al. (2006) described a warming and salinification of the Mediterranean outflow waters, which in early 2000s were 0.3 °C warmer and 0.06 saltier than in early 1980s. Finally, since 2005 the deep waters of the WMED have experienced significant physical changes, which are comparable to the EMT, both in terms of intensity and observed effects (Schroeder et al., 2008). The production of high volumes of anomalously warm and salty new deep water during winters 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 uplifted the old WMDW by several hundreds of meters in almost the whole western basin, inducing an abrupt increase in the deep heat and salt contents, thus resulting in a change in the deep stratification. The described changes were proposed by the group of researchers gathered at the CIESM workshop no. 38 to be referred to as the Western Mediterranean Transition (WMT), in order to avoid confusion with the better known EMT (CIESM, 2009). Data collected between 2004 and 2006 showed that in this period the deep layer of the WMED experienced a θ increase of ~0.038 °C and a S increase of ~0.016 (Schroeder et al., 2008). These increases are five to seven times greater than...
the increasing trends indicated by Béthoux and Gentili (1999) and about four times greater than the estimates given for the 1985–2000 period by Rixen et al. (2005). These figures highlight the importance and the exceptional character of the 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 events, but also show how interannual variability can induce $\theta$-$S$ changes several times stronger than those associated to long term changes. This fact reinforces the necessity of obtaining long-term series.

In general it is postulated that the majority of these changes and trends should be attributed to changes in the hydrological cycle and air-sea fluxes, and/or to the reduced river runoff as a consequence of human intervention (Leaman and Schott, 1991; Rohling and Bryden, 1992; Krahmann and Schott, 1998; Send et al., 1999; CIESM, 2009). Further, up to the early 2000s, the hypotheses about the causes of trends in the MWs were based on the assumption that the AW inflow at Gibraltar was not undergoing any properties change, and trends were attributed only to climatic and anthropogenic influences inside the Mediterranean Sea. Even if Krahmann and Schott (1998) reported a $S$ increase of the upper layer in the northern WMED, they attributed it to “local” causes (decrease of precipitations and river-runoff), rather than to “upstream” changes (in the Atlantic and at Gibraltar). But the salinification of the AW inflow (see Sect. 3.1) observed thanks to HC data suggests that both causes need to be explored jointly (Millot, 2007). Indeed, the monitoring of the characteristics of the inflowing AW, which strongly modulates the Mediterranean circulation and DWF processes, should be included in any observational network, but both dynamical and topographic limitations make the long-term monitoring in this area a difficult task.

1.2 Issues about monitoring hydrological variability

Most of the past studies about hydrological variability rely on ship-based CTD or even bottle samples observations being operated only in specific places and on a monthly (at best) to annual basis. The data available since the beginning of the last century (e.g. Painter and Tsimplis, 2003) revealed that the sea has witnessed interannual and decadal variability as well as abrupt changes. Different time scales were thus involved...
in those processes. In the light of the above mentioned changes and trends, it is clear that the Mediterranean Sea is a highly sensitive, fast-reacting system. Indeed, the whole Mediterranean region has been defined as a “hot-spot” for climate change (Giorgi, 2006), as numerical model projections (2080–2099 minus 1960–1979) indicate pronounced mean warming (surface air temperature), large decrease in precipitation, and increase in precipitation variability during the dry (warm) season. This is another reason why it is of much interest to study the evolution of water masses in this region in response to such climatic changes, as well as to improve knowledge about the general functioning of the sea, its internal feedback mechanisms and specific processes.

The co-existence of different temporal and spatial scales in the ocean-atmosphere system makes it difficult to analyze oceanographic time series and to detect trends, since the number of long oceanic instrumental time series is much smaller and scattered in time and space than for meteorological observations. Long time-series are practically limited to tide gauge data. In general, the lack of long-term records precludes a more profound knowledge of the marine response to the climatic forcings. Oceanographic trends are thus usually estimated by using CTD data, which are highly scattered in time and space. This implies that the resulting trend estimates can be very different, depending on the period considered (i.e. the first and the last point in a time series), the place, the depth interval used and so on. Further limitations in the use of time/space-scattered (ship-handled CTD) data for trends estimates are: (i) the sensitivity of results to data analysis methods in the case of scarce data sets (Vargas-Yáñez et al., 2009); (ii) difficulty in detecting trends in shallow waters or in the surface layer, where short term variability is high and seasonal cycles are difficult to remove (Vargas-Yáñez et al., 2009); (iii) difficulty to properly estimate important statistical parameters, such as data significance and covariance between data sets, due to large gaps in time series; (iv) difficulty in comparing trends estimated in different places and/or different periods (Millot et al., 2006), preventing an analysis of propagation of signals through the sea (in terms of phase-lags between time series); (v) high expensiveness of the experimental strategy, in terms of costs and logistics, preventing the measurements to
be performed on e.g. a weekly basis, except for coastal regions (Pascual et al., 1995); (vi) instrumental biases in temperature time series (Vargas-Yáñez et al., 2010).

Feedback mechanisms regulating the connections between climate, circulation and marine ecosystems, and possible alterations under future climate scenarios are not yet well understood. In addition, several Mediterranean areas, mainly its southern parts, are widely undersampled (and some of them have never been sampled), due to historical-political difficulties, as well as to financial and logistic constraints. This is a serious limit, as the importance of intense mesoscale dynamics in these areas which makes the interpretation of data from monitoring programs even more difficult (Millot and Briand, 2002), and prevents reliable trend detection from episodic and sparse observations (e.g. Millot and Taupier-Letage, 2005).

1.3 The CIESM initiative

Even though the task of investigating the long-term variability of hydrological properties by using shipboard CTD data was greatly aided by an EU coordinated action, MEDAR-MEDATLAS (Mediterranean Data Archaeology and Rescue), which managed to facilitate access to the dispersed CTD data sets together with data from bottles, expendable bathythermograph (XBT) and mechanical bathythermograph (MBT) probes and developed a joint comprehensive database of oceanographic data (1900–2002, Mediterranean and Black Sea) (MEDAR Group, 2002), this data set was basically not very suitable to specify long term variations (scarcity of data, high noise levels). Even with these limitations, these data provide useful information about long term changes in the past, some of them have been enumerated above and can be summarised as the warming and salinification of deep waters and the salinity increase of intermediate waters both in the eastern and western Mediterranean. Accurate estimations of these trends are difficult to provide because of the methodological problems already mentioned. Anyway, these data sets can be considered as an initial point and provide a background for modern monitoring programs.
The limitations listed above were the main reason for the proposal of a CIESM coordinated workshop in 2002 (CIESM, 2002), in order to define an observational strategy for the future that would allow a better identification of long-term variations and a better understanding of the major processes occurring in the Mediterranean. The proposed monitoring network, called HYDROCHANGES (HC hereafter, http://www.ciesm.org/marine/programs/hydrochanges.htm) was a novelty as it incorporated many research institutes under a common monitoring strategy, even though previous initiatives with a similar long-term perspective were already engaged. In the EMED, the Mediterranean Forecasting System Pilot Project (MFSPP, 1999–2001) and its follow-up, the Mediterranean Forecasting System Towards Environmental Prediction (MFSTEP, 2002–2006), developed an open sea network of buoys and moorings (M3A) arrays (Mediterranean Multi-Moored-Array, see Nittis et al., 2003) deploying similar systems in the Cretan Sea and in the Southern Adriatic, as well as in in the Ligurian subbasin. Remaining in the WMED, at least two long-term programs, mainly addressed to capture downward fluxes, have also been launched: the Dynamique des Flux Atmosphériques en Méditerranée (DYFAMED, part of the French-Joint Global Ocean Flux Study-JGOFS) site in the Ligurian subbasin, initiated in 1988 (see www.obs-vlfr.fr/sodyf/ and Miquel et al., 2011, for details) and the Centre de Formation et de Recherche sur l’Environnement Marin (CEFREM) long-term mooring deployments in the Planier and Lacaze-Duthiers submarine canyons, initiated in 1993 (see Heussner et al., 2006, for details). Further, long-term monitoring of the Sicily Channel and the Corsica Channel has been conducted by the Italian CNR ISMAR – Marine Science Institute since late 1980s – early 1990s. While in the Spanish coastal waters since 1991 the Instituto Espanol de Oceanografia (IEO) has supported the project RADMED (www.ma.ieo.es/gcc), which consists in a network of CTDs performed periodically at fixed locations along on-off shore transects, providing a systematic information about the water column, a vertical description which can be considered as complementary of the time series provided by HC.
In the following Sect. 2 we review the rationale behind the HYDROCHANGES programme, as well as the history and the present status of the network. Sections 3 and 4 are dedicated to the presentation and the discussion of the observations collected so far in the HC framework. Section 5 points out improvements that are envisaged and provides some concluding remarks.

2 History and present status of the network

2.1 History and rationale

During the CIESM workshop no. 16 (Monaco, April 2002) entitled “Tracking long-term hydrological change in the Mediterranean”, more than 30 scientists from 12 countries agreed on the need of a sustained multi-component monitoring system. The main objective of the workshop was to establish a realistic scientific monitoring strategy to better assess and describe long-term hydrological variations in the Mediterranean Sea (CIESM, 2002). In particular it was necessary to build up a tool for a better understanding of:

1. the relationships between the Mediterranean Sea, the world ocean and the atmosphere;

2. the long-term variability of the thermohaline circulation and the water masses characteristics;

3. the hydrological cycle;

4. key processes in poorly-known regions of the Mediterranean Sea.

Moreover, it was pointed out that the existing data sets were not sufficient to provide useful insights on these issues, as discussed in the Introduction. A general agreement was found that the monitoring of hydrological long-term variability needed a coordinated action having a twofold objective, i.e. to better specify trends in different regions
all over the sea and to better understand the major processes of the sea functioning. In the definition of a common strategy some priorities had to be defined, since a regular monitoring of the climate system cannot be applied to all temporal and spatial scales over the whole Mediterranean, and not all parameters can be monitored. For this reason, different strategies were proposed by the workshop participants, some of them have been later developed in the framework of other international programs. Here we list the main proposals, focusing on their potential advantages, describe other resulting programs or networks, and explain the final selected common HC strategy. Among others, the main proposals were (for details refer to CIESM, 2002):

- An integrated operational observing-model system: based on the opinion that observations should be based on model requirements, with an “operational” approach (in terms of low-cost/long-term) and including several indicators of the ecosystem changes (physical parameters, carbon system parameters) as well as atmospheric data. The underlying principle of this proposal was that the observational part of Mediterranean climate studies has to be based on the integration of different platforms and should focus on selected areas, where the “climate signal” is evident: atmospheric data collected from land stations, satellites and Voluntary Observing Ships, XBT temperature measurements within SOOP (Ships Of Opportunity Program), multiparametric buoys in DWF areas, integrated coastal-ocean observing systems, remote sensing. Nevertheless, such a strategy could not be easily implemented under the CIESM umbrella, without any additional funding. In any case, many national and international initiatives, since then, have adopted this strategy (e.g. MyOcean, MOON, OceanSITES, EuroSITES, MOOSE).

- A 2-component observational system: based on (1) moored profilers in DWF areas and (2) a broad scale array of ARGO floats. This proposal is now being implemented within the Mediterranean ARGO program, MedArgo (http://nettuno.ogs.trieste.it/sire/medargo/active/index.php).
Repeat hydrological sections: using CTDs, and connecting the major DWF areas with the basin interior (at least once a year); subbasin scale surveys (focusing on the southern and poorly known areas); surveys in regions known to be sensitive to climate variability; regular measurements in coastal areas. Also in this case, such a strategy could not be easily implemented without adequate funding.

Voluntary Observing Ships: to establish a network of ships of opportunity for automated monitoring of the surface waters of the Mediterranean, using different physical and biogeochemical sensors. This proposal is now being implemented within another CIESM programme, PartnerSHIPS (http://www.ciesm.org/marine/programs/partnerships.htm).

Continuous monitoring of key sites: with moored instruments in straits and channels, DWF areas, southern sector, deep basins. It has been recognized that collecting permanently time series at some specific places, even if in a relatively limited number, was the sole strategy that clearly would allow comparisons and thus inferences about the propagation of signals, the circulation and the functioning of the sea.

This paper is centered on the implementation and results from this last proposal, being the one which has been implemented for HC. The other initiatives described above are being implemented under other umbrellas. For its part, HC has become over the years one of the emblematic CIESM programmes, as it well reflects key characteristics of the Commission engagement: limited but guaranteed funding over a long-term horizon, donation and maintenance of material (CTDs in this case) provided to developing Mediterranean countries, north-south cooperation with continuous capacity building (training of local engineers and physicists), cross-basin scale with a gradual but sure extension of the network towards eastern and southern waters, in-depth discussion of HC at each CIESM Congress, etc.

With the focus on trend detection, the HC coordinated action is thus aimed at (i) addressing problems on the long term (i.e. the action should continue over decades),
(ii) focusing on temporal variability, using eulerian data at few selected key locations (strait, zones of DWF, on continental slopes and rises, where the main boundary currents flow) and from the surface layer down to the greatest depths, (iii) achieving the necessary time and space resolutions (i.e. to resolve all important variations, at least in time), which requires autonomous instrumentation collecting data at high temporal resolution over decades in as many places as possible (a sort of sea-wide experiment), (iv) using instrumentations as cheap and simple as possible (CIESM, 2002). The strength of this type of measurements, that may complement other existing components of the global ocean observing system (ARGO, satellites, ship-based hydrology, XBTs), are: the high temporal resolution, which allows to resolve events and abrupt variations and processes; the possibility to make measurements in locations, such as straits and boundary currents, that otherwise would be difficult to perform with mobile platforms; the maintenance of a long-term presence in those sites, since sustained long time series are the most powerful tool for the identification of climate change effects (Send et al., 2010).

The basic proposal was to employ relatively inexpensive, autonomous instruments, which are highly performing in terms of accuracy, resolution, stability, memory and energy consumption, to constitute a network of autonomous oceanographic stations with a wide geographical coverage of the Mediterranean Sea (CIESM, 2002), placing CTDs in key regions, on short and easily manageable sub-surface moorings. Ship-based CTDs are still necessary to provide information on the spatial distribution of temperatures and salinities in the moorings’ surroundings.

2.2 Present status and monitored sites

At the present day, nine years after the beginning of the programme, the HC network involves up to 15 fully operational mooring sites, three temporarily interrupted or lost moorings and one foreseen in the Algerian subbasin. The list includes two new sites that have joined the HC network in summer 2010. There are 12 involved institutes from six countries around the Mediterranean Sea. The synthesis of the present network
status is given in Table 2 and Fig. 1. As can be seen from Table 2, some regions have been monitored, without interruption, well before the start of the HC programme (maintained by the Italian “CNR ISMAR – Marine Science Institute”: two moorings in the Sicily Channel, in operation since 1993, one mooring in the Corsica Channel, in operation since 1989). Soon after the initial CIESM workshop of 2002, the first two moorings under the HC umbrella were deployed at Gibraltar, to monitor the inflowing and outflowing water masses (January 2003), in the framework of a collaboration between the “Service Hydrographique et Océanographique de la Marine Royale du Maroc (SHOMAR)” and the French “CNRS – Centre d’Océanologie de Marseille (CNRS/COM)”. Shortly after, in July 2003, the Tunisian “Institut National des Sciences et Technologies de la Mer (INSTM)”, with the support of CNRS/COM staff, deployed two deep moorings, in the Sardinia Channel at 1900 m as well as in the central part of the Sicily Channel at 1200 m depth (now lost), to monitor the exchanges between the two Mediterranean basins. In the same year (October–November 2003) the DWF area in the northern WMED started to be monitored with a mooring on the lower part of the Catalan slope (at 1890 m) and another one (at 315 m) in the upper part of the Cap de Creus Canyon, by the Spanish “Institut de Ciències del Mar (ICM-CSIC)”. A third mooring at Gibraltar was deployed further west (Espartel Sill) in October 2004 by the “University of Malaga (UMA)”, to monitor the variability of the outflowing MWs in the main channel of this section (at 360 m). The CNRS/COM also initiated a monitoring site in the Gulf of Lion in October 2006, which is providing complementary results to the ICM-CSIC data (see later discussion). The importance of this DWF region is evidenced by the fact that a third mooring has started operating there in December 2007: through its collaboration with CNRS/COM, the ANTARES (Astronomy with a Neutrino Telescope and Abyss environmental RESearch) collaboration participates in the CIESM HC programme. Briefly, the ANTARES Group is constructing an astrophysical telescope in the deep sea optimised for the detection of muons from high-energy neutrinos (ANTARES Collaboration, 2006). In parallel, to detail the environmental conditions, temperature, conductivity and currents are measured. Therefore, the ANTARES
site and its equipment now constitute an additional station of the HC programme. Most of the HC monitoring sites are located in the WMED. Presently in the EMED, the Italian “Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e di Geofisica Sperimentale (OGS)” operates a mooring site in the South Adriatic, a DWF region as well, since 2002 (continuously since November 2006), while the Greek “Hellenic Centre for Marine Research (HCMR)” deployed a mooring in the Antikithira Strait in November 2007. A one-year time series was recorded off Libya, in the south-western Levantine subbasin (3226 m depth) from April 2006 to March 2007 by CNRS/COM (Taupier-Letage et al., 2007). A short time series is available also in a region off Libya, in the south-western Levantine subbasin (from April 2006 to March 2007, 3226 m depth), which was managed by CNRS/COM. Very recently (summer 2010), two more mooring sites joined the HC network: one in the Ligurian Sea (2350 m, close to the DYFAMED site), managed by the French “Observatoire Oceanologique de Villefranche-sur-mer”, and one in the central deep Tyrrenhenian (3410 m), a region where the water of eastern origin cascades to great depths and contribute to the formation of the TDW. This latter mooring is managed jointly by the Italian groups at DiSAm (Department of Environmental Sciences of the “Parthenope” University of Naples), CNR-ISMAR, ENEA-UTMAR (Technical Unit for Marine Environment and Sustainable Development of the Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development).

The ultimate goal of HC is not to provide complete information about the whole Mediterranean Sea, but rather to provide continuous high quality data in specific locations that may be considered representative for a certain region or regime, or where peculiar processes occur. Such continuous time-series can then be employed to detect long-term variations, abrupt changes and events, and also to motivate, validate and improve adequate numerical simulations.

2.3 Instruments and data processing

Since HC aims at evidencing trends that are of the order of O (0.001–0.01) per year, the greatest care must be taken for $\theta$ (and $S$) measurements. As shown in
Table 2, most of the instruments used to measure temperature (and conductivity) are SBE37 MicroCATs manufactured by Sea-Bird Electronics (Bellevue, Washington, USA; www.seabird.com/products/spec_sheets/37sidata.htm). The SBE37 MicroCAT is a high-accuracy temperature and conductivity sensor, very stable in time, with an optional pressure gauge. A pressure gauge is very important for the accurate determination of hydrological long-term variability. For instance, for the computation of salinity a pressure difference of 10 dbar (which is of the order of the uncertainty about the actual immersion of moorings, especially on varying bathymetry) may cause a salinity difference of ~0.004. The SBE37 can be autonomous or externally powered, and thus it is suited for both, uses in stand-alone mode or integrated in a monitoring device. Constructed of titanium and other non-corroding materials to ensure long life with minimum maintenance, the MicroCAT’s depth capability is 7000 m. The MicroCAT’s unique internal-field conductivity cell permits the use of expendable anti-foulant devices, which is of the utmost importance to guarantee the good quality of conductivity data especially for shallow moorings. The aged and pressure-protected thermistor has a long history of exceptional accuracy and stability.

The accuracy of the MicroCAT is sustained by the care and periodic calibration of the sensors and by establishing proper handling practices, respecting the manufacturer’s recommendations. The primary source of temperature sensor drift is the aging of the thermistor element. Sensor drift will usually be a few thousandths of a degree during the first year, and less in subsequent intervals. Sensor drift is not substantially dependent upon the environmental conditions of use, and the thermistor is insensitive to shock. All sensors are calibrated by the manufacturer by subjecting them to known physical conditions and measuring the sensor responses. Coefficients are then computed, which may be used with appropriate algorithms to obtain engineering units. The conductivity and temperature sensors on the MicroCAT are supplied fully calibrated. Each team is then responsible for the successive calibrations, ideally on a yearly basis (or less, if maintenance is more frequent). Recalibrations are made either in at home calibration baths or returning the sensor regularly to the manufacturer. The new
calibration coefficients are then applied to the time series between two successive calibrations. During post-processing QA/QC (quality assurance/quality control) flags are assigned according to domain criteria specified for each parameter and also assigned by visual inspection of data values and scatter/line plots.

3 Results

Key regions presently monitored in the HC framework include straits, channels, DWF areas, the deeper parts of various subbasins and the continental rise. In this section we provide an overview of all HC $\theta$ time series collected in different parts and depths of the Mediterranean Sea. The presentation is mainly devoted to $\theta$ ($S$ is not shown, with the exception of the AW at Gibraltar), as not all sites do have post-calibrated $S$ data yet (and pressure data, allowing an accurate computation of salinity from conductivity data are not available for all sites).

At each mooring site the partners have deployed autonomous CTDs, often accompanied by currentmeters (Table 2). The relevant amount of data has been in part exploited scientifically, also linking them with repeated CTD sections (Gasparini et al., 2005; Vargas-Yáñez et al., 2005, 2009, 2010; Millot et al., 2006; Millot, 2007, 2009; Palanques et al., 2006, 2009; García-Lafuente et al., 2007, 2009; Font et al., 2007, 2008, 2009; Puig et al., 2008; Sánchez-Román et al., 2009; Millot and García-Lafuente, 2011; Ribó et al., 2011). There are evidences of interannual $\theta$ and $S$ variability, even though most of the HC time series are too short yet to significantly address issues on long-term variability and trends. Nevertheless, the results are encouraging and are providing new insights on the functioning of the sea. Observations collected till now in each site are described in the following, while an attempt to link different time-series is done in Sect. 4.
3.1 The Strait of Gibraltar

Deploying and maintaining long-term moorings in this region, “the key-place” to monitor the overall functioning of the Mediterranean Sea, presents some specific difficulties, due to the complex topography, the bio-fouling, the presence of strong tidal currents that reverse the inflow and/or the outflow in many places of the strait (Candela et al., 1990; Bryden et al., 1994; García-Lafuente et al., 2000), as well as the remarkable internal tide that strongly distorts what is basically a two-layer exchange, through mixing processes often reaching the bottom in the whole strait.

As already discussed, the inflowing AW directly conditions the properties of the water masses involved in the Mediterranean Sea circulation (MW), in DWF processes and finally in the Mediterranean Outflow Waters (MOW). Monitoring AW should therefore be considered a necessity for all analyses dealing with trends in the sea and thus be included in any observational network (Millot and Briand, 2002). This is why the first HC mooring was deployed in 2003 at 80 m on the Moroccan shelf (MS, Fig. 2), a convenient place due to an AW-MOW interface generally sloping down southward.

To monitor MOWs, two favourable sites are the Camarinal and Espartel sills (CAS and ES in Fig. 2), which have advantages for the monitoring purpose and display some similarities (García-Lafuente et al., 2007). Bray et al. (1995) showed that the greatest AW vs. MOWs contrast is at CAS, which points at this sill as the best place for monitoring the two-layer exchange. On the other hand, experimental (Farmer and Armi, 1988; Sánchez-Román et al., 2009) and numerical (Castro et al., 2004; Sannino et al., 2004, 2009; Sánchez-Román et al., 2009) studies suggest that hydraulic control on MOW is a rather permanent feature at ES, which is the last topographic constriction before they sink in the ocean down to ∼1000 m (Baringer and Price, 1997; Ambar et al., 2002), while at CAS the hydraulic control is lost almost every tidal cycle. This hydraulic behaviour gives ES practical advantages to compute the volume transport using a single mooring line. In any case, HC moorings were deployed at both sites, near the southern sill at CAS on a small plateau at 270 m (since its deepest part at 290 m is steeply
V-shaped), and at the southern sill at ES (350 m). However, it must be emphasized that the MOW outflowing in the northern part of the strait are totally missed (Millot, submitted).

The HC time series at Gibraltar have already been used to analyse interannual, seasonal and fortnightly variability of both AW (Millot, 2007) and MOW (Millot et al., 2006; García-Lafuente et al., 2007, 2009, 2011; Millot, 2009; Sánchez-Román et al., 2009; Millot and García-Lafuente, 2011).

For AW, Fig. 3 (adapted from Millot, 2007) shows a marked seasonal variability of $S$ (amplitude $\sim0.5$, maximum in winter), due to air-sea interactions, and a remarkable interannual salinification during the 2003–2007 period ($\sim0.05\text{yr}^{-1}$). This fact reflects (according to Millot, 2007) the marked variability evidenced in the nearby ocean (e.g. Reverdin et al., 2007) and cannot be extrapolated to the evolution of AW in the long-term. Since it was observed at the very beginning of the HC experiment, it clearly validates the HC strategy and questions (as expected by Millot and Briand, 2002) bulk analyses that, more or less explicitly, assume a constant salt content within the sea (e.g. Béthoux et al., 1998; Rohling and Bryden, 1992).

The MOW seasonality in the strait is still a debated question. Some authors (e.g. García-Lafuente et al., 2007) claim that the MOW leaving the sea is relatively warm and light in early winter and cooler and dense in late spring and summer, with amplitudes of 0.05 °C and 0.015 kg m$^{-3}$ for $\theta$ and potential density, respectively. The $\theta$ minimum, occurring usually in April, is also accompanied by a MOW maximum transport. On the contrary, Millot (2009) assumes that the MOW entering the strait does not display any significant seasonality until it starts mixing with AW. Whatever the case, Millot and García-Lafuente (2011) showed that tidal mixing with the seasonally variable AW within the strait induces seasonal and fortnightly variability in the MOW. Millot et al. (2006) showed that in the early 2000s the MWs at CAS was $\sim0.3$ °C warmer and $\sim0.06$ saltier than in the 1980s and earlier. They hypothesized that this was due to changes in the MOW composition, with waters originated in the eastern basin representing, in the early 2000s, higher percentages than those originated in the western basin, maybe
as a consequence of the EMT. At CAS, this mainly results in sampling TDW more often than WMDW. A different explanation was given by García-Lafuente et al. (2007, 2009) who suggest that the observed variability derives from the different properties of the dense waters located at the maximum depth from where they can be uplifted and aspired through the strait. That depth is roughly located in the transitional layer between LIW and WMDW in the Alboran subbasin (Parrilla et al., 1986; Kinder and Bryden, 1990), so that any vertical displacements of this layer would change the θ-S characteristics of the aspired water. This explanation emphasizes another debated feature since Millot (2009) questions the necessity to invoke any aspiration process. Indeed, when the newly formed, relatively dense WMDW sinks to the bottom, it uplifts all other waters in the western basin: would WMDW be the sole water in such a basin, the oldest WMDW would thus be naturally uplifted, year after year, up to the sill at CAS.

García-Lafuente et al. (2007) showed a rather similar behaviour of θ at CAS and ES with a correlation coefficient of 0.94 and a quite constant difference of 0.107 ± 0.021 °C (see Fig. 4), indicating similar fluctuations ranges for tide-filtered data at both sills. The similarity is striking in March 2005 and February 2006, when the same sharp θ decrease is registered simultaneously at both sills. Further, MOW at ES is fresher (not shown) and warmer (Fig. 4) than at CAS, due to the progressive mixing in the Tangier basin with either NACW (García Lafuente et al., 2011) or Surface Atlantic Water (SAW) (Millot, 2012). It is now demonstrated (Millot and García-Lafuente, 2011) that AW-MOW mixing lines (dθ/dS) inferred from the tide-filtered time series, generally show similar slopes at both CAS and ES (between −0.7 and −1.7 °C), and that the temporal variability of MOW characteristics increases westwards. Since the MWs entering the strait display marked spatial heterogeneity and long-term temporal variability, accurately predicting the characteristics of the MOW into the North Atlantic Ocean appears almost impossible (Millot and García-Lafuente, 2011).
3.2 The northern WMED

The northern part of the western basin is the formation region of the WMDW, which may occur either over the shelf (dense shelf water cascading) or in the open sea (deep convection). The DWF process is caused by surface heat loss and evaporation due to cold, dry and strong northerly winds. Water circulation in the northern WMED is cyclonic with an alongslope current (the Northern Current, see Millot and Taupier-Letage (2005) for a review), showing an intense mesoscale activity. Beyond this area, offshore, there is a central less dynamic zone, commonly known as MEDOC area (MEDOC Group, 1970), where the surface salinity is higher than in the rest of the northern WMED, because of a positive E-P-R (evaporation-precipitation-runoff) budget. In addition, the cyclonic circulation favours low stability in the MEDOC area in winter enabling the doming of LIW towards shallower levels. Once the surface mixed layer is dense and thick enough, bursts of cold winds may trigger deep convection producing the new deep water (open sea convection). Conversely, over the wide shelf of the Gulf of Lion and adjacent areas, E-P-R values are usually lower or even negative because of large river runoff. However, when subject to winter heat losses and evaporation, caused by cold and dry northerly winds, cooling and mixing of the coastal water is induced. Despite its low salinity, the cooling of a relatively thin layer of coastal water is sufficiently large to induce a density increase, which eventually is high enough to force the water to sink over the shelf, overfill the shelf-edge and flow down the continental slope, in a process known as cascading, until they reach their density equilibrium, at a depth depending on both the severity of the cooling event and the initial $\theta$-$S$ properties of the coastal waters. Cascading events are easily recognizable since they are characterized by abrupt decreases in water $\theta$ associated with increases in current speed. DWF in the northern WMED is thus the result of highly variable phenomena, which in turn depend on a number of interacting processes, as e.g. air-sea heat exchanges, extreme winds, river discharges, general cyclonic circulation. Such complexity as well as the violent water motions involved, short episodes with high velocities make this phenomenon difficult
to study. Oceanographic cruises cannot be programmed rapidly enough to sample the area at the right moment and sea conditions use to be hard even for larger ships, so that at best they may provide a certain degree of spatial coverage but lack in temporal resolution. Historical campaigns in the area have focused on convection, not on cascading that might thus have been observed only by chance. Thus the HC recorded time series may also provide the opportunity to fully investigate the occurrence of episodes of DWF, both by cascading and open convection. The need of high temporal sampling to resolve events and rapid processes is addressed by the use of moorings located in specific areas where DWF is known to occur. Although the primary goal of HC is to monitor long-term variability, recent results demonstrated the relevance of the strategy also for accurately detecting, characterizing and monitoring DWF processes and their effects. This has been particularly evident in the relatively short history of the four monitoring sites in the northern WMED (Table 2 and Fig. 5). Winter 2004/2005 was certainly anomalous and very favourable for DWF in the region, both by cascading and open sea convection (Canals et al., 2006; Font et al., 2007; Puig et al., 2008; Palanques et al., 2009; CIESM, 2009).

Figure 6 summarizes the $\theta$ records at the four sites: the Cap de Creus Canyon (CCC), the Catalan slope (CS), the Gulf of Lion (GoL) in the centre of the open sea DWF area and the ANTARES site. It is noteworthy that different scales had to be used to highlight the variability observed at each mooring: 10–14°C was necessary for CCC, 12.5–13°C for CS, 12.84–12.92°C for GoL, and 12.88–12.93°C for ANTARES. The mooring installed at the head of the CCC (at 315 m depth) recorded the occurrence of cascading from the Gulf of Lion shelf (Fig. 6a). In winter 2003/2004, several cascading events not reaching layers below LIW took place (from January to May) and lasted for a few days, which were generally associated to storms (Palanques et al., 2006). A major continuous cascading event was observed from January 2005 to late March 2005, with strong decreases in $\theta$ (of the order of 3°C, Fig. 6a) and an increase in current speeds (up to 80 cm s$^{-1}$, see Canals et al., 2006; Puig et al., 2008). Strong $\theta$ decreases and high current speeds were registered also in winter 2005/2006 (from
mid-December to mid-April), while winters 2006/2007, 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 were characterized only by some minor cascading events lasting for a few days (CIESM, 2009). Cascading at the canyon head in winter 2009/2010 increased its intensity, while winter 2010/2011 was less intense in terms of duration and $\theta$ decrease. About 120 km downstream the CCC site, another mooring was installed at 1890 m on the Catalan slope (CS). This site was chosen because it was previously used in 1993–1994 for the study by Send et al. (1996) about the spreading of the new deep waters. So for this location background information was available. $\theta$ (Fig. 6b) and $S$ (not shown) were almost constant from October 2003 (when the mooring has been deployed) until the end of January 2005, indicating a homogeneous water mass with typical WMDW characteristics ($12.846 \pm 0.008 ^\circ C$ and $38.456 \pm 0.002$, respectively), without any seasonal signal (Font et al., 2007; CIESM, 2009). Then both variables suddenly increased to $12.99 ^\circ C$ and 38.50, respectively, and in early March 2005 they dropped down by more than 0.3 $^\circ C$ and 0.06. Such low values were maintained for almost one month and then they gradually increased reaching steady values, which were higher than the initial ones ($12.882 \pm 0.005 ^\circ C$ and $38.481 \pm 0.002$, respectively). Font et al. (2007) attributed the first phase of increasing $\theta$ and $S$ in the CS data to the arrival at the mooring site of dense waters formed by open sea convection, with a large contribution of unusually warm LIW. The sudden cooling and freshening in early March 2005 was interpreted as the arrival of colder and fresher dense shelf waters originated during winter 2004/2005, which cascaded to the lower Catalan continental slope reaching speeds up to 60 cm s$^{-1}$ (Font et al., 2007). The final characteristics (0.04 $^\circ C$ warmer, 0.03 saltier and 0.012 kg m$^{-3}$ denser) are thus the result of the mixing of the two dense waters formed by open sea convection and cascading, respectively. One year later, another significant episode was recorded, with a subsequent cooling of the WMDW caused by the winter 2005/2006 cascading pulse, but without significant modification of the background $\theta$-$S$ properties that were reached at the end of the previous 2005 event. The CS mooring was serviced in early March 2007 without showing any evidence of thermohaline changes in the WMDW associated to the several minor cascading events.
that occurred in winter 2006/2007 (CIESM, 2009). The gaps between March 2007 and June 2008 and from late 2009 to 2011 are due to a failure in recovering the instruments.

The HC mooring maintained in the MEDOC area (MEDOC Group, 1970), at the centre of the open sea DWF area (42°N-5°E at 2400 m) by CNRS/COM since October 2006 (GoL), was serviced for the last time in June 2011. The most striking features on this record are the abrupt positive jumps in $\theta$ (Fig. 6c) and $S$ (not shown) that occurred in February 2009, 2010 and 2011, while previous values have been stable at 12.869 ± 0.005°C and 38.479 ± 0.002 for more than 2 yr. It is interesting to note that $\theta$ first reaches a maximum (12.91–12.92°C) and then slowly decreases during the following 2 weeks, before finally reaching 12.88°C. The $\theta$ after each event was a bit higher than before the event, to finally reach (June 2011) values higher than 12.89°C.

The ANTARES mooring is located offshore Toulon at 2400 m. The time series is still quite short, since the SBE37 was added in December 2007, and could not cover the main DWF events of the winters 2004/2005 and 2005/2006. The data show a strong oscillating behaviour, both in $\theta$ (Fig. 6d) and $S$ (not shown), with mean values of 12.90 ± 0.007°C and 38.480 ± 0.001, respectively, in line with the records of the other three moorings after the abrupt WMDW warming and salinification of winter 2004/2005. No offshore convection event down to these depths has been observed in this easternmost location (which is “upstream” the typical DWF site) in winter 2008/2009, as in the 42°N-5°E mooring, but the event of in winter 2009/2010 was evident also here, with a sudden $\theta$ increase.

### 3.3 The central Mediterranean region

The central Mediterranean region, i.e. the region between Algeria-Tunisia, Sicily and Sardinia, represents the connection between the WMED and the EMED. It includes the maritime space between the Sardinia Channel to the west, the Sicily Channel to the east and is in free communication with the Algerian and the Tyrrhenian subbasins. Both surface waters, coming from Gibraltar, and intermediate waters, from the EMED, cross...
the region before continuing their paths in the eastern/western part of the Mediterranean, respectively. Figure 7 shows the precise location of the three monitoring sites in this region.

The Sardinia Channel (SC) is a wide opening between Tunisia and Sardinia which has a sill at about 1900 m in a narrow deep trench that allows exchanges of the upper part of the deep waters to occur between the Algerian and the Tyrrhenian subbasins (Astraldi et al., 1999). The water masses exchanged in the deep layer are the WMDW and the TDW. The densest part of WMDW, which is trapped in the Algerian subbasin, is thus overflowing the sill when uplifted by even denser WMDW. The less dense WMDW, circulating anticlockwise and alongslope may enter the Sardinia Channel directly following the Algerian slope. These two parts of WMDW will thus be located at different places in the channel, and the HC mooring, being at the sill (Fig. 7) will mainly monitor the overflowing dense WMDW. The other water mass exchanged in this region is a water mass resulting from the mixing, inside the Tyrrhenian, between the WMDW and waters of eastern origin. In particular, the sinking of the Eastern Mediterranean Overflow Water (EOW) from depths shallower than 400 m down to 2000 m induces a tremendous mixing of the EOW itself and of the EOW with the resident water in the Tyrrhenian (Millot, 1999), giving origin to what has been called the TDW. The monitoring in the SC is operated at the sill (Fig. 7) in order to observe the variability of the deep water exchanged between the two adjacent subbasins. The $\theta$ time series (Fig. 8a) collected at the sill since July 2003 (salinity not shown) shows an alternation of WMDW presence (lower $\theta$ and $S$, between 12.81 and 12.86, and $\sim$38.45; generally flowing eastward) and TDW presence (“pulses” of higher $\theta$ and $S$, $>12.86$ often exceeding 12.9°C, and $S > 38.46$ sometimes $>38.48$; generally flowing westward) (see also Bouzinac et al., 1999). Those TDW pulses are generally of short duration (between 1 day and 1 week), and are likely to be due to displacements of the interface between the two deep water masses. Thus the mooring alternatively sampled WMDW (mainly) and TDW. Further we may observe a constant increase of $\theta$ in the WMDW (linear trend of $\sim$0.009°C yr$^{-1}$). On the contrary $S$ shows a decreasing trend in the
first half of the time series, $-0.004 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ between July 2003 and September 2005, followed by a stronger \( S \) increase, of $\sim 0.007 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (not shown). A closer look at the \( \theta \) time series also shows that the increasing trend is accelerated after September 2005 ($\sim 0.015 \text{ C yr}^{-1}$; for comparison, we note that Fuda et al. (2002) reported an increasing trend of $0.016 \text{ C yr}^{-1}$ in the deep Tyrrenian during the 1990s).

The Sicily Channel separates the sea into its two basins, the EMED and the WMED, and it is the most important Mediterranean passage after Gibraltar. As at Gibraltar, there is a two-layer system that is maintained by the excess of evaporation over the EMED. Its monitoring is fundamental for the quantification of the highly variable exchanges between the two basin, and in particular of the heat and salt import from the EMED to the WMED (and thus towards the DWF region and the Strait of Gibraltar). The channel is a complex region, delimited by two sill systems and a deep basin between them. Both the eastern and the western sill systems are in the 400–500 m depth range, while the internal portion reaches a depth of 1700 m, and plays a role as a buffer zone. Between Cape Bon (Tunisia) and Mazara del Vallo (Italy), a ridge divides the channel longitudinally into two trenches each with very different cross sectional areas (Fig. 7). The axis of the Sicilian trench and adjacent to the wide Sicilian plateau, is directed northwards, is very narrow, and has a maximum depth of 450 m (mooring C01). The Tunisian trench is wider, shallower, and with a sill depth at about 530 m, located some 20 nm to the southwest of the other (mooring C02). The monitoring of both trenches started in 1993, well before the start of HC. This dataset presently constitutes one of the longest, uninterrupted Mediterranean time series (along with the Corsica Channel series, the DYFAMED and the CEFREM series).

Many studies provide information about flux exchanges (Manzella et al., 1988; Astraldi et al., 1999; Vetrano et al., 2004), indicating an annual mean of the order of 1 Sv within both layers. Of particular relevance is the propagation of the EMT signature from the eastern to the western basin. The exceptionally large volume of new deep waters of Aegean origin (Roether et al., 2007) produced during the EMT modified the thermo-haline characteristics of the intermediate layer in the channel (traditionally occupied by
LIW) and induced the uplifting of the resident deep waters in the Ionian, significantly increasing the volume of EOW crossing the Sicily Channel, towards the Tyrrhenian subbasin (Gasparini et al., 2005).

Presently, the sub-surface moorings, which measure currents, $\theta$ and $S$ at different depths, monitor the exchanges both in the surface and the deep layers. In the deep layer, moorings are also equipped with a high quality CTD probe, to detect the hydrological variability in correspondence to the LIW layer (Table 2). Furthermore, hydrological campaigns are carried out in both sites approximately twice per year, providing CTD profiles that integrate the monitoring at discrete depths. The $\theta$ time series (shown since October 1998, Fig. 8b) at C01 at 400 m depth gives evidences of the high variability of the water masses crossing the channel. This variability is related to the intense mesoscale dynamics in this region (Sammari et al., 1999) and the presence of new water masses in the transition layer (Ben Ismail et al., 2012), making the identification of the interface between water masses a difficult task. Data collected at C02 (not shown) have comparable patterns but are on average 0.04 $^\circ$C colder than in C01. This is explained by the dynamics of the channel, which forces the core of LIW (warmer and saltier than the surroundings) to flow mainly through the eastern passage (Ben Ismail et al., 2012). On the seasonal time scale, $\theta$ presents a standard deviation of 0.05 $^\circ$C and exhibits maximum values in late winter-early spring, probably due to the stronger presence of LIW in this period, which forms in winter in the EMED. On the contrary, colder waters are flowing to the WMED during autumn.

An investigation of the interannual variability reveals that $\theta$ presents a cycle with warming and cooling periods. More in detail, a warming period is well evident between October 1998 and July 2004, with a mean increase of 0.15 $^\circ$C (from 13.89 $^\circ$C to 14.04 $^\circ$C, Fig. 8b). After July 2004 a strong cooling occurred ($-0.10$ $^\circ$C) which lasted to June 2006, followed again by a warming period (peaked in March 2008, when 14.02 $^\circ$C was reached in the low-passed time series). The final part of the time series shows again the beginning of a cooling period. Higher frequencies are superimposed to this trend: there is a seasonal cycle of 0.05 $^\circ$C amplitude peak-to-peak (maximum $\theta$ in
mid-May and minimum $\theta$ in late November) and numerous higher frequency variations of the order of days or weeks, suggesting a pulsating nature of the flow crossing the channel. Indeed Astraldi et al. (1999) and Grancini and Michelato (1987) found that the flow undergoes pulses with a period of about 15–20 days. It is worth to note that part of this variability may also be ascribed to the intense mesoscale activity of that region (Sammari et al., 1999), that would be able to modify the slope of, or lift up and down, the interface between water masses.

3.4 The Tyrrhenian and Ligurian subbasins

The Ligurian and Tyrrhenian are two important subbasins of the WMED. They are connected through the Corsica Channel (Fig. 9), which has a sill depth of about 460 m and a surface width of 30 km. The Ligurian subbasin has a cyclonic circulation, with a marked seasonal variability: the Northern Current is more intense and also more unstable in winter than in summer (Taupier-Letage and Millot, 1986). The Tyrrhenian circulation presents a well-developed mesoscale activity and a mean cyclonic circulation that is less intense than the Ligurian circulation (Krivosheya and Ovchinnikov, 1973; Vetrano et al., 2010). In this region HC is operating three stations (see Fig. 9): in the deep southern Tyrrhenian, within the shallow Corsica Channel and in the central part of the Ligurian, close to the historical DYFAMED station, and 30 nm off the French Riviera.

The Tyrrhenian is a deep subbasin characterized by a complex bottom bathymetry. It is delimited by the Italian coast to the east, by Corsica and Sardinia to the west, and by Sicily to the south. It has a narrow and shallow northern opening toward the Ligurian subbasin (the Corsica Channel) and a much larger and deeper opening in the south, a 250 km wide passage between Sardinia and Sicily. Beyond this opening, there is a deep communication toward the western Algerian subbasin (Sardinia Channel) and an eastern shallow connection to the EMED (Sicily Channel). As mentioned in the previous section, through the Sicily Channel the Tyrrhenian receives the LIW, which is marked by a subsurface temperature and salinity maximum, and the EOW, that mix with the
surface AW above and the deeper water masses below. One product of this mixing, below 700 m down to the bottom, is the TDW, being the result of the modification of the WMDW that crosses the Sardinia Channel. AW and LIW can reach the Ligurian through the Corsica Channel. The deep mooring in the southern Tyrrenian (Fig. 9) is placed in a relevant site to be monitored for a number of peculiar characteristics: it has a relatively weak dynamics (Vetrano et al., 2010), making it a suitable site to monitor the long term evolution of hydrographic properties of intermediate-deep water masses (Gasparini et al., 2005); it has a highly oligotrophic regime and appears to have been a net CO$_2$ source for the atmosphere from the 1960s to the 1980s and only transformed into a sink in the 1990s (Louanchi et al., 2009); it is a salt fingering region characterized by the presence of thermohaline staircases in the deep layers (Zodiatis and Gasparini, 1996), subject to internal waves which modify the vertical fluxes. Two $\theta$ time series are shown in Fig. 10a, at 3880 m and at 2524 m depth. They have a similar variability of about 0.03 $^\circ$C with the deeper one being about 0.05 $^\circ$C cooler than the shallower one. The frequent sudden $\theta$ jumps are a striking feature, that might be indicative of internal waves passing by and displacing the interfaces of the thermohaline staircases, that are typical for the deep central Tyrrenian (stairs of 20–54 m thickness, separated by thinner interfaces of about 2–27 m, as reported by Zodiatis and Gasparini, 1996). The time series is too short yet for any further analysis of long-term processes.

Historical observations show that the Corsica Channel (CC) is crossed by a two-layer shear flow with an upper layer of AW and a lower layer of LIW (Astraldi et al., 1987). Long-term velocity measurements (Astraldi et al., 1999) show that there is a northward flow with clear seasonal variability. The highest velocity occurs in winter, when the flow crossing the channel is about 60% of the annual transport. Seasonal variability in the channel seems to be mainly associated with steric sea level differences between the two subbasins. According to Astraldi and Gasparini (1990) a link can be established between the winter increase of the current in the CC and the cooling of the Ligurian subbasin induced by episodes of significant heat loss. The exchanges through the CC are being monitored without interruptions since July 1985, well before the start of the
HC network. The $\theta$ time series at 400 m depth (Fig. 10c) is able to capture the hydrological variability of the LIW layer. The highest $\theta$ of the whole record were recorded in early 2005 (more than 13.9 °C) and then again in February 2010: compared to the mid 1980s, when the average was 13.55 °C, up to 2005 there has been a huge increase of ~0.017 °C yr$^{-1}$. After winter 2004/2005 the $\theta$ at 400 m dropped suddenly to the lowest value of the whole record, reaching <13.35 °C in spring 2006, and recovered shortly after again to 13.6 °C. It is interesting to note that within one year (early 2005–early 2006) the highest and the lowest values of the 1985–2010 time series were observed. Part of the variability in the channel may be explained by the seasonal cycle, with the drops in $\theta$ (and $S$, not shown) being caused by the intrusion of intermediate water coming from the north. Air-sea fluxes differences and steric differences between the two basins, also related to DWF events in the Ligurian subbasin and in the north-western Mediterranean, are possible candidates to explain the variability of the 25-yr $\theta$ time series. In particular, the oscillating behaviour of the final part of the series might be connected to the fact that the north-western Mediterranean seems to have become a quite active DWF site recently, i.e. since 2005 (CIESM, 2009).

The Ligurian subbasin comprises the area east of the Gulf of Lion and north of the island of Corsica, characterized by a basin-scale cyclonic circulation (Crépon et al., 1982) with strong currents around its edge, the Northern Current flowing close to the Italian and French coasts and the western Corsica Current (Astraldi and Gasparini, 1992), flowing west of Corsica. This cyclonic gyre has been attributed to geostrophic adjustment to winter deep-water formation (Crépon et al., 1989) and the influence of cyclonic wind stress curl (Herbaut et al., 1997). The DYFAMED site is located in the central Ligurian, where the water depth is 2350 m. Thanks to monthly CTD monitoring programme at this site, a steady increase of $\theta$ and $S$ (0.005 °C yr$^{-1}$, 0.0022 yr$^{-1}$) has been detected in the deep waters during 1995–2005 (Marty and Chiaverini, 2010). In February 2006 an abrupt increase in $\theta$ (+0.1 °C) and $S$ (+0.03) was measured at 2000 m depth as the result of successive intense DWF events during the three preceding years and as a signal of EMT event (Schroeder et al., 2008). Since August 2009, and in
the framework of HC, the WMDW (at 2000 m) and the LIW (at 700 m) are continuously monitored (Fig. 10b). Currently, the $\theta$ at 2000 m depth is decreasing slowly down to 12.90°C. At 700 m depth the $\theta$ shows a strong seasonal and interannual variability (between 12.95°C and 13.35°C). The $\theta$ minimum has been observed during the full water column mixing (winter 2005–2006, as reported in Schroeder et al., 2010) and presently $\theta$ is reaching 13.2°C (Fig. 10b).

3.5 The Southern Adriatic

Like the northern WMED, also the Adriatic is an area of DWF (Artegiani et al., 1997) with a negative heat budget, as a result of the strong heat loss due to the outbreaks of cold continental air from the Balkan Peninsula (Bora Wind) over the area, which implies an outflow of cold and dense water at the bottom through the Otranto Channel. In particular, the Adriatic is a source of the EMDW, which is formed under favourable oceanographic and climatic conditions (Ovchinnikov et al., 1985). Two types of DWF occur during winter within the Adriatic: open ocean convection forming the major portion of the AdDW inside the Southern Adriatic Pit, and the dense shelf water cascading process taking place on the continental shelf of the North and Middle Adriatic, forming the Northern Adriatic Dense Water (NAdDW). The latter flows southward and sinks to the bottom of the Southern Adriatic Pit (Ovchinnikov et al., 1985; Bignami et al., 1990; Malanotte-Rizzoli, 1991), filling its deepest part and displacing the resident warmer and less dense AdDW at shallower levels (800–1000 m). This water flows into the Ionian along the bottom of the Otranto Channel, more or less adjacent to the western shelf slope.

Since the late 1980s, the Southern Adriatic Pit has been monitored almost every year because of its importance in driving the EMED deep circulation convection cell. The monitoring site E2M3A (part of EuroSITES and OceanSITES networks) is located in the pit (Fig. 11) in the centre of a quasi-permanent cyclonic gyre (Gačić et al., 2001, 2002). It is an area where vertical mass transfer (upwelling, winter convection) has a rather prominent role in distributing physical and chemical water properties and
controlling the primary production. The recorded time-series can be used for studies of long-term changes of the Adriatic response to local climatic forcing and the volume of the dense water formed as a function of the winter heat losses (Cardin and Gačić, 2003). The amount of the dense water formed also determines the water exchange between the Adriatic and the rest of the EMED (Manca et al., 2002; Cardin and Gačić, 2008). The first attempt to deploy a mooring in the area dates back to 2003 when only 9 months of data were recorded. The present mooring has been deployed in November 2006 (Table 2) and is equipped also with instruments monitoring the thermohaline characteristics of the intermediate and deep layer. Figure 12 shows the θ time series at 5 different depths. At 1172 m a constant increase of θ may be observed between November 2006 and September 2010 (linear trend of ∼0.05°C yr⁻¹, i.e. from 12.82°C to 13.01°C). Also salinity underwent a similar positive trend in the bottom layer (linear trend of ∼0.004 yr⁻¹, not shown). Even if it is a quite short time series yet, which is not representative of longer term changes, it is interesting to note that the increasing trend seems to be much higher than in any other location. It is likely that this bottom trends are a consequence of the interaction with dense waters coming from the northern Adriatic. Indeed, a general increase in the salt content observed in the South Adriatic until 2007 (Cardin et al., 2011) and the lack of freshwater contribution (of the Po River) may have strengthened the salinity increase in the dense waters produced on the northern shelf during winters. Time series at different depths (Fig. 12) show the absence of convective mixing processes during winter 2006–2007, while they are well evident during winter 2007–2008, when they reached a maximum depth of about 700–800 m in February 2008 (Cardin et al., 2011).

3.6 The Cretan Straits

The Cretan Arc region separates the southern Aegean subbasin from the rest of the EMED and the water exchanges between them occur through a series of straits along the Cretan Arc, the so-called Cretan Straits. The Antikythira Strait at the western part of the Cretan Arc (Fig. 13), with a sill depth of ∼870 m is one of the two straits through
which deep water exchanges can occur (Astraldi et al., 1999; Kontoyiannis et al., 2005). The exchanges through the Cretan Straits are of considerable importance because they are a substantial part of the EMED thermohaline circulation, particularly in relation to the EMT (Theocharis et al., 1992, 1999; Roether et al., 1996). Until the mid-to-late 1980s, waters of Aegean (Cretan) origin were usually observed south of the Cretan Arc, between LIW and EMDW. However, at the end of the ‘80s the water exchanges through the Cretan Straits were drastically modified as a result of substantial changes in the basin’s hydrology, inducing a massive outflow of Cretan Deep Water (CDW) that filled the deep and bottom layers of the EMED. These changes have significantly affected the deep thermohaline cell of the EMED, and it has been shown that their effects have been observed spreading to the Otranto (Astraldi et al., 1999; Klein et al., 1999) and the Sicily channels (Gasparini et al., 2005), at the western boundaries of the EMED. The \( \theta \) time series at 850 m within the channel where the CDW outflows (Fig. 14) shows a notable variability, including episodic events that last a few days and occur mostly during 2007, 2008 and 2009. The values of \( \theta \) range between 13.60 and 14.64 °C. The \( S \) variability (not shown) is directly related to the \( \theta \) so that \( \theta \) highs/lows correlate with \( S \) highs/lows. In the summer period, when the warm and salty CDW is reduced, it is very likely that colder and less saline characteristics prevail due to the influence of the colder/fresher overlying Transitional Mediterranean Water (TMW), which occasionally is found in the Cretan Strait area around \( \sim 600–700 \) m, just above the CDW (Kontoyiannis et al., 2005). The potential density is mainly driven by salinity and varies mostly between 29.19 and 29.20. Higher potential densities occur in winter 2008–2009 with episodic peaks that reach 29.21. In summer 2010, the variability of \( \theta \) (and \( S \), not shown) is substantially reduced in summer 2010 (after the 1-yr data gap), denoting a more persistent presence of TMW. In mid-November 2010 a sharp \( \theta \) and \( S \) increase (0.75 °C in 5 days) with potential densities near 29.21 denotes the reappearance of CDW in the Strait.
4 Discussion

The various time series described in the previous section show some common features that deserve to be discussed. We will mainly focus on the north-western Mediterranean, the Strait of Gibraltar, the Sardinia Channel and the intermediate water characteristics at the Sicily Channel, the Corsica Channel and the DYFAMED station, in order to answer a number of questions and to make an attempt to explain the observed variability.

As pointed out previously, the HC time series demonstrated their relevance to accurately detect effects of the DWF processes and to follow a signal from DWF areas up to the straits and passages between basins, thus giving insights into the functioning of the sea, not only of specific processes. This is particularly evident in the records of the four HC sites in the north-western Mediterranean (Figs. 5 and 6), showing the succession of dense shelf water cascading and open sea convection in early 2005 and 2006. The sudden variations described above precede abrupt $\theta$ and $S$ increases observed by other authors in the deep WMED in spring 2005 (López-Jurado et al., 2005; Schroeder et al., 2006, 2008), which were explained as a consequence of anomalous WMDW formed during exceptional winters. The effects of the 2005 and 2006 DWF episodes have been extensively documented in the recent literature, using data collected during several basin-wide cruises (Schroeder et al., 2008). Repeated CTD transects since 2005 evidenced a rapid filling of the western basin with newly formed warmer and saltier deep waters, inducing dramatic changes of the hydrological structure and considerable renewal of previous waters (CIESM, 2009). The time series collected in the north-western Mediterranean have allowed documenting the sequence of changes experienced by the WMDW during the major 2005 and 2006 cascading events. In particular the mooring in the Catalan slope (CS in Fig. 5) recorded major features related to those DWF events (Fig. 6b): it captured the arrival of open-sea convection waters (positive jumps of $\theta$ and $S$ in late January 2005), followed by the arrival of dense shelf waters (drop in $\theta$ and $S$ in early March), and a stabilized (but warmer and saltier) situation after April 2005. A similar succession of events was recorded one year later.
Evidences of dense water cascading are present every year at CCC (Fig. 6b), although dense shelf waters reach the basin occasionally. DWF seems to have been occurring in early 2009 and 2010 (CIESM, 2009), as shown also by the hydrological and dynamical features recorded at the deep monitoring sites, GoL and ANTARES in Fig. 5 (at GoL also in 2011, Fig. 6c), with an abrupt positive jump in $\theta$ and $S$ in mid-February (signature of only offshore convection, no dense water cascading). The time series indicate a clear difference between winters characterized by minor or major cascading events.

The marked increases of $\theta$ (Fig. 8a) and $S$ (not shown) in the Sardinian Channel (SC in Fig. 7) since September 2005 are likely to contain the remote signal of what happened in the northern WMED (i.e. the formation of an anomalously warm and salty new WMDW), as shown also by repeated CTD casts in this area, with the warm and salty deep water formed during 2005 and 2006 slowly passing through the channel to reach the Tyrrhenian subbasin (CIESM, 2009). As stated in Sect. 3.3, it has been shown that records with such high temporal resolution are able to evidence the pulsating flow at the mooring depth of TDW (warmer and saltier), which is usually located above the colder and fresher WMDW layer. The pulsating nature of these features could be further investigated, using statistical tools such as wavelets, to allow the temporal windowing of specific frequencies of variability. Without the HC strategy of long-term, high resolution records such an analysis would be unfeasible.

García-Lafuente et al. (2007) found a signature of the DWF events in the MOW (Fig. 15). At Gibraltar (CAS and ES in Fig. 2), the significant $\theta$ drop observed in March 2005 and March 2006 in the outflowing water might be directly related to a propagating baroclinic signal triggered by the DWF events recorded some weeks earlier by the HC mooring in the north-western Mediterranean (CIESM, 2009). The new WMDW formed there could have replenished the WMDW reservoir and raised its interface with the water above, making cooler water available for the outflow (García-Lafuente et al., 2007, 2009). Figure 15 shows the comparison between the two time series (at Gibraltar and the Catalan Slope), where the remarkable temporal match between the springtime
cooling signals in both series seems to confirm this hypothesis. According to these authors, even if this $\theta$ drop happens almost every year, in 2005 and in 2006 unusually sharp decreases were recorded. However, because these $\theta$ drops occur nearly one month apart during these two years, and because they seemingly occur between two spring-tide periods, they could also be due to tidal mixing processes, hence just evidencing a local wintertime event independent from DWF (Millot, 2012). Finally, it is worth to stress that the role of the AW inflow variability at Gibraltar (MS in Fig. 2, time series in Fig. 3) should be definitely considered in the study of DWF processes in Mediterranean Sea and in the characteristics of the resulting waters, especially with regards to salinity variations (Millot, 2007, 2008). As a matter of fact decadal trends of $\theta$ in some deep MWs formed may be partially a consequence of $S$ trends in the AW entering the sea, as less wintertime heat loss is required to make a saltier surface water sink to greater depths and be transformed into intermediate and deep MWs (Millot, 2007; Schroeder et al., 2008).

The monitoring of the hydrological properties in the Sicily Channel allowed Gasparini et al. (2005) to observe the evolution of water masses under the influence of the EMT. According to these authors, the signal of the climatic transient reached the WMED in 1992, modifying the properties of the intermediate and deep layer. According to Schroeder et al. (2006) the recent sudden change in the deep layer structure, heat and salt contents in the WMED may be related not only to severe winters but also to a decadal salt and heat accumulation at intermediate levels, induced by the arrival of the EMT signal first in the Tyrrhenian and then in the Ligurian. Comparing the time series at 400 m along the path of the LIW (coming from the EMED, it crosses the Sicily Channel, then the Tyrrhenian, from where it flows partially through the Corsica Channel towards the Ligurian subbasin), a gradual decrease of $\theta$ (Fig. 16) and $S$ (not shown) may be observed from the Sicily Channel to the Corsica Channel and to the Ligurian subbasin, due to its gradual mixing with the surface and deep waters. The DYFAMED data are collected (CTD casts) on a monthly basis in the Ligurian subbasin since 1991 (Fig. 16 shows the 400 m time series). The most striking feature is that there is an interannual
oscillation in all three time series, with increasing $\theta$ until 2004, followed by a sharp decrease until spring 2006 and again a warming period. As in the Corsica Channel time series, also at the DYFAMED station within one year the time series reached both its absolute maximum and its absolute minimum, suggesting dramatic changes occurring over recent years. The maximum heat import from the EMED was recorded in the Sicily Channel in April 2003 (see also the CTD time series for reference in Schroeder et al., 2006, their Fig. 3) while in the Corsica Channel the highest $\theta$ was reached in November 2004 and in the Ligurian subbasin in March 2004. Thus the sudden $\theta$ decrease seems to have started earlier in the Ligurian subbasin than in the Corsica Channel (even if the gap of the Corsica Channel series just during this time does not allow a high precision in the time lag estimate). Herrmann et al. (2010) suggested that the absence of DWF events during the milder 1990s favoured the progressive accumulation of heat and salt in the intermediate layer, which then have been transferred to the new deep waters formed in early 2005 (Schroeder et al., 2010; Zunino et al., 2012). What is well evident is that also the heat imported from the EMED through the Sicily Channel decreased during the same period. Finally, the return of a warming period in the intermediate layer started in May 2006 in the Corsica Channel and one month later at the DYFAMED station (June 2006). A similar pattern, but less exaggerated, appears in the Corsica Channel between 1995 and 1999, which was also a year when a deep layer of anomalous WMDW with slightly higher $\theta$ and $S$ has formed (CIESM, 2009), although much less important than in the case of 2005.

The experience of the propagation of the EMT from the EMED demonstrates that straits and channels are suitable points to provide early warning of anomaly propagation. In the case of a fixed-depth monitoring it remains unclear whether the low frequency cycles (e.g. the one shown for the Sicily Channel in Fig. 8b) are associated to actual water mass changes, to variations of the depth of the water masses’ cores or to the vertical displacement of the interface between different water masses. Nevertheless repeat CTD data collected twice per year in the surroundings of the mooring’s site confirm this pattern, when looking at the salinity maximum (the LIW core) properties
(Schroeder et al., 2006). Important questions arising from these observations regards the origin of such oscillation in the LIW properties coming from the EMED interior, whether there is still any connection with the EMT, or with the production of warm and salty Cretan Intermediate Water, or if the LIW formed in the Levantine subbasin shows similar trends and why.

5 Concluding remarks

The HC monitoring strategy is based on (i) permanency, to address the long-term variability, key sites to address specific processes, (ii) simplicity of logistics with a local management and short shiptime requirement for maintenance, and (iii) simple instrumentation (short moorings generally a few meters above the seafloor, supporting self contained, autonomous, high-quality CTDs). Moorings are located in key places to track the signals indicative of specific processes driving the Mediterranean functioning, especially in straits and channels and in regions where DWF occurs, either open-sea convection or shelf cascading. While it is likely that HC will remain a long-lasting challenge, the precise monitoring of the $\theta$ and $S$ is already providing reference data. The first results show that the HC strategy is a valid one and that it is achieving the objectives for which it was conceived. The huge dataset will be even more exploitable in the years to come, with longer time series, both considering single time series but mainly combining data coming from different locations.

Future commitments to improve the HC network comprise the inclusion of moorings in other key regions which are not currently monitored. Missing sites that deserve to be monitored to achieve the objectives of the HC Programme could be for instance: (i) the AW flow between WMED and EMED, in the middle of the Sicily Channel, close to Malta (such a mooring site is already planned by the “Physical Oceanography Unit – University of Malta”), (ii) other Cretan straits as well as the Bosphorus, (iii) other known or potential DWF sites, such as the Rhodes Gyre (Malanotte-Rizzioli et al., 1996), the northern Adriatic (Orlić et al., 1992), the Gulf of Gabes and the Tripoli canyon (Gasparini
et al., 2008; CIESM, 2009), (iv) the alongslope southern sector of the Mediterranean, with a higher involvement of partners from the southern-shore countries. Existing sensors on long-term observatories should be carefully calibrated and in general there is strong need of increased uniformity within HC, with common sampling strategies (sampling frequency, processing, calibration frequency, archiving) and the set-up of an HC database and meta-database. The common access to all time-series would support efforts for linking the signals observed in both basins and at their connecting points, in order to get a comprehensive picture of the functioning of the Mediterranean. Finally, the addition of supplementary sensors to the CTDs (pressure systematically, oxygen and turbidity recommended) would be a major improvement of the network, as well as the complementary use of moored vertical CTD profilers.

Systematic assessments of hydrological variability in relation to climate and to changes in biogeochemical processes and biodiversity are crucial to understand the mode of response/functioning of the marine ecosystem (e.g. Company et al., 2008). Despite their scientific value, long-term records are widely lacking in the Mediterranean but also at the global scale. Send et al. (2010) delineated the main reasons for them being so rare. First, they seem to be recognized as valuable when they are needed, which means that in a non-prospective view they are given lower priorities (in terms of funding), with respect to new technologies or innovative working hypotheses. Second, maintaining long-term observatories, especially in the deep sea, is a very expensive (and risky) task. Finally, the promotion of a shared use of expensive observatories has not effectively been undertaken.

The main advantage of coordinating single observation sites under the same umbrella of HC is the creation of a user community, an essential step to assure a long-term maintenance of those sites. This is why the HC Programme should enhance interactions among operators at regular meetings, in order to allow for exchange of expertise and ideas, leading to the development of common approaches and technologies, mutual support and sharing of efforts (Send et al., 2010). Further, data from a network of observational sites, for which uniformity and continuity is assured, rather than from a
sum of sites, are much more useful for other communities, such as modelers or remote sensing users, for the implementation of validation procedures (Send et al., 2010).

The Mediterranean, being a direct and continuous source of warm and salty intermediate water, plays a substantial role in the heat content and water formation processes in the northern Atlantic and thus in the density driven circulation of the World's Oceans (Candela, 2001). Hence, understanding the interannual variability of the Mediterranean Sea itself, has a more global importance than previously thought (Millot, 2007).
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Table 1. List of acronyms used in the paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AdDW</td>
<td>Adriatic Deep Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTARES</td>
<td>Astronomy with a Neutrino Telescope and Abyss environmental RESearch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW</td>
<td>Atlantic Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS</td>
<td>Camarinal Sill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>Corsica Channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCC</td>
<td>Cap de Creus Canyon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDW</td>
<td>Cretan Deep Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Catalan slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Conductivity-Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>Conductivity-Temperature-Depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWF</td>
<td>Dense Water Formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DYFAMED</td>
<td>Dynamique des Flux Atmosphériques en Méditerranée</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMDW</td>
<td>Eastern Mediterranean Deep Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMED</td>
<td>Eastern Mediterranean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMT</td>
<td>Eastern Mediterranean Transient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOW</td>
<td>Eastern Mediterranean Overflow Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>Espartel Sill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOL</td>
<td>Gulf of Lion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>HYDROCHANGES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JGOFS</td>
<td>Joint Global Ocean Flux Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIW</td>
<td>Levantine Intermediate Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBT</td>
<td>Mechanical Bathythermograph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDAR/MEDATLAS</td>
<td>Mediterranean Data Archaeology and Rescue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MedARGO</td>
<td>Mediterranean ARGO program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOW</td>
<td>Mediterranean Outflow Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Moroccan Shelf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Mediterranean Waters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NACW</td>
<td>North Atlantic Central Waters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAdDW</td>
<td>North Adriatic Dense Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Salinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBE</td>
<td>Sea-Bird Electronics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Sardinia Channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDW</td>
<td>Tyrrhenian Deep Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMW</td>
<td>Transitional Mediterranean Waters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMED</td>
<td>Western Mediterranean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBT</td>
<td>Expendable Bathythermograph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$</td>
<td>Potential Temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2a. Contributing institutes and details of the monitored sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Institute/Group (country)/Point of contact</th>
<th>Site (lon, lat)</th>
<th>Acronym used in figures</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Bottom depth/mooring length</th>
<th>Installed instruments (depth) — measured parameters (* indicates which data are plotted in figures)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SHOMAR &amp; CNRS/COM (Morocco, France)/Bouchta El Moumni, Lahoucine Bengara, Gilles Rougier, Isabelle Taupier-Letage</td>
<td>Camarinal Sill – Gibraltar, (35°55.2′ N, 5°44.9′ W) CAS</td>
<td>January 2003–present</td>
<td>270 m/10 m</td>
<td>*SBE37 (270 m) – temperature, conductivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SHOMAR &amp; CNRS/COM (Morocco, France)/Bouchta El Moumni, Lahoucine Bengara, Gilles Rougier, Isabelle Taupier-Letage</td>
<td>Moroccan shelf – Gibraltar (35°52.9′ N, 5°43.6′ W) MS</td>
<td>January 2003–October 2008</td>
<td>80 m/10 m</td>
<td>*SBE37 (80 m) – temperature, conductivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>University of Malaga, UMA (Spain)/Jesus García-Lafuente</td>
<td>Espartel Sill – Gibraltar (35°51.72′ N, 5°58.59′ W) ES</td>
<td>October 2004–March 2011</td>
<td>360 m/15 m</td>
<td>ADCP RDI LR (345 m) – current profile NORTEK Aquadopp (352 m) – current *SBE37 (356 m) – conductivity, temperature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CNRS/COM (France)/Gilles Rougier, Isabelle Taupier-Letage</td>
<td>Gulf of Lion (41°59.0′ N, 04°55′ E) GoL</td>
<td>October 2006–present</td>
<td>2400 m/10 m</td>
<td>*SBE37 (2400 m) – temperature, conductivity MORS currentmeter (2400 m) – current</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ANTARES Group (France)/Christian Tamburini, Dominique Levefre</td>
<td>Offshore Toulon (42°45′ N, 6°10′ E) ANTARES</td>
<td>December 2007–present</td>
<td>2500 m/350 m</td>
<td>*SBE37 (2200 m) – temperature, conductivity SBE37 (2290 m) – temperature, conductivity RCM8 (2300 m) – current, temperature, conductivity ADCP (2400 m) – current profile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ICM-CSIC (Spain)/Jordi Font, Pere Puig, Jordi Salat</td>
<td>Catalan Slope (41°28.0′ N, 03°40.4′ E) CS</td>
<td>October 2003–present</td>
<td>1890 m/30 m</td>
<td>*SBE 37 (1875 m) – temperature, conductivity RCM8 (1878 m) – current, temperature, conductivity RCM11 (1881 m) – current, temperature, conductivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Institute/Group (country)/Point of contact</td>
<td>Site (lon, lat) Acronym used in figures</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Bottom depth/mooring length</td>
<td>Installed instruments (depth) – measured parameters (* indicates which data are plotted in figures)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ICM-CSIC (Spain)/Pere Puig</td>
<td>Cap de Creus Canyon (42°23.4’ N, 3°19.3’ E) CCC</td>
<td>November 2003–present</td>
<td>315 m/15 m</td>
<td>RCM9 (310 m) – current, temperature, conductivity, turbidity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>INSTM&amp;CNRS/COM (Tunisia, France)/Cherif Sammari, Sana Ben Ismail</td>
<td>Sardinian Channel (38°20.047’ N, 09°19.959’ E) SC</td>
<td>July 2003–present</td>
<td>1900 m/10 m</td>
<td>SBE37 (1900 m) – temperature, conductivity, RCM8 (1900 m) – current, temperature, conductivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CNR-ISMAR (Italy)/Katrin Schroeder, Mireno Borghini</td>
<td>Sicily Channel (37°17.120’ N, 11°30.019’ E) C02</td>
<td>Since 1993</td>
<td>530 m/273 m</td>
<td>NORTEK Aquadopp (400 m) – current, SBE37 (395 m) – temperature, conductivity, RCM7 (300 m) – current, temperature, conductivity, ADCP NORTEK Continental (250 m) – current profile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CNR-ISMAR (Italy)/Katrin Schroeder, Mireno Borghini</td>
<td>Sicily Channel (37°22.836’ N, 11°35.636’ E) C01</td>
<td>Since 1993</td>
<td>450 m/365 m</td>
<td>ADCP RDI WH (415 m) – current profile, SBE37 (387 m) – temperature, conductivity, SBE37 (82 m) – temperature, conductivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CNR-ISMAR (Italy)/Katrin Schroeder, Mireno Borghini</td>
<td>Corsica Channel (43°02.021’ N, 9°41.154’ E) CC</td>
<td>Since 1985</td>
<td>440 m/370 m</td>
<td>RCM7 (410 m) – current, temperature, conductivity, SBE37 (400 m) – temperature, conductivity, RCM9 (320 m) – current, temperature, RCM9 (70 m) – current, temperature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2c. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Institute/Group (country)/Point of contact</th>
<th>Site (lon, lat)</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Bottom depth/mooring length</th>
<th>Installed instruments (depth) – measured parameters (* indicates which data are plotted in figures)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 12  | OGS (Italy)/Vanessa Cardin               | South Adriatic (41°50' N, 17°45' E) | November 2006–present | 1204 m/840 m | ADCP RDI BB (before: 265 m/after: 360 m) – current profile  
* SBE19 (364 m) – temperature, conductivity, turbidity, oxygen  
* SBE37 (564 m) – temperature, conductivity  
* SBE19 (764 m) – temperature, conductivity, turbidity, oxygen  
* SBE37 (1014 m) – temperature, conductivity  
* SBE37 (1172 m) – temperature, conductivity  
RCM11 (1182 m) – current, temperature, turbidity |
| 13  | HCMR (Greece)/Harilaos Kontoyiannis      | Antikythera Strait (35°36.6' N, 23°31.8' E) | Nov 2007–May 2009 & Jun 2010–Nov 2010 | 870 m/15 m | SBE37 (850 m) (temperature, conductivity, pressure) |
| 14  | DiSAm, CNR-ISMAR, ENEA-UTMAR, CONISMA (Italy)/Katrin Schroeder, Giorgio Budillon | Central Tyrrhenian (39.4994° N, 13.4765° E) TYR | August 2010–present | 3410 m/1650 m | * SBE37 (3380 m) – temperature, conductivity  
RCM11 (3370 m) – current, temperature  
* SBE39 (2524 m) – temperature  
RCM7 (1774 m) – current, temperature, conductivity |
| 15  | Observatoire Oceanologique de Villefranche-sur-mer (France)/Laurent Coppola | Ligurian subbasin (43.4185° N, 7.903° E) DYF | June 2009–present | 2350 m/2200 m | SBE37 (200 m) – temperature, conductivity  
* SBE37 (700 m) – temperature, conductivity  
SBE37 (1000 m) – temperature, conductivity  
* SBE37 (2000 m) – temperature, conductivity  
RBR (170 m) – temperature  
RBR (350 m) – temperature  
RBR (500 m) – temperature  
Aquadopp (200 m) – current, temperature  
Aquadopp (1000 m) – current, temperature |
Table 2d. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Institute/Group (country)/Point of contact</th>
<th>Site (lon, lat) Acronym used in figures</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Bottom depth/mooring length</th>
<th>Installed instruments (depth) – measured parameters (* indicates which data are plotted in figures)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>COM/LOB (France)/Gilles Rougier, Isabelle Taupier-Letage</td>
<td>South-western Levantine (32.2967° N, 25.6018° E)</td>
<td>April 2006–March 2007</td>
<td>3226 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>INSTM&amp;CNRS/COM (Tunisia, France)</td>
<td>Central Sicily Channel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>IEO (Spain)</td>
<td>Ibiza Channel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Present status of the HYDROCHANGES network. The numbers refer to details of each site, which are listed in Table 2: involved institutes, position, time frame, instruments and measured parameters for each depth.
Fig. 2. Location of the three monitoring sites at Gibraltar (ES = Espar tel sill; CAS = Camarinal sill; MS = Moroccan shelf).
Fig. 3. $S$ time series of the AW (at 80 m) on the MS (west of Gibraltar). The black dots represent the lowest $S$-value during each semi-diurnal (12 h) cycle (black line: trend 2003–2007).
Fig. 4. $\theta$ time series of the MW outflow at CAS (grey dots, at 270 m) and at ES (black dots, at 356 m).
Fig. 5. Location of the four monitoring sites in the north-western Mediterranean (CCC = Cap de Creus Canyon; CS = Catalan slope; GoL = Gulf of Lion, at the centre of the offshore DWF area; ANTARES = ANTARES mooring).
Fig. 6. $\theta$ times series in (a) CCC (at 315 m), (b) CS (at 1875 m), (c) GoL (at 2400 m) and (d) ANTARES (at 2200 m). Note that scales are different.
Fig. 7. Location of the three monitoring sites in the central Mediterranean (SC = Sardinia Channel; C01 = mooring #1 in the Sicily Channel; C02 = mooring #2 in the Sicily Channel).
Fig. 8. (a) $\theta$ time series at SC (at 1900 m), (b) $\theta$ times series in at C01 (at 387 m).
Fig. 9. Location of the monitoring sites in the Tyrrhenian-Ligurian subbasins.
Fig. 10. $\theta$ time series in (a) TYR (black at 2524 m, grey at 3380 m), (b) DYF (black at 2000 m, grey at 700 m) and (c) CC (at 400 m), where the first part of the record has been done with an Aanderaa currentmeter (precision of 0.05 °C), while since late 2005 it has been replaced by a more precise SBE37 (0.001 °C).
Fig. 11. Location of the monitoring site in the South Adriatic.
Fig. 12. $\theta$ time series in the South Adriatic at different depths (see legend). For the 1190 m data also the linear trend is also shown.
Fig. 13. Location of the monitoring site in the Cretan Strait.
Fig. 14. $\theta$ time series in the Cretan Strait (at 850 m).
Fig. 15. Comparisons between $\theta$ time series at Camarinal sill, Gibraltar (in grey), and on the Catalan Slope (in black).
Fig. 16. Comparison between $\theta$ time series at 400 m depth at the Sicily Channel (above), the Corsica Channel (middle), and at DYFAMED (below). All values refer to 400 m depth.