Mediterranean subsurface circulation estimated from Argo data in 2003–2009
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Abstract

Data from 38 Argo profiling floats are used to describe the subsurface Mediterranean currents for the period 2003–2009. These floats were programmed to execute 5-day cycles, to drift at a neutral parking depth of 350 m and measure temperature and salinity profiles from either 700 or 2000 m up to the surface. At the end of each cycle the floats remained at the sea surface for about 6 h, enough time to be localised and transmit the data to the Argos satellite system. The Argos positions were used to determine the float surface and subsurface displacements. At the surface, the float motion was approximated by a linear displacement and inertial motion. Subsurface velocities estimates were used to investigate the Mediterranean circulation at 350 m, to compute the pseudo-Eulerian statistics and to study the influence of bathymetry on the subsurface currents. Maximum speeds, as large as 33 cm/s, were found northeast of the Balearic Islands (Western basin) and in the Ierapetra eddy (Eastern basin). Typical speeds in the main along-slope currents (Liguro-Provençal-Catalan, Algerian and Libyo-Egyptian Currents) were ~20 cm/s. In the best sampled regions, the pseudo-Eulerian statistics show typical subsurface circulation pathways which can be related to the motion of Levantine Intermediate Water. In general our results agree with the qualitative subsurface circulation schemes proposed in the literature, except in the southern Ionian where we found westward-flowing subsurface currents. Fluctuating currents appeared to be usually larger than the mean flow. Subsurface currents were found to be essentially parallel to the isobaths over most of the areas characterized by strong bathymetry gradients, in particular, in the vicinity of the continental slopes.
1 Introduction

The Mediterranean Sea, depicted in Fig. 1a, is a semi-enclosed basin connected to the Atlantic Ocean by the narrow Strait of Gibraltar and to the Black Sea by the Dardanelles-Marmara Sea-Bosphorus system. The whole Mediterranean is a concentration basin (evaporation exceeds precipitation and runoff) divided in two sub-basins (Western and Eastern Mediterranean) by the shallow (~400 m) sill of the Sicily Channel. The water deficit is supplied by the inflow of the Atlantic Water (AW), that flows from the Strait of Gibraltar eastward along the North African coast and enters in the Eastern basin through the Sicily Channel (Millot, 1999; Lascaratos et al., 1999) (not shown). The net result of the air-sea interactions in the entire Mediterranean is an outflow of salty water through the Strait of Gibraltar; the main water mass that constitute this salty and relatively warm outflow is the Levantine Intermediate Water (LIW). The LIW, formed in the eastern Levantine sub-basin, sinks to a depth between 200 and 500 m and spreads out across the entire Mediterranean basin at this intermediate depth (Fig. 1b). The LIW proceeds essentially westward along several pathways and eventually outflows in the Atlantic Sea and influences the formation of North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) (Reid, 1994; Lozier et al., 1995; Potter and Lozier, 2004). Hence, the salty LIW is a crucial component of the Mediterranean thermohaline “conveyor belt” circulation (Poulain et al., 2007) and it can influence the NADW formation in the global thermohaline cell.

Traditional knowledge on the nature and motion of the intermediate water masses in the Mediterranean Sea is almost entirely based on hydrographic properties, with only sporadic direct current measurements mainly made with moorings in the major channels (Corsica, Sicily, Otranto) and in the Strait of Gibraltar. Since the turn of the century profiling floats have provided data to study the subsurface water mass characteristics (Notarstefano and Poulain, 2009) and currents more or less continuously throughout the Mediterranean Sea. We hereafter present a novel quantitative description of the subsurface currents related to the LIW in most areas of the Mediterranean Sea based
Autonomous profiling floats were operated in the Mediterranean starting in 2000 (Poulain et al., 2007) and contributed to the global Argo program. In 2003, as part of the MFSTEP project, a significant number of floats were deployed to provide temperature and salinity data in real time to operational prediction models (http://www.bo.ingv.it/mfstep). These floats, also referred to as MedArgo floats, were programmed to execute 5-day cycles and to drift at a neutral parking depth of 350 m. This depth was chosen because it corresponds approximately to the depth of the LIW core in most of the basin. More specifically a recent study on Argo float salinity data recognizes the LIW core close to the surface in the Levantine basin, at 300–350 m in the centre of Mediterranean Sea and deeper than 400 m in the Liguro-Provençal basin (Notarstefano and Poulain, 2009). Hence, drifts at 350 m allow to study the LIW pathways from its origin in the northern Levantine sub-basin to its outflow through the Strait of Gibraltar (Poulain et al., 2007).

In this paper, we describe quantitatively the Mediterranean Sea circulation at the float parking depth and refer to 350 m currents as subsurface currents. Float surface positions are used to determine surface and sub-surface displacements. The estimation of surface displacement requires the determination of the exact surfacing and diving times and the extrapolation in time of the satellite-derived positions, using a simple model based on linear displacement and inertial motion. The determination of subsurface displacement includes the use of the average vertical speed of the float and an approximation of current shear in the upper water column, to evaluate the times and positions of the start and end of the subsurface drift. From these, the subsurface velocities at the 350 m parking depth are estimated and finally used to compute pseudo-Eulerian circulation statistics and to produce maps of mean subsurface circulation and eddy variability in the Mediterranean Sea.

The paper is organised as follows. Background on the Mediterranean subsurface circulation is given in Sect. 2. The float database and the methodology used to process the data are described in Sect. 3. Fast currents, pseudo-Eulerian maps of mean flow,
eddy variability, energy levels and the bathymetry-controlled currents are presented and discussed in Sect. 4. The conclusions are summarized in Sect. 5.

2 Background on the Mediterranean subsurface circulation

The most exhaustive descriptions of the intermediate circulation in the Western Mediterranean Sea came in the framework of various international projects (La Violette, 1990; Millot, 1995, 1999; Fusco et al., 2003, Millot and Taupier-Letage, 2005), whereas for the Eastern Mediterranean they were described as part of the POEM and MFSPP projects (Malanotte-Rizzoli and Hetch, 1988; Malanotte-Rizzoli and Robinson, 1988; Robinson et al., 1991; Malanotte-Rizzoli et al., 1999; Robinson et al., 2001; Fusco et al., 2003). The Mediterranean (Fig. 1) is governed by a large scale anti-estuarine buoyancy-driven circulation (Myers and Haines, 2000): the salinity increase due to evaporation over the Mediterranean surface is compensated by an outflow of salty water in the Atlantic Ocean. Inflowing Atlantic Water (AW) flows across the Western and Eastern basins into the Levantine sub-basin. Cooling in winter causes convection to intermediate depths mainly in the Rhodes gyre forming the LIW (Ovchinnikov, 1984; Malanotte-Rizzoli and Robinson, 1988; Lascaratos, 1993; Lascaratos et al., 1993; Lascaratos et al., 1999; Nittis and Lascaratos, 1998; Malanotte-Rizzoli et al., 1999; Myers and Haines, 2000). This salty intermediate water returns to the west underneath the AW and becomes the main component of Mediterranean outflow to the Atlantic. From Rhodes (Fig. 1b), the LIW flows along the southern continental slope of the Cretan Arc islands to the Peloponnese, and can be found in the Ierapetra and Pelops wind-induced eddies. Part of the LIW, that continues circulating along the north-eastern slope, penetrates in the Southern Adriatic where it mixes with the AW and influences the deep water formation processes. The remainder bypasses the Southern Adriatic and continues along slope as far as the Sicily Channel, where most of it outflows into the Western Basin.
Within the Sicily Channel, the LIW flows along the Sicilian slope, skirts Sicily and enters in the Tyrrenhian, where it circulates roughly at 200–600 m. A vein flows out through the Corsica Channel (sill at ∼400 m) while the remainder continues and flows out through the Sardinia Channel. When this vein enters the Algerian sub-basin, part of it can be entrained offshore by Algerian eddies passing by and then be released in the central sub-basin. The remainder continues along the western Sardinia and Corsica slopes, joins with the vein issued from the Corsica Channel, and enters in the Ligurian and the Provençal basins. The still-recognisable LIW continues along the Spanish slope (Liguro-Provençal-Catalan Current) and most of it outflows through the Strait of Gibraltar (Millot and Taupier-Letage, 2005). The LIW plays an important role in the functioning of the Mediterranean Sea because it is the warmest and saltiest Mediterranean water formed with large amount, and because it mainly flows along the northern continental slopes of both basins, thus being involved in the offshore formation of all deep Mediterranean water (Millot and Taupier-Letage, 2005).

The southern continental slopes of Western and Eastern basins are characterised by narrow and unstable currents located respectively in the Algerian (Algerian Current) and Levantine (Libyo-Egyptian Current) sub-basins, that flow from west to east and lead to the generation of cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies (Mejdoub and Millot, 1995; Hamad et al., 2005; Taupier-Letage et al., 2007; Gerin et al., 2009).

During the last decades, direct measurements (moorings) of intermediate currents in some regions of the Mediterranean Sea were performed. The main results of these in situ observations, summarized below, provide however only a partial view of the subsurface currents, with main focus in channels and main currents. The intermediate current (300–400 m) in the northern Tyrrenhian Sea was investigated using three moorings, deployed between 1989 and 1990, that measured velocities values less then 1 cm/s (Artale et al., 1994). One year of current measurement in the Corsica Channel (between October 1986 and September 1987), obtained from three moorings deployed in the area north of Corsica and off the eastern Ligurian coast, produced respectively a mean velocity of 8.4, 5.6 and ∼10 cm/s at depth of 300 m (Astraldi et al., 1990).
array of nine moorings, deployed in the eastern Algerian Basin between July 1997 and July 1998, measured a mean current of 4.8 cm/s and a maximum current of 14.73 cm/s. Two years (1994–1995) of direct current measurements were collected by six moorings in the Otranto Channel; in the intermediate layer (290–330 m), speed showed the minimum values in the central region of the channel (between 0.3 and 0.8 cm/s), maximum value along the eastern boundary (4.4 cm/s) and a mean value of 1.3 cm/s at the western boundary (Kovačević et al., 1999). In the same period, moorings located in the southern Adriatic recorded mean velocities between 1.4 and 5 cm/s (Kovačević et al., 1999). In the Sicily Channel, over the western sill of the strait, the outflow of Eastern Mediterranean intermediate water was characterised by an intensity of 12.5 cm/s, whereas at the southern entrance of the Tyrrhenian Sea the intermediate current showed a speed of ∼8 cm/s (Astraldi et al., 2001). In summary, we can state that the subsurface speeds measured by moorings in the Mediterranean Sea vary essentially between 1 and 15 cm/s.

3 Data and methods

3.1 Float data

Two types of profiling floats were operated in Mediterranean: the Apex (manufactured by Webb Research Corporation, USA) and the Provor (produced by nke, France). All floats were equipped with Sea-Bird CTD sensors. Among all the floats deployed in the Mediterranean Sea, we have selected 38 instruments according to their cycle characteristics. They were deployed as part of the MFSTEP project in 2003 and are referred to as MedArgo floats. Each float descents from the surface to a programmed parking depth of 350 m, where it remains for about 4 days before reaching the profile depth, that is generally 700 m but extends to 2000 m every ten cycles. At the end of each cycle the float remains for about 6 h at the sea surface, where it is localised by, and transmit the data to, the Argos satellite system.
Argos is a global data location and collection system carried by the NOAA polar orbiting satellites; this system provides the float positions with an accuracy between 250 and 1500 m (see Appendix A for details). The data used in this work contain information on three dimensional movement of float: a set of coordinates of the float during its transmissions from the sea surface to the satellites and the pressure recorded during the parked phase of the cycle. These data are utilized to determine the float surface and sub-surface displacements and, consequently to estimate the parking depth velocities.

Figure 2 shows the surface and subsurface trajectories of the 38 selected floats (black line) filtered to remove cycles located over water depth less then 350 m, non-consecutive cycles and subsurface velocity larger then 50 cm/s. There are 15 Apex and 23 Provor floats with a total of 3315 cycles. Colored segments emphasize subsurface displacements obtained with the method explained hereafter; they are representative of 2877 floats cycles. The best sampled regions are located in the Western and Eastern basins, whereas the central regions of Mediterranean Sea (Adriatic, Sicily Channel) and the Aegean basin are less or not sampled.

### 3.2 Data processing

The schematic diagram in Fig. 3 shows in detail a float cycle and two subsequent float transmissions. The stars represent satellite received positions (fixes). The deep currents can be estimated by dividing the distance that floats move at the parking depth (displacement between A and B), by the duration of drift (time interval between DE – Descent End Time and DPS – Descent to Profile Start Time), which requires knowledge of the time and position of diving (DS – Descent Start Time; \(X^{DS}, Y^{DS}\)) and surfacing (AE – Ascent End Time; \(X^{AE}, Y^{AE}\)); this critical information is not directly measured by floats (Park et al., 2004; Park et al., 2005).

In first approximation, the sub-surface velocity \(V_{old}\) are estimated using the last position of cycle \(n-1\) and the first position of cycle \(n\) (grey stars in Fig. 3), according to Lebedev et al. (2007). The drawback of this method is that the unknown drift, while floats are at the surface (before the first and after the last fix), generates a significant
source of error in estimating the deep current, because currents are much stronger at and close to the surface than at the parking depth.

To improve the first approximation results, we estimated the best float surface displacements (second approximation) according to the method of Park et al. (2005) (see Appendix A). This involves the estimation of diving (DS; \((X_{DS}, Y_{DS})\)) and surfacing (AE; \((X_{AE}, Y_{AE})\)) times and positions.

The second approximation results are employed to determine the float subsurface displacements (third approximation), through the calculation of the extreme positions \((X_{DE}, Y_{DE})\) and \((X_{DPS}, Y_{DPS})\) of the sub-surface drift (A and B coordinates in Fig. 3), using the estimated arrival (DE) and departure (DPS) times at parking depth, and an approximation of the vertical current shear (see Appendix A). The arrival and departure times and positions are used to estimate the sub-surface currents \((V_{350})\).

The comparison between rough (1st approximation – \(V_{old}\)) and fine (3rd approximation – \(V_{350}\)) estimates of subsurface current near 350 m, suggest to select, for the pseudo-Eulerian calculation statistics, a subsurface current dataset \((V_{end}^{350})\) composed of all consecutive cycles with surface skill in excess of 0.8 (for definition of skill see Appendix A), and speed less than 50 cm/s. After this selection the number of velocity estimates \((V_{end}^{350})\) is 2877.

### 3.3 Pseudo-Eulerian statistics

Lagrangian velocity data \((V_{350}^{end})\), derived from floats displacements at 350 m, are used to estimate Mediterranean mean subsurface circulation field. The mean flow reconstruction is performed through the “pseudo-Eulerian” averaging, using about six years (October 2003–September 2009) of float subsurface velocities, spatially averaged in boxes of \(1^\circ \times 1^\circ\). Figure 4 shows the data density inside the boxes. The bins with high number (>30) of observations are located in some areas of the western region (Tyrrhenian Sea and Liguro–Provençal sub-basins), in the eastern region (Levantine Basin) and in proximity of the Libyan coast. Bins with only one observation are rejected.
Mean velocity vectors, velocity variance ellipses, kinetic energy of the mean flow per unit mass (MKE – mean kinetic energy), and mean eddy kinetic energy per unit mass (EKE – eddy kinetic energy) are calculated from the $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ binned floats data, according to Poulain et al. (2001). In some regions of Mediterranean Sea, characterised by sub-basin and mesoscale structure (eddies with a maximum diameter of $\sim 100$ km), the float velocities are spatially mapped with a higher bin resolution ($0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$).

### 3.4 Bathymetry

In order to evaluate the influence of the bathymetry on the sub-surface Mediterranean currents, we used the Smith and Sandwell Bathymetry (Smith and Sandwell, 1997) Model topo_8.2.img, a 2-min (about 4 km) Mercator-projected grid, based on bathymetry derived from satellite gravity data combined with ship measurements. The north and east gradient components, interpolated at the positions corresponding to the $V_{350}$ dataset, are used to evaluate the across and along bathymetry components of the float velocity.

### 4 Results

#### 4.1 Fast currents

Subsurface displacements collected in the $V_{350}$ dataset are shown with colored segments in Fig. 2; each color represents a different velocity range. Maximum speeds as large as 33 cm/s are found northeast of Balearic Islands and in the Ierapetra eddy. Speeds larger than 20 cm/s are reached in the north and central regions of Liguro-Provençal-Catalan basin and in some eddies of the Eastern basin. Liguro-Provençal, Algerian and Libyan-Egyptian Currents are characterised by maximum speeds of 15 cm/s.
4.2 Pseudo-Eulerian statistics

Figure 5a shows the Mediterranean pseudo-Eulerian mean circulation field, derived from $1° \times 1°$ binned float velocities data ($V_{350}^\text{end}$). Figure 5b and c show the pseudo-Eulerian maps with higher bin resolution ($0.5° \times 0.5°$) to emphasize some dynamical structures with a spatial scale less than 100 km. In each bin, the mean velocity vector is positioned in the center of mass of the observations.

The float velocity vectors describe, in the centre of the Tyrrhenian basin, a characteristic cyclonic path, documented by several authors during the past years (Krivosheya and Ovchinnikow, 1973; Astraldi and Gasparini, 1994; Artale et al., 1994; Robinson et al., 2001); bin-averaged speeds are less than 4 cm/s and MKE is less than 10 cm$^2$/s$^2$ (Fig. 7a). Along the western Tyrrhenian boundary, the current moves southward close to the Corsican and Sardinian coasts, and flows out through the Sardinia Channel. In this Channel, a branch of the mean flow moves northward with a mean velocity of 4 cm/s (MKE less than 15 cm$^2$/s$^2$, Fig. 7a), following the western coasts of Sardinia and Corsica, while another branch proceeds to the west (bin-averaged speed between 4 and 7 cm/s, Fig. 5b; MKE between 10 and 25 cm$^2$/s$^2$, Fig. 7a) and enters the Algerian Basin.

In the Liguro-Provençal basin subsurface mean velocities describe a cyclonic circulation that, according to Astraldi et al. (1994), typically involves both the surface and the intermediate layer. The main cyclonic path ($41° - 44°$ N; $0° - 8°$ E) contains a re-circulation cyclonic cell located between $42° - 44°$ N and $6° 30′ - 8° 30′$ E (Fig. 5b). Along the French and Spanish slopes, the float velocities follow the Liguro-Provençal-Catalan Current (or Northern Current), and reach the maximum bin-averaged speed ($\sim 12$ cm/s) and the maximum value of MKE ($\sim 70$ cm$^2$/s$^2$, Fig. 7a) recorded in the reference dataset. In the Balearic Sea, around the islands of Majorca and Menorca, the subsurface mean current describe an anticyclonic circulation along the bathymetry; south of the Ibiza Channel the current merges into the Liguro-Provençal-Catalan flow, towards the Alborán Sea. In the Algerian Basin the floats follow the Algerian Current...
along the coast from west to east, with a maximum velocity of \( \sim 8 \text{ cm/s} \) (Fig. 5a and b) and MKE values between 20 and \( 30 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}^2 \) (Fig. 7a). More offshore they describe two cyclonic gyres (AG1 and AG2 in Fig. 5b), defined as Eastern Algerian and Western Algerian Gyres (Testor et al., 2005; Testor and Gascard, 2005) with an extension of 100–300 km in the east-west and 100–250 km in the north-south directions. The mean float velocities in the Algerian Gyres at 350 m are in agreement with Testor et al. (2005) results (mean value \( \sim 5 \text{ cm/s} \); maximum value \( \sim 10 \text{ cm/s} \)).

In the southern Ionian Sea, the float velocities show a dominant anticyclonic circulation between \( 32^\circ - 36^\circ \text{ N} \) and \( 16^\circ - 20.5^\circ \text{ E} \), in which the southern limb corresponds to a north-westward flow located on the African continental slope (speeds between 4 and 11 cm/s, Fig. 5a; MKE values between 20 and \( 50 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}^2 \), Fig. 7a). In the south of Levantine Basin (Fig. 5c), along the Libyan and Egyptian slopes, the mean currents flow eastward and turn into several eddies, progressing downstream along the slope (Libyan Eddy-LE and Egyptian Eddy-EE). In the Cretan Passage the vectors follow the Ierapetra anticyclonic Eddy (IE). More to the east it is possible to recognize the Herodotus Trough anticyclonic Eddy (HTE), documented by Gerin et al. (2009) and Taupier-Letage et al. (2007). Moreover, the float subsurface mean circulation describes the Rhodes cyclonic Gyre (RG) to the north and, south of Cyprus Island, the anticyclonic eddy that sits over the Eratosthenes Seamount (Eratosthenes Seamount Eddy-ESE). Around Cyprus Island, MKE assume values larger then \( 60 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}^2 \) (Fig. 7a) and the maximum velocity is \( \sim 11 \text{ cm/s} \) (Fig. 5a and b).

Figure 6 shows the velocity variance ellipses at 350 m. The maximum value (\( 106 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}^2 \), rms speed \( \sim 10 \text{ cm/s} \)) is reached in the North Balearic Thermal Front area, which represents a transition region between two different dynamic regimes (García et al., 1994). High values (\( 80 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}^2 \), rms speed \( \sim 9 \text{ cm/s} \)) are also reached in the eastern basin around Crete Island, in the Ierapetra Eddy area. The velocity variance is typically oriented in the direction of mean currents. In the coastal or along-slope currents such as the Algerian and Liguro-Provençal-Catalan currents, the major axes of the velocity variance ellipses are parallel to the coastline. In the open sea, the ellipses are
generally less elongated but predominantly oriented in the zonal direction. The mean kinetic energy of fluctuating velocity, EKE, follows the variance field and reaches values as large as $100 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}^2$ in the centre of the Levantine Basin and in the Liguro-Provençal region (Fig. 7b). EKE has strong gradients compared to MKE; the ratio of EKE to MKE (not shown) provides information on the energy distribution between mean constant and fluctuating currents in the Mediterranean Sea. The MKE value is larger than EKE (ratio $<1$) only in some coastal regions characterised by permanent currents. This result suggests that the energy of sub-surface currents is dominated by the velocity fluctuating component.

4.3 Bathymetry effect

The histograms in Fig. 8 show the along and across bathymetry components of the currents and their directions with respect to the slope. All the observations are represented in black, whereas in white only those located on significant slopes (bathymetry gradient $>50 \text{ m/km}$) are depicted. In these regions, the along-slope components of velocities (Fig. 8a), range between $-3$ and $3 \text{ cm/s}$ and the number of samples decrease slowly with increasing velocity, whereas the across-slope components (Fig. 8b) are close to zero and the number of samples decrease quickly with increasing velocity. Flow directions are mainly oriented at $60^\circ-120^\circ$ with respect to the bathymetry gradient, with the maximum number of samples ranging between $80^\circ-90^\circ$, that is almost parallel to the isobaths. These results suggest that the along-isobaths components of velocities are greater than the across components, and that the speed is predominantly oriented at $\sim90^\circ$ respect to the gradient (tangent to the slope). The bathymetry-controlled currents (not shown) are mainly located in the Liguro-Provençal-Catalan, Algerian and Libyan-Egyptian currents, around the Balearic islands and along the Sardinian coast, with a mean value of $\sim5 \text{ cm/s}$. The higher values ($20-27 \text{ cm/s}$) are observed in the Corsica and Sardinia Channels, in the Algerian Basin and in the south Cretan Passage. The intensity of alongshore velocity components in the Ligurian Sea ($\sim15-20 \text{ cm/s}$) are in agreement with currentmeters measurement produced by Astraldi et al. (1990).
5 Conclusions

The trajectories of 38 Argo floats, deployed in the Mediterranean Sea between October 2003 and September 2009, are used to create a dataset of velocities at 350 m and to study the subsurface circulation in regions with good data coverage (Western Mediterranean, Ionian and Levantine sub-basins and Cretan Passage).

The velocity dataset is produced through three different steps: the first provides rough estimates of subsurface velocities ($V_{old}$), according to Lebedev et al. (2007); the second produces the best surface displacement according to Park et al. (2005); the third provides the subsurface velocities ($V_{350}$) that involves the use of the average vertical speed of the float and an approximation of current shear in the upper water column. From the comparison between $V_{old}$ and $V_{350}$ and the results of the statistical analysis computed on $V_{350}$, we select only the best estimates of velocities (variance explained/total variance >0.8) to produce the final dataset $V_{end}^{350}$.

The amplitude $V_{end}^{350}$ velocities reach the maximum values of $\sim 33$ cm/s east of the Balearic Islands and in the Ierapetra eddy; high speeds (larger then 20 cm/s) are also found in the north and central regions of the Liguro-Provençal-Catalan basin and in some eddies of the Eastern basin, whereas the Liguro-Provençal, Algerian and Libyan-Egyptian Currents are characterised by maximum speeds of 15 cm/s.

The pseudo-Eulerian statistics, computed with $V_{end}^{350}$, show typical circulation pathways related to Mediterranean subsurface currents. The mean circulation mapped by floats is almost everywhere in agreement with the description of intermediate circulation provided by the framework of various international projects and by several authors in the past. There is an important exception in the southern Ionian, along the Libyan coast, where floats follow a northwestward current, which is in opposite direction compared to the circulation patterns described by Millot and Taupier-Letage (2005) and depicted in Fig. 1b.

In the Western Mediterranean basin, the velocity field shows the characteristic cyclonic paths in the Tyrrhenian, Liguro-Provençal and Algerian sub-basins, as well as the...
Algerian Current and Liguro-Provençal-Catalan current, where it reaches the maximum intensities (∼10–12 cm/s). High values of EKE (∼90 cm²/s²) and velocity variance are reached in the North Balearic Thermal Front area; the velocity variance is oriented in the direction of mean currents. In the Eastern Basin the mesoscale and sub-basin scale circulation is dominated by eddies, with the maximum value of EKE (∼100 cm²/s²) and variance located south of Crete (Ierapetra eddy). All over the Mediterranean Sea the EKE has strong gradients compared to MKE. The MKE (maximum value ∼70 cm²/s²) dominates the energy of subsurface currents only in a few regions, characterised by high velocity fields (Liguro-Provençal-Catalan and Algerian currents, centre of Ionian Sea), whereas it is less then 20 cm²/s² in most of the open sea.

In the regions with significant bathymetry gradients, that is mostly along the continental shelf slope, subsurface currents are driven by topography and the along-isobath components of velocity have values larger then the across-isobath components. Speeds have mean values of ∼5 cm/s and reach 20–27 cm/s east of Balearic Islands, in the Cretan Passage and in the southern entrance of Tyrrhenian Sea.

The displacements of Argo floats at 350 m depth in the Mediterranean, processed with the methods exposed in this paper, provide a novel quantitative description of the subsurface Mediterranean currents, related to the pathways of the LIW. This description confirms, contradicts (in the southern Ionian) and expands the results of previous qualitative studies, mostly based on hydrographic, satellite and mooring data. It is hoped that the continuation of the Argo program in the Mediterranean with the standard parameters (cycles of 5 days, parking depth near 350 m) will provide more abundant data in the whole Mediterranean Sea in order to improve the results presented in this paper, and eventually monitor long-term changes in the mean sub-surface circulation related to climate change.
Appendix A

Float surface displacement

The determination of the float surface displacements (Menna and Poulain, 2009) involves the computation of surfacing (AE) and diving (DS) times and the extrapolation of the related positions \( (X^{AE}, Y^{AE}) \) and \( (X^{DS}, Y^{DS}) \) (see Fig. 3). Provor floats record AE and DS times whereas Apex floats are not programmed to provide information about AE and DS times, but it is possible to evaluate them through TS times (Fig. 3), according to the instructions contained in the Apex-Profiler User Manual.

To determine the surfacing and diving positions, it is necessary to extrapolate the surface trajectory determined from discrete Argos satellite fixes (Davis et al., 1992; Park et al., 2004; Davis, 2005; Park et al., 2005). According to the extrapolation method of Park at al. (2005), we assume that a float surface trajectory is the sum of a linear drift, inertial motion and noise. Hence, the extrapolated positions \( (x_k, y_k) \) at the time \( t_k \) can be written as:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_k &= u_l \Delta t + (x_0 - x_i) \cos(-f \Delta t_k) - (y_0 - y_i) \sin(-f \Delta t_k) + x_i \\
y_k &= v_l \Delta t + (x_0 - x_i) \sin(-f \Delta t_k) + (y_0 - y_i) \sin(-f \Delta t_k) + y_i \\
\Delta t_k &= t_k - t_0, \quad (k = 0, \ldots n - 1)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( N \) is the number of data fixes, \( (x_0, y_0) \) are the reference positions at time \( t_0 \), \( (x_i, y_i) \) represent the centre of the inertial motion, \( (u_l, v_l) \) are the components of linear velocity and \( f \) is the inertial frequency. There are 6 unknown parameters required to reproduce a float trajectory; all these parameters \( (u_l, v_l, x_0, y_0, x_i, y_i) \) are obtained using a least square linear model to minimize the cost function:

\[
J = \sum_{k}^{N} \frac{(x_k - x_{obs})^2 + (y_k - y_{obs})^2}{2 \sigma_k^2}
\]

(A3)
where \((x_{\text{obs}}, y_{\text{obs}})\) are the observed positions and \(\sigma_k\) the standard deviations (quality) of the observed positions (Argos satellite system has three different classes of quality for the determination of instrument position: class 1, 2 and 3 with accuracy of 1500 m, 500 m, 250 m respectively).

Figure A1 shows an example of results of this model, called linear-inertial model, for cycle 142 of float b35506. The satellite-fixed trajectory is traced by a solid line; the circles and triangles on the line represent the intermediate and extreme fixed positions of the cycle, respectively; each position is at the centre of a circle representative of the position quality class. The extrapolated linear-inertial trajectory is traced by a dashed line, with stars representing the extrapolated positions, whereas diamonds and squares depict DS and AE extrapolated positions, respectively.

The statistics related to the cycle in Fig. A1 are reported in Table A1. The most interesting parameters are the cost function value \(J\), which show the differences between the extrapolated and observed positions, and the value of explained variance, also called skill or coefficient of determination, \(R^2\). The skill is a statistical parameter that identifies the effectiveness of a model to estimate the observed trajectories:

\[
R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SST} \tag{A4}
\]

\[
SSR = \sum_{k} \frac{(x_k - \bar{x})^2 + (y_k - \bar{y})^2}{2\sigma_k^2} \quad \text{sum of squares regression} \tag{A5}
\]

\[
SST = \sum_{k} \frac{(x_{\text{obs}} - \bar{x})^2 + (y_{\text{obs}} - \bar{y})^2}{2\sigma_k^2} \quad \text{sum of squares total} \tag{A6}
\]

\((k = 1, \ldots N)\)

Here, SST is the variance in the data and SSR is the amount of variance explained by our regression model. If the regression curve fits perfectly all the sampled positions, the skill can reach a value of 1.
values, all residuals should be zero and SSR/SST=$R^2=1$; as the fit becomes increasing-ly less representative of the data points, $R^2$ decreases toward a possible minimum of zero (Emery and Thomson, 2007). In some cycles the linear-inertial model tend to overestimate the inertial component of velocity (results not shown).

In these situations, the skill related to simple linear regression model (straight line) is higher then the one of the combined linear-inertial model. In order to minimize this source of error we selected, for each cycle, the best approximation between the linear and linear-inertial models. The results are used to determine surfacing and diving positions and the related float surface velocity $(u_{ex}, v_{ex})$.

A1 Determination of the float subsurface displacement

To compute the float subsurface velocity, the arrival (DE) and departure (DPS) times and relative positions $(X^{DE}, Y^{DE}), (X^{DPS}, Y^{DPS})$ at parking depth are estimated according to Menna and Poulain (2009). The evaluation of arrival times and positions involve the diving time DS, diving position $(X^{DS}, Y^{DS})$ and surface velocity $(u_{ex}^{n-1}, v_{ex}^{n-1})$ (Fig. 3).

The arrival time is:

$$DE = DS + \Delta t_{descent}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A7)

The arrival positions are obtained through the application of a vertical linear velocity shear that decrease from a surface value of $(u_{ex}^{n-1}, v_{ex}^{n-1})$ to a value of zero at parking depth. The vertical displacement $(\Delta x_{descent}^z, \Delta y_{descent}^z)$ is evaluated as:

$$\Delta x_{descent}^z = \Delta t_{descent} \frac{u_{ex}^{n-1}}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A8)

$$\Delta y_{descent}^z = \Delta t_{descent} \frac{v_{ex}^{n-1}}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A9)
the \((X_{DE}, Y_{DE})\) coordinate is evaluated as:

\[
X_{DE} = X_{DS} + \Delta X_{\text{descent}} \tag{A10}
\]
\[
Y_{DE} = Y_{DS} + \Delta Y_{\text{descent}} \tag{A11}
\]

Similarly, we also evaluated the departure times (DPS) and related positions \((X_{DPS}, Y_{DPS})\) from the parking depth, using times \(AE\), surface positions \((X_{AE}, Y_{AE})\), and surface velocity \((u_{ex}^n, v_{ex}^n)\). The time interval \(\Delta t_{\text{ascent}}\) is estimated assuming that the float has no horizontal displacement below the parking depth. The Ascent Average Speed (AAS) is 0.08 m/s for an Apex float, 0.09–0.1 m/s for a Provor float (User Manuals: MARTEC Provor CTS2, 2004; MARTEC Provor CTS3, 2006; Apex-SBE Profiler), then:

\[
\Delta t_{\text{ascent}} = \frac{350 \text{m}}{\text{AAS}} \tag{A12}
\]

\[
\text{DPS} = AE + \Delta t_{\text{ascent}} \tag{A13}
\]

The vertical displacement \((\Delta x_{\text{ascent}}, \Delta y_{\text{ascent}})\) is evaluated as:

\[
\Delta x_{\text{ascent}} = \Delta t_{\text{ascent}} \frac{u_{ex}^n}{2} \tag{A14}
\]
\[
\Delta y_{\text{ascent}} = \Delta t_{\text{ascent}} \frac{v_{ex}^n}{2} \tag{A15}
\]

the \((X_{DPS}, Y_{DPS})\) coordinate is evaluated as:

\[
X_{DPS} = X_{AE} + \Delta x_{\text{ascent}} \tag{A16}
\]
\[
Y_{DPS} = Y_{AE} + \Delta y_{\text{ascent}} \tag{A17}
\]
Finally, we employed the times DE-DPS and positions \((X_{DE}^{DE}, Y_{DE}^{DE}) - (X_{DPS}^{DPS}, Y_{DPS}^{DPS})\) to evaluate the velocity at 350 m \((V_{350})\); \(V_{350}\) is used to reiterate the application of velocity shear, considering a velocity that decreases from a surface value of \(V_{ex}^{n-1}\) or \(V_{ex}^{n}\) to a value of \(V_{350}\) at parking depth. The iteration is repeated until \(V_{350}\) converges to a constant value.

Figure A2 shows an example of parking depth position results for cycles 67 and 68 of float b50755. The figure represents two consecutive float cycles; the observed surface trajectories are depicted by solid lines with triangles that represent the first and last position of the cycles; the extrapolated surface trajectory are traced by dashed lines with diamonds and squares that depict diving and surfacing positions; asterisks identify the position at 350 m.

A2  Comparison between rough 1st approximation and fine 3rd approximation estimates of subsurface current near 350 m

Each velocity dataset at 350 m \((V_{350})\), obtained in third approximation, is compared with the first approximation velocity \((V_{old})\). The scatter plot in Fig. A3 reveals a large positive correlation between the two variables; markers display different classes of skill.

Table A2 shows the statistical results related to the cycles with skill higher than 0.6. For skill ±0.8 the mean difference is negligible, the standard deviation is <6.1 cm/s and correlation coefficient is 0.85. From these results we decided to consider, for the computation of pseudo-Eulerian statistics, a dataset \((V_{end}^{350})\) composed by all consecutive cycles with surface skill major than 0.8, and with a speed less than 50 cm/s.
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Table A1. Statistical parameters related to the cycle 142 of Provor float b35506. Inertial period, Surface time (time that float spent at sea surface), Time after (time interval between the arrive of float at sea surface and the first transmission received by the satellite), Time before (time interval between the last transmission received by the satellite and the immersion of float), Argos positions, Cost function (differences between extrapolated and observed position), Skill (see Appendix A for details), \((u_{\text{obs}}, v_{\text{obs}})\) (velocities computed from the last and first positions), \((u_{\text{ex}}, v_{\text{ex}})\) (velocities computed from diving and surfacing positions), \(V_{\text{inertial}}\) inertial velocity), Inertial radius.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Float b35506 cycle 142</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inertial period (h)</td>
<td>17.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface time (h)</td>
<td>7.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time after (h)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time before (h)</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argos positions</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost function</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skill</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(u_{\text{dos}}) (cm/s)</td>
<td>−36.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v_{\text{dos}}) (cm/s)</td>
<td>11.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(u_{\text{ex}}) (cm/s)</td>
<td>−35.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v_{\text{ex}}) (cm/s)</td>
<td>14.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V_{\text{inertial}}) (cm/s)</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertial radius (km)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A2. Statistical results related to the cycles with skill higher than 0.6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explain Variance</th>
<th>Number of observations</th>
<th>mean ( (V_{350} - V_{old}) ) (cm/s)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation (cm/s)</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skill ± 0.6</td>
<td>2838</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skill ± 0.7</td>
<td>2745</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skill ± 0.8</td>
<td>2505</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skill ± 0.9</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. (a) Mediterranean Sea geography and nomenclature of the major sub-basins and straits. (b) Circulation of the Levantine Intermediate Water (from Millot and Taupier-Letage, 2005).
Fig. 2. Trajectories of the MedArgo floats between October 2003 and September 2009. Black lines represent surface and subsurface raw trajectory (obtained by 3315 cycles) filtered to remove cycles located over depths less than 350 m, non-consecutive cycles and subsurface velocity larger than 50 cm/s. Colored segments depict subsurface displacement (obtained by 2877 cycles); each color represents a different velocity range. The 200 and 2000 m isobaths are shown with grey curves.
Fig. 3. Schematic diagram of depth versus time of a float cycle and two subsequent float transmissions.
Fig. 4. Spatial distribution of the float subsurface velocity observations in 1° × 1° bins.
**Fig. 5.** (a) Pseudo-Eulerian mean circulation field at 350 m, derived from $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ binned floats velocities data ($V_{350}^\text{end}$). (b) Detail of the Western Mediterranean basin (bins of $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$) and location of the Algerian Gyres (AG1, AG2). (c) Detail of the Eastern Mediterranean basin (bins of $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$) and location of the main sub-basin eddies and gyres: Cretan Gyre (CG), Ierapetra Eddy (IE), Egyptian Eddy (EE), Libyan Eddies (LE), Herodotus Trough Eddy (HTE), Rhodes Gyre (RG), Eratosthenes Seamount Eddy (ESE).
Fig. 6. Velocity variance ellipses at 350 m obtained from $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ binned floats velocity field.
Fig. 7. Energy distribution between mean constant and fluctuating currents in the Mediterranean Sea (ratio EKE/MKE).
Fig. 8. Histograms of along-isobath (a) and across-isobath (b) components of the currents and their directions (c) with respect to the bathymetry gradients.
Fig. A1. Example of extrapolation results. The solid line represents the satellite fixed trajectory; small circles and triangles show the intermediate and extreme fixed positions respectively; the big circles are representative of the position quality class. The dashed line represents the extrapolated trajectory and stars the extrapolated positions; diamonds and squares depict DS and AE extrapolated positions, respectively.
Fig. A2. Example of computation of parking depth positions (asterisks) for two consecutive float cycles. The solid lines trace the observed surface trajectories and triangles show the first and last position of the cycles. The dashed lines represent the extrapolated surface trajectory with diamonds and squares that depict diving and surfacing positions.
Fig. A3. Scatter plot of $V_{\text{old}}$ versus $V_{350}$; markers correspond to different classes of skill.